



STEPHEN CRANE
ACTING TOWN MANAGER
(508) 358-3620
www.wayland.ma.us

TOWN OF WAYLAND

41 COCHITUATE ROAD
WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS 01778

SELECT BOARD

THOMAS J. FAY
ADAM G. GUTBEZAHL
CHERRY C. KARLSON
CAROL B. MARTIN
DAVID V. WATKINS

Meeting Minutes Select Board Monday, September 12, 2022 7:00 p.m. Town Building, Large Hearing Room 41 Cochituate Road, Wayland, MA

Present: Thomas J. Fay, Adam G. Gutbezahl, Cherry C. Karlson, Carol B. Martin, David V. Watkins

Also Present: Acting Town Manager Stephen Crane, Assistant Town Manager John Bugbee, Amy Kwesell, K-P Law, P.C.

A1. Call to Order, Review the Agenda for Public: At 7:01 p.m., Chair, C. Karlson called the meeting of the Select Board to order when a quorum was present and announced that the meeting would be conducted in a hybrid-format (both via teleconference and in person) and would be broadcast live and recorded for re-broadcast. C. Karlson announced each member by full name and reviewed the agenda for the public.

A2. Announcements and Public Comment: A. Gutbezahl announced that the Wayland Cultural Council would accept grant applications until October 17, 2022.

Gretchen Schuler, Old Connecticut Path, read a written statement thanking the Town Clerk's Office and S. Koffman on efforts related to the recent State Primary Election while the Town Clerk seat remained vacant. C. Karlson acknowledged S. Koffman and the staff who supported the election, she also described the efforts to fill the vacancy.

A3. Minutes: a. Review and vote to approve the regular session minutes of August 29, 2022:

C. Martin moved, seconded by A. Gutbezahl, that the Board approve the regular session minutes of August 29, 2022, as amended. Vote: YEA: T. Fay, A. Gutbezahl, C. Karlson, C. Martin, D. Watkins. NAY: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: None. Adopted 5-0.

A3.b. Release with redactions the executive session minutes related to discussing strategy with respect to David Bernstein v. Planning Board of Wayland et al.: July 8, 2008; July 2, 2008; June 23, 2008; June 16, 2008; June 9, 2008; June 2, 2008; May 5, 2008; April 28, 2008; March 17, 2008; February 25, 2008; February 19, 2008; February 11, 2008; March 23, 2009; January 19, 2010; January 12, 2015; February 10, 2015; March 16, 2015; April 13, 2015; May 18, 2015; June 24, 2015; July 13, 2015; September 8, 2015; September 11, 2015; October 5, 2015; February 1, 2016; March 21, 2016; May 23, 2016; August 3, 2016; July 24, 2017; September 18, 2017; April 30, 2018; February 4, 2019; March 25, 2019; July 15, 2019; September 2, 2021; November 8, 2021; January 10, 2022; January 18, 2022; January 24, 2022; February 9, 2022; February 28, 2022, and May 23, 2022:

C. Martin moved, seconded by D. Watkins, that the Board release with redactions the executive session minutes related to David Bernstein v. Planning Board of Wayland et al. as shown on the agenda. Vote: YEA: T. Fay, A. Gutbezahl, C. Karlson, C. Martin, D. Watkins. NAY: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: None. Adopted 5-0.

A4. Glezen Lane Traffic Forum: C. Karlson opened the community forum and outlined the procedures for public comment. C. Karlson introduced Town Counsel Amy Kwesell, and noted that A. Kwesell had been involved in the related litigation: David Bernstein v. Planning Board of Wayland et al. since late 2015.

C. Karlson provided an history of the litigation and noted that the resulting traffic mitigation on Glezen Lane had not changed since 2008, when the Town and plaintiffs entered into a Consent Judgment. C. Karlson noted that in 2008, a group of eleven residents who were concerned about potential traffic resulting from the development of Town Center and the then Board of Selectmen agreed to the Consent Judgment. C. Karlson

noted that discussions, negotiations and litigation had continued since 2008 – through five acting and interim Town Managers, three different legal counsel, and many Select Board members. C. Karlson noted that the litigation had cost the Town over \$80,000 in legal fees.

C. Karlson described the Consent Judgment which created a tiered traffic mitigation approach based on traffic volumes. In 2015, when traffic volumes reached a level necessitating the physical change to the intersection, the Town sought the Court's permission to do another traffic study, which she noted was posted on the Town's webpage. C. Karlson reported that no resolution was found and litigation continued when the Town did not immediately repost the turn restriction signs.

