

TOWN OF WAYLAND
BOARD OF HEALTH MINUTES
MARCH 13, 2023

This meeting was called to order at 6:30 P.M. Present via Zoom was Arnold Soslow MD (AS). In person were; Genevieve Anand MD (GA), John Schuler MD (JS), Robert Defrancesco (RD), and Robert Carnes Eyre MD (RCE). Also present was Julia Junghanns (JJ) Director of Public Health.

RD: Roll Call: JS - Here GA - Here RCE - Here Doctor Soslow is not here. He may come in at some point during the meeting

6:30 P.M. Public Comment

No public comments.

6:35 P.M. PFAS and new Public Health freshwater fish consumption advisories at 13 state parks in Massachusetts including Lake Cochituate

JJ: We were contacted by the Department of Public Health regarding testing they did at a number of beaches and state parks in Massachusetts for PFAS. They held a zoom call with health departments, recreation departments, and other, in the impacted towns and talked through the information. I shared the information regarding Lake Cochituate which actually impacts Framingham and Natick as well. We have the same advisory for pfas in fish. There are many other towns in Mass that are also impacted. There are 13 state parks that have results that include an advisory for fish consumption, for freshwater fish. Hopefully, we'll be receiving a sample press release they said they would share with everyone so we are consistent. We'll be posting signage in certain access areas in Wayland. That's basically what they recommend. In the town of Wayland where we have the town beach and other access areas to Lake Cochituate where we see people going in and possibly fishing although there is no fishing allowed at the town beach. Likely areas would be over by the launch and some paths that go into the lake shore. JJ: I'm sure that there are some people that do eat the fish. RCE: Are you going to post on the sign and say one consumption every two months? JJ: The advisory is being updated. The advisory was previously for PCBs from Natick lab and Lake Cochituate that happened in the 90s. This sign will include that advisory as well as the PFAS for fish consumption for children under 12, pregnant people, nursing mothers, people of childbearing age who may become pregnant "do not eat any fish catch and release" and all other people "do not eat American eel catch and release." Limit consumption of all other fish to one meal per two months. If people are eating fish. All the information is directed to the state website where it may be updated periodically. We will figure out how to make sure that the state provides language for non-English speaking folks that can be included on the signage, but the state will need to provide the template for us to make the sign. There is a link on the state's website that it is a little bit clunky to use and it does translate it for you but it's not that easy to figure it out. JS: Has the water been tested? JJ: This was testing that was initiated by the state. There is fishing in other locations in Wayland and as far as PFAS goes they have not tested anywhere else besides Lake Cochituate. They are focusing on certain water bodies in this particular round looking at state parks and last year they did a pilot program down the Cape and tested various fresh bodies of water and possibly non fresh/brackish water and there are results that impacted the same types of things like fish consumption and swimming. Lake Chicopee had an advisory that is going to be posted where there is a level of PFAS in the water that warrants an advisory for swimming. JS:

What do they advise when there is PFAS in the water as far as swimming? JJ: We received a copy of the letter that was sent from the state to the Assistant Deputy Commissioner of state parks, regarding Chicopee Reservoir. DPH did the testing and then reached out to advise that public notification should occur for this public beach at Chicopee Reservoir. 20 other beaches did not have PFAS present at a level that would be unsafe for swimming except for Chicopee reservoir had PFAS levels that were 250.9 compared to the 20 parts per trillion nanograms per liter its 250. The letter states while this water body may continue to be operated as a beach the level of PFAS does warrant notification to ensure that any member of the public has the opportunity to limit exposure when visiting a state park. JS: Do the residents locally know that it's safe to swim or? RD: How about Heard Pond? JJ: Heard Pond is boating and fishing. The Sudbury River has Mercury in it from Nyanza and so Heard Pond is part of that outwash. There are signs for the Sudbury River, at Heard Pond. JS: About swimming or fishing or both? JJ: EPA posts and checks those signs usually every year. I don't know if they have done it since COVID but they usually tell me when they're coming out and we check to with us. They make sure the signs are still intact and legible. They do check all that. I can find out more on how to go about things if there was something else that was being requested. GA: It seems that for the other ponds, not everything is being tested. So we should post signage about swimming at your own risk? JJ: I don't have any information on PFAS for Dudley pond or any others. So that's a question we would have to ask the state if they would consider testing other water bodies like Dudley Pond or if we as a town want to look into it how would we do that. It's really a boat launch area at Mansion but people who live along the pond swim there and they boat and different water activities. JJ: So what I was thinking that we could do if that's a question outstanding, we can make it clear in the press release that at this time the only body of water that has been tested is Lake Cochituate so that there's information out there that other bodies of water have not been tested expect for those that are posted by the state or mercury that is part of the Sudbury River outwash. JS: Can you publish on our website results of Lake Cochituate? JJ: It's on the website already. The only thing that is not on there is a press release because I was waiting to get one from the state. I'll look into some of those questions and bring that back next time we meet to find out about Dudley Pond especially. I don't think it is something that people should look at as isolated in Wayland. It's a bigger problem everywhere. People should be aware if they are fresh water fishing that unfortunately it's a question mark right now. RCE: Does the state pay for the signage? JJ: They are not paying for the signage. We're going to have to order signs through a company that the recreation department shared the link with. They worked with this company before but I don't think it would be a huge cost to get a few signs up to start with anyway.

