

41 COCHITUATE ROAD WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS 01778 SHERRE GREENBAUM, CHAIR BARBARA HOWELL, VICE CHAIR JOANNE BARNETT TOM DAVIDSON SEAN FAIR TOM KLEM JOHN SULLIVAN

### **CHAPTER 194 Submittal Requirements**

Upon submittal of any Bylaw application the applicant(s), property owner (if different), and their representative(s) must sign this checklist.
Original and one copy of the MA Wetlands Protection Act ("WPA") application and Chapter 194 Bylaw application, including owner(s) signature, the applicant(s) signature, site plan(s), narrative, etc. *
<b>NOTE:</b> If a WPA Application is not filed, a copy of either a statement as to not applicable (limited generally to buffer zone or bordering land subject to flooding) or a valid Order of Resource Area Determination (ORAD) mus be provided with copies.
A separate check for all applicable Wetlands Act fees.
A separate check for all applicable Chapter 194 Bylaw fees.
A list of the 100' Abutters, certified by the Assessors Office.
Evidence of Board of Health receipt of application or approval for <u>all applications with septic work or home</u> renovations.
*A copy of all documents submitted should be provided electronically to Nicole Thomson ( <a href="mailto:nthomson@wayland.ma.us">nthomson@wayland.ma.us</a> ) and Linda Hansen ( <a href="mailto:lhansen@wayland.ma.us">lhansen@wayland.ma.us</a> )
Project Summary
A narrative statement describing all of the activities proposed. If work is omitted from the narrative it may not be permitted.
A narrative summary description of the types of resource areas on or near the site. Omission of resource areas i a basis for denial of the project as being incomplete.
A narrative discussion how the project has been designed to minimize impacts to resource areas and how any mitigation has been proposed to better protect or enhance the resource areas during and after construction.
The Conservation Commission will evaluate the application based on the scope of the project and the potential impacts on the resource area (e.g. a wetland, pond, vernal pool, riverfront area, etc.) The Commission's priorities for project assessment are avoidance, minimization, and mitigation of impacts to resource area/s in that order. If mitigation is proposed, the Commission will require a 1:1.5 ratio of replication for impacts to wetlands and for buffer zones. The narrative should clearly address these priorities
A narrative discussion that presents justification, based on factors of technical or economic feasibility, why alternatives that might minimize or completely avoid adverse impact to the Riverfront Area, Floodplain, the Buffer Zone, and/or any other resource area are not being proposed. At a minimum there must be discussion of the alternative for no alteration.

The following items are required for Site Plans submitted with a Bylaw application; however, if the Applicant considers that the information is not relevant to the scope or scale of the proposed project, a Waiver(s) of requirements must be requested at the time of filing the application with the Conservation Commission.



41 COCHITUATE ROAD WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS 01778 SHERRE GREENBAUM, CHAIR BARBARA HOWELL, VICE CHAIR JOANNE BARNETT TOM DAVIDSON SEAN FAIR TOM KLEM JOHN SULLIVAN

### **Site Plan Minimum Requirements**

The following shall be included on the Site Plan:
Stamp of a Professional Engineer (P.E.) and/or a Professional Land Surveyor (P.L.S.) depending upon proximity to lot lines or project complexity.  OR
Stamp of a Registered Sanitarian (R.S.) is acceptable for designs of septic systems handling less than 2,000 gallons per day, with incidental site work.
Grade elevations based on National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD). Grade contours in the area of work shall be provided with at least 1-foot intervals.
Plan Scale: 1 inch = 10 feet or 1 inch = 20 feet.
Wetlands flagging with letters and/or numbers as defined in the field.
Date that wetlands flagging was done and name of the wetland delineator (if GIS was used to wetlands, then include the GIS source.)
Site Plans must clearly show existing conditions and proposed conditions, utilities, impervious surfaces, limit of lawn, trees greater than 6 inches in diameter proposed for removal, significant land features such as rock outcroppings, all Resource Areas (differentiate each) including Buffer Zone. <i>Note: It may be more comprehensible to submit two plans: an existing conditions plan and a proposed conditions plan.</i>
Site plans must detail the permanent demarcation of the limit of lawn with minimum 30' offset from resource area for new construction, and minimum average 15' offset for existing dwellings.
Locations and identifiers for <u>all</u> test pit locations.
A cross-section of grading and profile for proposed septic systems.
Locations for temporary stockpiles or storage of soils or demolition debris during construction.
Access route for construction equipment and construction entrance location details.
Location of erosion control barrier(s).
Detail for installation of erosion control barrier(s).
Location for refueling of equipment. (Outside buffer zone strongly preferred)
Locations designated for snow storage, if necessary.
Pre/Post-Construction Lot Coverage Summary for areas within by-law jurisdiction: a) Total lot area; b) total impervious area (Note: impervious areas shall include, but are not limited to, roofs, decks, walks, and driveways); c) total landscaped/lawn area; and d) total area altered during construction (including temporary impacts).