C. Karlson reported that in September 2021, the current Select Board entered negotiations with the remaining party, D. Bernstein, to settle the most recent appeal and bring the litigation to a close. The negotiations resulted in the May 2022 Settlement Agreement, which the Town agreed to and reposted the turn restriction signs that were removed in 2015 in exchange for ending the litigation, retaining the right to adjust traffic mitigation steps with any reductions in traffic volumes, and removing the signs when the plaintiff lives more than one mile from Glezen Lane. C. Karlson reported that the Select Board may have wanted a different outcome but had to weigh the possibility of a court ordered payment of \$150,000 of the plaintiff's legal fees with the risk of continued litigation. C. Karlson added that the Board considered the decision points, the risk exposures with ongoing litigation and determined a pathway out for the entire Town.

Town Counsel, Amy Kwesell of K-P Law P.C., provided a history of the litigation and reviewed options the Board could consider. A. Kwesell reported that in 2008 eleven plaintiffs had filed a complaint appealing the Special Permit issued by the Planning Board to Twenty Wayland, LLC for the Town Center development. In 2008 the parties entered into an agreement known as the Consent Judgment, which incorporated a traffic mitigation plan related to the traffic levels. In 2014, the plaintiffs gave notice that the mitigation measures had been triggered. In 2015 the Town filed a motion for temporary relief from Judgment, which the court granted.

A. Kwesell stated that in 2016, the Town filed motions for temporary and permanent relief from the final mitigation measures, in 2017 Superior Court granted the Town's motion for permanent relief. The plaintiffs did not appeal. In 2017, the plaintiffs filed a notice of default when the signage was not in place, the Town in turn asked for clarification from Superior Court. The Superior Court ruled that the signage, police patrols and permanent on- the-ground measures were all included in the prior Judgment. A. Kwesell reported that that decision was appealed to the Massachusetts Appeals Court, which remanded the matter back to Superior Court to re-open the hearing as to signage and police patrols. A. Kwesell described that in 2019, there was second evidentiary hearing and the Superior Court affirmed the Town's position in 2020. In August 2021, the plaintiffs again appealed to the Massachusetts Appeals Court, which was again remanded back to Superior Court for additional findings. In August 2021, there was a procedural order and the parties agreed to enter into a settlement agreement.

A. Kwesell summarized the Select Board options were now to a) abide by the 2008 Consent Judgment as modified by the May 2022 Settlement Agreement, meaning that the signs and turn restrictions remain in place, b) conduct traffic counts to determine the 2022 base level of traffic on Glezen Lane, as traffic mitigation measures can be adjusted if traffic volumes are less than what was anticipated in 2008 or c) the Board be willing to enter negotiations with the involved parties.

C. Karlson opened the forum for public comment and listed by name twenty-seven residents from which the Board had received emails and written public comment, the comments were included in the Board packet and available online.

John Bartick, Barley Lane, joined in person and asked about the costs related to conducting a traffic study. C. Karlson noted that the cost for future traffic studies is not known.

Channing Ferrer, Sedgemoor Road, joined in person and questioned the methodology for the traffic monitoring and why the Select Board chose to have the forum after the parties came to an agreement. C. Karlson reviewed that state law required such litigation to remain in executive session. C. Ferrer implied further litigation. C. Karlson noted that to maintain attorney-client privilege the implication of potential future litigation would require the matter to move to additional executive sessions.

Cara Britton, Glezen Lane, joined in person and reported that the neighbors had attempted to speak to D. Bernstein and he was not willing to discuss the matter, she also suggested traffic data collection methods.

Justin Adelson, Saddle Lane, joined via teleconference and commented that he was disappointed to see the turn restriction signs re-posted and requested to review the minutes due to the poor audio quality.

Jennifer Ray, Saddle Lane, joined in person and read a statement stating that the settlement does not prioritize safety over convenience, as purported by the plaintiff.

Richard Turner, Nob Hill Road, joined via teleconference and commented that Glezen Road is a public road.

Janot Mendler de Suarez, Orchard Lane, joined in person and commented that the settlement brought significant harm to the greater neighborhood beyond Glezen Lane which outweighed the presumed safety measures.

Lea Anderson, Sedgemoor Road, joined in person and read a statement commenting that Glezen Lane was now essentially privatized due to the efforts of a single household. L. Anderson offered two solutions: to appeal to the good will of the Bernstein's and remove the signs or to conduct an additional traffic study that could determine that the signs are not needed. L. Anderson asked the Board to also consider the impacts on the Police Department.

Penelope Beer, Autumn Lane, joined via teleconference and asked if the population of the area would be considered when a traffic study is conducted. C. Karlson noted that there is a one-percent annual traffic escalation factored into the calculation, not population.