6:45 P.M. Private wells discussion regarding possible moratorium on Irrigation Well permits; review and discuss state Model Regulation for Private Wells

Director Julia Junghanns distributed copies of model Board of health regulation for private wells from the state to inform the discussion.

JJ: This is the model Board of health Regulation for private wells from the state. They just updated it. That's why it has current data on it because they added PFAS testing for private wells. RCE: I read through it in some detail today and I think it's much more comprehensive and complete than we ever would come up with. I had a couple of comments or questions about it but it's a no brainer to adopt this or make some changes specific to Wayland. But the general format is very detailed. JJ: It is. Right now most of the wells are irrigation. We don't get very many private potable wells. That could change but the

majority of these regulations are about drinking wells. You add in irrigation wells and the good news is that what we currently do even though our regulations need to be updated, our process is basically what they're describing here. You know we ask for an application, they provide a plan that shows a certain distance. We have a huge setback in our septic regs that is actually more conservative than the state setback. We're 50 ft. They're 25. RCE: That's what I was wondering. On page 5, what's the current permit fee? JJ: It's fifty. I have to double check. RCE: I had 4E. Do you know how much Wayland charges for permit fee? JJ: I think it's \$150. RCE: And then on page 6, I wondered whether Wayland wanted to make stricter setbacks than the state has or are you happy with the number of feet setbacks on these? JJ: I think what we had before was 100 feet for both potable and irrigation wells. But our offset that we've been following for irrigation is 50 feet. It's a good question regarding the 100 feet to a leach field or drywell. I'll put a question mark next to that one because I did get feedback from some counsel. They don't recommend that we just adopt the whole thing. A lot of it is construction and information on grouting and wellheads specific to well driller things that they would be looking at. RCE: On page 11, number six it says that they should be tested at a minimum of every 10 years. Do you do that now or require that now? JJ: We don't have a program to require testing at any frequency just at the initial application. They have testing for potable wells and they have recommended testing for irrigation wells. To test the irrigation wells once a year I don't know why that would be necessary. It seems a little too much because if someone was planning to use it for potable well then they are not using it for the right use. RCE: Under page 17, do you know what the penalties are for violation? There is between 10 and 500 dollars and it goes up every day. JJ: That's what I was wondering. What kind of violation? We have not fined people for well regulation non compliance in the past. JS: You will need to boil this down to two pages. JJ: It is pretty long. But there's a bill that would require all towns to be under the state regulations and this would be the regulation for wells. Because every town in MA does their own thing. The state recognizes there is a lack of continuity for private wells like PFAS testing and different things that are coming up as concerns. They included PFAS in the testing but I doubt that that's being done anywhere consistently. JS: It's all coming from the same water supply. GA: It affects what each town does. JJ: That could be another factor. Someone should push a state regulation that covers every town in the Commonwealth for different reasons. As far as I can see, I didn't see anything about restricting use or things like that at this point. JS: If we are going to ultimately have state water supply half the town water, the other half coming from the second well that we're using here no the wells in north wayland would there be any specific request form the water department about having a well in a certain proximity from a water supply that we are using? Would the town say we only have one well that we are using for potable water for the town. We really don't want to have any other wells from that area at a distance of a mile. That's something to maybe ask the water department. JJ: Well this is sort of a continuation of our prior discussion of broad public works' plans for a temporary connection to MWRA and a long term connection to MWRA. If we are not pumping water through town wells we are using partially an emergency connection. How are a few irrigation wells a year going to impact that. If we were not even pumping water through town wells anymore. JS: We're using town wells for half the water supply. Which is what the plan was. These wells near us here are sufficient to supply what we have for water supply and the MWRA water is so costly that it would be worth actually keeping these wells functioning rather than just close down all our wells. Our bills would go up a lot considerably for what they said. What they had to say before we publicize and if they had any objections regarding wells there or other private wells. JJ: I believe that the Board of Public Works did discuss but I don't know what their conclusions were regarding specific questions on the information that the town council was urging us to have and if we

