

Interest	Existing	Proposed	Remarks	Linda's Comments
Protection of public and private water supply	no public water supply within limit of disturbance	No public water supply within limit of disturbance, residential houses will be serviced by onsite septic and private well water	There will be more groundwater recharge (up to 100-year storm). See Stormwater Report for details	The site plans do not indicate that the residential units will be serviced by private wells. The protection of public and private water supplies is intended to protect downgradient water supplies, not just the immediate area. Natick has public wells adjacent to Lake Cochituate and the stream adjacent to 24 School Street is a tributary. Groundwater recharge only addresses volume, not protection of water quality.
Protection of groundwater supply	Public water supply. No applied	Increased groundwater recharge by stormwater infiltration system	No negative impact	The volume of leachate generated by the onsite leach field could produce approximately 2800 gallons of water/day. This amount of water within a small footprint could negatively impact groundwater quality.
Flood Control	no flood control in upland area for existing condition	Stormwater management system meets all nine DEP stormwater management standards	Less flooding to wetland and downstream	Flood control on this property is related to the increase in impervious surfaces, stormwater management controls, climate, etc. Half the roof runoff is not captured by the onsite stormwater management. A stormwater peer review will determine if the SW management system is properly designed and sized.
Storm damage prevention	no know stormwater damage	Better stormwater management	No significant impact	Again, until the proposed SW system is reviewed, a statement of no significant impact cannot be verified.
prevention of pollution	some solid construction deris in buffer zone. Old septic system out of date	Wastewater treatment exceeds Title 5 requirements. All stormwater runoff treated through infiltration	Improved pollution prevention for stormwater and wastewater	The statement that wastewater treatment exceeds Title 5 requirements is not supported. (I assume the author meant to say the onsite septic system). The septic system design has not been submitted to the BOH for their review. Again, not ALL runoff is being treated. Any substances from parking lot runoff needs to be treated.
protection of fisheries	No direct fisheries on site	Increased groundwater recharge ill increase base flow for downstream water body for fisheries	No significant impact, some improvement	The statement that there is not significant impact without understanding the impact of untreated runoff, or the impact of the leach field on the unnamed tributary is unsupported. Lake Cochituate supports a robust fish habitat and this resource needs to be protected.
protection of wildlife habitat	No MESA protected habitat on site. Entire lot is mostly disturbed	Some trees will be removed	Buffer zone mitigation and enhancement plantings are provided. No significant impact to wetland habitat.	The protection of wildlife habitat includes all wildlife, not just threatened or endangered species. Buffer zone mitigation that includes seeding a wetland buffer area that was previously filled with unknown material is not considered enhancement. Installing a 7 foot retaining wall to limit wildlife movement or removal of mature trees are also not considered enhancements.