41 COCHITUATE ROAD WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS 01778 SHERRE GREENBAUM, CHAIR BARBARA HOWELL, VICE CHAIR JOANNE BARNETT TOM DAVIDSON SEAN FAIR TOM KLEM JOHN SULLIVAN

#### **Drainage Requirements**

The Commission seeks to protect water quality of surface waters and groundwater, and to limit any increase in the rate or quantity of runoff of storm water from the property.

For projects adding less than or equal to 500 square feet of impervious area, a narrative description of specific measures used to provide for infiltration of runoff equivalent to runoff this additional impervious area. Those

measures must be clearly depicted on the Site Plan as a specification.  OR
For projects adding more than 500 square feet of impervious area,
A narrative discussion of the methods and all assumptions used in the drainage calculations
A plan showing drainage catchment areas
Supporting calculations (i.e. HydroCAD) stamped by a P.E.
Summary tables presenting Pre/Post Construction Storm Water Runoff Rates and Volumes for a 1-inch storm event, a 10-year, and a 100-year storm events. Note: Rainfall of at least 8 inches in 24 hours must be used for 100-year storm event.
Compliance with DEP's Stormwater Management Standards.
Narrative description of structural and non-structural best management practice (BMP) (See "Definitions), controls for storm water management for the project during construction phases and for long term site management:
Evaluation of BMP selection and factors of site suitability including: soils, drainage area, depth to water table, depth to bedrock, slopes and proximity to wells and foundations
☐ Discussion of construction phasing
Relevant site characterization data for design
Water quality calculations for total suspended solids (TSS) removal
Calculated storm water recharge rate
Calculated peak discharge rate
Maintenance requirements and site inspections templates for BMPs must be specified. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) plans for Stormwater shall be submitted with the application describing short-term BMPs (during construction) and long-term BMPs (post-construction) for management of the drainage structures, roadway and/or parking lot (as applicable) including but not limited to sweeping; catch basin cleaning; snow storage and erosion controls, such as hay bales or sediment fences. The drainage components (Best Management Practice – BMP) shall be as described using terminology in the most recent version of the DEP Storm water Technical Handbook, March 1997. A Plan for protecting the post-construction BMPs during construction shall be include in the O&M Plan.
Aquifer Protection District – If the project is within this area, a narrative description of how the project complies with aquifer protection requirements.
Page 3



41 COCHITUATE ROAD WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS 01778 SHERRE GREENBAUM, CHAIR BARBARA HOWELL, VICE CHAIR JOANNE BARNETT TOM DAVIDSON SEAN FAIR TOM KLEM JOHN SULLIVAN

#### **Soils Information**

Septic Systems or Drainage BMPs (v conducted for the project planning a methods may have been applied for screening, and laboratory analyses.	and engineering eva	luations and what number and	types of analytical
Septic Systems and/or applicable dr	rainage BMP - Copie	s of all soil data including boring	; and/or test pit logs.
Wetland field data forms that docur test pit logs.	ment observations r	nade during the wetland delinea	ation including soil or
Waivers			
n the event that Applicant considers certain scale of the proposed project Applicant may Conservation Commission. Indicate all provisuumber/letter designation.	request a Waiver of	the requirements with this app	lication to the
Site Plan Minimum Requirement Waiver(s)	☐ None ☐ List		
Drainage Requirement Waiver(s)			
f applicable, attach a statement for justificat			
deficient in providing required information denied for the lack of information or continual policient to submit the required information. The Commission has authorized its Administration by the Administration by the Administration by the Administration of the continual policient is a submitted by the Administration of the continual policient is a submitted by the Administration of the continual policient is a submitted by the Administration of the continual policient is a submitted by the Administration of the continual policient is a submitted by the Administration of the continual policient is a submitted by the Administration of the continual policient is a submitted by the Administration of the continual policient is a submitted by the continual policient is a submitted b	ued for a specific til on. trator to review pro eeting the above re strator does not rep	neframe approved by the Comi jects and to not accept project quirements. Notwithstanding t resent a decision that the appli	mission for the applications under the that authority, cation is fully complete
Deficiencies identified by the Administrator  The property owner, as well as the applicant all other applicable applications. The propert the Commission and Staff may enter the propert	and/or representat cy owner, by signing	ve (if different from owner) mu this checklist and the applicatio	st sign this checklist and ns, acknowledges that
Property Owner's Name (Print)		Property Owner's Signat	ure Date
certify under penalty of law that this docum supervision in accordance with a system desi nformation submitted. Based on my inquiry nformation submitted is, to the best of my k	gned to assure that of the persons direc	qualified personnel properly ga tly responsible for gathering the	ther and evaluate the
Applicant's Name (Print)		Applicant's Signature	Date