were planning to consider removal with moratorium some data information or an outline of what the plan is for this study that they want to do and I don't know where some of that is in the processing with the Board of Public Works. Also some of the information regarding well usage and there are depths of wells shown on the state website so they may be looking at that now as well. We did some more looking onto the state website which also has information on wells that have been drilled that we have on another list. So we are currently in the process of updating our information as well from their state site. They are probably still looking at all those things that the town counsel is probably recommending that we gather to consider. JS: Just ask them if they have a request not to have it in proximity as well. JJ: You mean within a certain distance. JS: Yeah, a radius, a mile, you know. I think they would be the ones to answer the question to make a difference on where they are GA: Is it geographical mapping with the ground that is available? JJ: The question is private wells are more in the past 20 years, they are drilled the town wells are not drilled. So you're looking at two different things of how the wells are functioning and how they are drawing water out and they did mention that. I'm sure that the person who has experience might be a hydrologist. He needed the data we gathered as a part of this. JS: I just want to make sure that we don't do anything that would make their lives more difficult as far as achieving the goals. JJ: Those were some of the things I wanted to touch on and let you know that we are still in the process of looking at our list and polishing them up a little bit more and I have these model regulations that we can look at and consider. The town counsel recommends that we not just adopt the whole thing. We have some things that are specific to Wayland to tweak to not necessarily include everything. Maybe next time we meet we can gather information from board members to see what your thoughts, although as I mentioned the state may adopt the regulation to cover the whole Commonwealth, it is very possible. Definitely a lot of information to absorb. Although when you categorize it it's not too bad because most of the information is about potable Wells not irrigation but something changed all of a sudden. Oh we have Dr Soslow joining us.

Dr. Soslow Joins on Zoom

GA: Is this on the website? JJ: Yes they are. They are very brief; they are like two pages. A lot easier to see but that would probably be a good thing to look at the old regs and see how they change significantly since we had adopted those back in the 80s.

7:15 P.M. Director's report; soil testing at St. Anne's for development, another planned meeting on Mill Creek, CoACC moving forward with staff reviews, update on 356 Boston Post Road, Avian Influenza outreach to backyard flock owners, Summer intern and work on harmful algae blooms in Lake Cochituate, Public Health Nurse updates

JJ: A couple of updates on large projects, we did soil testing over at St. Anne's. The soils we witnessed were good suitable for constructing a septic system. They were looking for support from this project and I shared that with the BoH. I will respond with information about soil testing because the first time that we were asked about the project we didn't have any soil testing yet and now that we have I can tell them what the results were. We had another meeting today on the Mill Creek project which is over at the old Whole Food Plaza 297 Boston Post Road that is proposing to be a friendly 40B. It's a very large development that I believe is 172 units. There were a number of department heads today at the meeting where we talked in general about what some of our concerns are. Since I've worked here, at least three times we've had duck boats driven by the national guard transporting residents to Pelham Island Road during times of flooding and all kinds of things are happening right there where major flooding has

occurred so that's a big concern about this project. JS: We also built a public safety building in similar grounds and we paid the price for that in legal fees which we lost as well as repairs so it doesn't seem like a good idea to me for anyone to be doing that. JJ: They are I believe planning on a public hearing, and so, anyone could attend that. I'm sure you'll see something going out regarding when that is and where it will be. RCE: What is the unit size supposed to be? JJ: It varies between 5 stories. RCE: That's a good question. They're working on trying to figure that out. They were working on something with DPW to figure out what the flows will be, how they can do it, would it be treated at the town wastewater plant, would it be potentially sent up to Alta where there's a discharge area. I'm not sure what they will end up with but there's a current conversation that has taken place. Is the owner of the property proposing it or...? JJ: No, I don't believe that anyone has bought the property. They're probably waiting to see what happens to this property. Anyway, this was the second time we met with them just to hear about potential things they were trying to change to make it more safe for public safety to get over there and fight fires. They had some feedback on that. RCE: What is the consensus on the Select Board, pushing against or for? JJ: It is something that is out there being considered. I think St. Anne's is another project that is a little bit further ahead. RCE: Isn't there some renewed activities on the Mahoney site? JJ: That is yes, but not here yet. We haven't seen anything yet but right now it's in Conservation but when they do come to the Health Department they only need to comply with state regulation because this was mediated at the state level so they need to get a permit from DEP, unless something has changed. So 356 Boston Post Road, we've made good progress with that compliance and they are going to be tying in at the beginning of April.

JJ: Avian and influenza outreach to backyard plot owners, we did some outreach to our folks that have animal keeping permits likely check-ins in town to tell them a little bit about the things we heard from CDC on the increase of avian flu throughout the US especially in backyard plot owner, keeping an eye out for sick birds, wearing ppe if there is a sick bird, cleaning, and best practices for protecting yourself, your family, and your yard.

JJ: Michelle provided an update as well. We're wondering how that will impact things and waiting on her for information on what may change going forward with the general public, housing authority, our health partners, schools, senior living, all those areas. We're also starting to plan for next fall's vaccine season, training and certification that Michelle and I have been working on for vaccine handling. We're also continuing to work in support of the contact tracing case investigation brand team and working on some mental health service. We're also going to have a roll out group to work as a team together to roll out this new initiative including mental health training as a priority for the towns in our public health excellence - our seven towns. Also the school nurse offices continue to be busy working on supporting youth family services and public school guidance teams on substance abuse screenings. Updating on school medical emergency preparedness projects we have been working on getting 12 AED orders funded by the police department, fire department, and Wayland public schools through different grants that we were hoping will come through. Some of it is ARPA funding, some of it is grant funding. We have been working with the police department and fire to review emergency procedures as well as the school department and working on a revised document much more updated that each school health nurse has - that component of the plan that we have been working related to nurse offices and looking for - asking to run some drill so that communication and procedures could be practice and we are able to respond if there is a medical or cardiac emergency. We'll focus on the medical component of the emergency plan. A survey that had gone

out to Wayland public school staff members to assess who was trained in CPR and AED, to identify who needs training or initial certification, getting training set up. We're also going to look into seeing if they could provide training for general town building staff as well because we haven't had CPR training in quite a long time offered to town staff.

AS: On Michelle's letter, the contact tracing grant that she alludes to. It's the mental health services. I'm trying to put the two together. So that's permitted to use that grant for mental health services. JJ: We're currently waiting for a new scope of services from the state. We've been in touch with them regularly through our grant manager regularly and certainly we wouldn't be changing scope without their permission because the grant was awarded through the state. Although, there is no shift of contact tracing in that case management work has significantly changed since the grant was award so they have given a lot of leeway to towns taking those resources and shifting them to other areas and we have done a lot of work trying to pull other town to see what they're doing and get ideas of where we can shift resources because the state has been nonexistent in this whole process. We've been sort of on our own and we've come up with some pretty robust ideas after doing a lot of research and surveys and things like that. So we definitely would not change what we are doing without their permission and we were told recently by the person at the state level who is our liaison that they are going to send us a revised scope of work because they know that everyone is struggling to try and redirect their resources. AS: I agree. It's all that public money flooding the states. You do hear about in the media some of these abuses that they get into school programs or books or teaching issues and there are punitive or restrictive things. I just want to be sure we're touching base with the grant originator, the state in this case. JJ: Yes thank you for bringing that up. We certainly have done a lot of work. We have to report on the grant on a regular basis through our grant managers and you know do budgets and all kinds of things. We are being very transparent about the work that we have done, we are doing and that we plan on doing. AS: One quick follow up, what is the amount of funds we are talking about that are available for alternative proposals? JJ: Our grants were funded until FY25 with the staff that we have. It's mostly staff that we are paying for. 2 full time staff, one is an epidemiologist, one is a public health nurse, and one part-time grant manager. AS: How big is the grant? JJ: It's 245,000 a year and we have other things folded into that, supplies, work for our website that is still being rolled out, and some of the training that have been attended and planned training. AS: It might be a good idea, from the perspective of transparency, to present a summary slide for the board or for the public or town in general to see how money are being redirected in light of the changing pandemic reality. They may feel very proud of this effort and we will endorse it. It probably deserved some quarter summary. JJ: we can do that. I'll have someone put that together and we can share it with the board and we can talk about it at the next board meeting.

JJ: Michelle is working on educating regarding opioids and listening in on different webinars and identifying partners. The MAPC has put out a series of webinars, the third of which that she's participating in this past week. There is a lot of overlap into the mental health component that ties into the opioid crisis and all these things. It's a shift in public health really because right now there's a bill at the state level to advance public health and expand, last year on Governor Baker's desk and he didn't sign it, it got revised and then it got dropped. Now it's being proposed/advertised out there again as a bill. The bill is really what ties all these pieces together, circling back to you Dr. Soslow, with this grant that we are involved in it's not just a contact tracing grant. The Public Health Excellence grant which is much bigger, through Sudbury who is the fiscal agent of that grant. The state has big plans and a whole master plan for

rolling this out but it's never been formally endorsed yet (adopted by the state); the roll out of performance standards, reporting, credentialing, accreditation, all kinds of standards that they are proposing that each local health department involved would need to adopt potentially. AS: Yeah, I agree. John's perspective which I agree with was concerned with all this potential money from the feds it was becoming apparent that it would be balanced so there is a real amount of services as well as just staffing. It would be nice to see how that money is used for services to the public. If I remember correctly, the funding built out by the grant will eventually have to be absorbed by the towns. Once that grant money is used and the federal thing or state stops. There always has to be a balance in staffing and materials as well as services and programs. It's just something I'm sure everyone involved with the grant is keeping in mind, especially the town. The money that is drying up once the pandemic ends and the town will have to see how they continue. JJ: yes that is true but we have gotten extensions on the covid/contact tracing grant which is a few years out.

(Recording cuts out and freezes at this point)

There are some people opposed to the regionalizing and they have some points. JJ: Say you're in a small town in Western Mass, you might have a board member out doing septic inspections or whatever needs to be done because there is no money for a staff person to get trained and hired and supported by the town (this could also go for housing inspections which don't come up that often in smaller towns). This regionalizing idea would move to standardize so that each town has the same support as well as potential new currently unfunded expanded areas that are being considered public health by the state or federal agencies. It's like a big thing going on where we have done a lot with what we have in an environment where there's very little direction being given by the state until just recently where they've started rolling out plans. One thing that they did make us do is having each department head in each town who participates in the regional excellence grant do what I would consider an audit where they had to go through all our work done in the past five years (inspections/permits/any areas we cover through a survey of questions), copy them all, scan them, and send them to the state. This is all the work I've done in the past five years or other work like our food inspection and septic inspection. And they are trying to identify where gaps are in each town and they've supposedly looked at all the survey results and they are having a big webinar to talk to each Regional PHE. For the seven towns that are in this grant, they will be talking to us in a group to identify where the gaps are and talk about next steps. They are starting to move forward but what we've been doing is meeting and making our own plans, projects and focus areas in the meantime so people are doing work that makes sense to the regional group. JS: Would it make sense to do things regionally like the annual hazardous waste day? JJ: We recently have a contract with a company that is able to collect money from the town and offset the cost to the residents when they bring hazardous waste. A portion of money would go towards the waste that they bring. If they have a lot of waste they might need to pay something. We found this through the town of Sudbury who has this arrangement with this company in Sutton but it's really the only thing that I can come up with in the interim until we can figure out a better plan to get rid of hazardous waste that the state would like to see. Towns can enter into/or create a regional depot set up. The state wants towns to create a regional depot but I don't see that as something I could do, that would be a DPW, but it is something the town should consider as the state continues to move forward in trying to encourage these types of setups where all the towns participate in a regional depot. There is one up near Devens but they have maxed out the number of towns. So they are looking for another regional depot. I think it's a heavy lift. You have to get everyone

to agree, you have to figure out the location and costs and different things like that so I have shared the information with the town manager. I know that the DPW received similar information from the state the state is trying to encourage towns to look into this. In the meantime, while we are still trying to figure out what to do with our hazardous waste. We have a plan for now. Unfortunately, it involves driving to Sutton but the town pays for a portion of the waste. We do get a lot of calls on hazardous waste. So if we had something to say, you can go here and the town will pay part of it, I think people will actually do that because if someone is moving and cleaning their house out they probably would consider doing that.

7:35 P.M. Correspondence; email from Jessica Arees teacher at Claypit Hill Elementary regarding health in our schools, email regarding letter of support for St. Anne's development project, Information received from the State Department of Toxicology regarding new freshwater fish consumption advisories, letter from Licensed Site Professional Ben Gould on Public Involvement Plan (PIP) comments regarding Release tracking number 3-13302 for planned CoACC, Environmental notification from MEPA (Mass Environmental Policy Act) regarding Snake Brook Dam rehab project

RD: This is from Jessica Arees, teacher at Claypit: "Dear members of the board of health, I wanted to reach out as I have recently been feeling uneasy about some of the health issues that have risen among staff at our Wayland schools. Specifically, there have been many instances of different cancers where many of them coming from staff members who teach or have previously taught at Claypit. On a personal note, I have been a fifth grade teacher at Claypit since 2015. 2011 had brain surgery to remove a brain tumor from my brain stem. The tumor developed for unknown reasons. Three years prior to that my fifth grade colleague also underwent brain surgery to remove an unexplained brain tumor. I hope that these were just unfortunate consciences. Both thankfully benign. I guess I just wondered if the number of cancers to proceed in our school is typical and if not, is this what the board of health is looking into. Thank you for your time and guidance. Jess Arees" JJ: The state has a specific department that does cancer cluster investigations and studies and it's quite a big initiative to take on. We have been in touch with the state regarding the breast cancer incident in Wayland a number of years ago and they did look into things for us. I'm not sure how they would handle a school where we have teachers from different areas and living in different towns. So they would be looking at a lot of different factors that weigh into their health. Including the school. But I can reach out to the person and advise them to contact the state BEH, it is certainly outside of the scope of the board of health or health department. AS: I think cancer clusters, and Gigi can speak to it also. They are very complicated, there's a lot of death and data gathering. But it's somewhat, this department of epidemiology that the state you hook up the individual who wrote the concern and give her the information that she may want to act on herself and guide her or let her pick it up and go from there. They just need a lot of work and there's always major concerns among many employees. It should be handing it all off.

JJ: We already talked about St. Anne's. We talked about the fish consumption advisory and the new advisory. Ben Gould Licensed Site professional and the public involvement plan. Public tracking number 3-13302 for the COACC. They have recently shared with us the release abatement measure plan which is basically what their plans are for construction related activities pertaining to the site redevelopment and what they need to do on behalf of the tracking number through the state, the RTN 3-13302 and they have had presentations of the PIP to share with the public but the state does require the plan to be, and it's actually available in our office we just received it today, that includes what their plans are for activities at

the site, how they are going to manage those activities and the have LSP overseeing all those activities the license site professional. To move forward they do need a sign off from the LSP of record, John Drobinski, that's one last thing that the town needs to get in order to move forward with the plan.

JJ: We got notification that through the MEPA cite that Snake Brooke dam. They are working on a dam in the rehabilitation there off of Rice road and Woodridge road. It's an earthen dam that has a history of being a reservoir from some years ago. It is a concern because when the dam has a lot of water in it, there is a serious concern for structural stability. This plan is to restore the long term safety and stability to the existing structure. They've gone through a process to use some ARPA funding and they are trying to get grant money paid for this work to be done. This has been on the radar for so many years and it hasn't happened yet. Now, it's been on MEPA's radar and it has to go through MEPA because of certain work that's planned to be done there and how it will be done because there is certain work that needs to be approved by the state, Feds, and conservation. RCE: Is it private property? JJ: No, its town property. We've been notified through MEPA that this has been submitted to shore up and restore the dam so that it's safer.

Copy of the dam map was distributed by Director Julia Junghanns.

7:35 P.M. General business, Bills, minutes January 1, 2023

(Note: Meeting ended up recalling the Snake Brooke Dam from the previous section. General business, bills, and Jan 1st. 2023 minutes were not addressed)

RD: Motion to adjourn JS: Yes AS: Yes GA: Yes RCE: Yes RD: And myself. Meeting adjourned.

031323minutes

APPROVED 071023