Richard Brisk, Sedgemoor Road, joined in person and commented on the danger the turn-restriction created, as drivers were forced to turn right and make u-turns in the neighboring golf course parking lot.

Frank Kennedy, Old Sudbury Road joined in person and commented on the safety of the intersection and questioned if the turn-restrictions were reasonable. F. Kennedy reported that drivers use his driveway to turnaround.

Matthew Liebmann, Old Sudbury Road, joined in person and asked how the hours of the turn restrictions were established. C. Karlson noted it was part of the settlement negotiation.

William Petri, Glezen Lane, joined in person and noted that he was a former plaintiff in 2008, he described the lawsuit was initially intended to slow the dangerous speeding on Glezen Lane, and that the speed bumps had successfully mitigated speeding. W. Petri described the second intent of the litigation was related to traffic for the Town Center development. W. Petri added that the other plaintiffs withdrew from the litigation because the data did not support mitigation beyond the speed bumps.

Jonathan Rosenberg, Glezen Lane, joined in person noted that the street was a public road and in jest, recommended more speed bumps on Glezen.

Kevin Pletta, Glezen Lane, joined via teleconference and agreed that the speed bumps helped, but felt that the turn-restrictions did not seem to increase safety or control the volume of cars. K. Pletta noted that River's Edge development would also impact traffic on the Glezen area.

Katie Ayer, Claypit Hill Road, joined in person and pointed out that the traffic permits drivers to drive through to Sudbury, but not drive through to Wayland.

David Bernstein, Glezen Lane, joined in person and reported that the Town Center Project developers conducted a study that showed that Glezen Lane would be the main thoroughfare to and from Town Center and agreed that traffic mitigation would be deployed. D. Bernstein reported that the police chief at the time told

him the street was unsafe. D. Bernstein noted that there were thirteen original plaintiffs, some who still support the litigation. D. Bernstein noted that continuous traffic monitoring would be necessary to remove any traffic mitigation measures and were essential to safety. D. Bernstein referenced a tragic accident in Wayland, not on Glezen Lane.

Katie Pastor, Pheasant Run, joined via teleconference, and commented that turn restrictions were not making the area safer.

Christopher Nicholas, Glezen Lane, joined in person and commented that over 200 new neighbors joined the neighborhood since 2008 when the matter first was discussed. C. Nicholas noted that the police chief in 2014 noted the road was a public road. C. Nicholas questioned the methodology of the previous traffic study.

Douglas Sacra, Glezen Lane, joined in person and recommended that the Board conduct an appropriate traffic study from Town Center and re-open negotiations of the settlement agreement.

Howard Abel, Sedgemoor Road, joined in person and commented that it was ludicrous and the traffic was not coming from Town Center, as Town Center was mostly vacant.

Michael Walton, Moore Road, joined via teleconference and commented that the vast majority of the neighborhood oppose the traffic mitigation measures and implored the Town to redo the traffic study and re-negotiate the settlement with the one plaintiff.

Andy Yett, Sedgemoor Road, joined in person and asked about the traffic study data.

Niklas Andersson, Glezen Lane, joined in person and commented that the turn restrictions were not the solution to make Glezen safer.

Deborah Tofias, Plain Road, joined via teleconference and commented that it was inequitable for only Glezen Lane to receive traffic mitigation when all roads have traffic.

Neil Weiner, Loblolly Lane, joined in person and asked about the Board's next steps. C. Karlson reported that the Board would discuss next steps at its next meeting and were in agreement that a traffic study was needed.

Joyce Kulhawik, Moore Road, joined via teleconference on behalf of herself and Andrew Cohen, and commented that the Board needed to conduct a study to get better traffic data to determine if it is safer with the turn restrictions or not.

Ravit Reichman, Sedgemoor Road, joined in person and expressed astonishment that there was one person who supported the turn restriction with no data to support it, and he held hostage the dozens of neighbors who oppose the restrictions. C. Karlson noted that the Board entered into the agreement as well as the plaintiff.

A. Gutbezahl thanked the residents for participating in the forum.

Larkin Kao, Glezen Lane, joined via teleconference and commented that she was recently a new resident and she was not aware of the litigation. L. Kao commented that the presumed safety did not outweigh the chronic inconvenience to the greater neighborhood and especially to families with young children.

Arlene Petri, Glezen Lane, joined in person and reported that the initial traffic study was flawed.

Peter Viles, Glezen Lane, joined via teleconference and stated that the traffic has increased since 1946.

Jane Tausig, Sedgemoor Road, joined in person and commented that she was proud of the community for coming together to work toward a solution, and the restriction on Glezen is not necessarily the solution.

Paul Zaferiou, Glezen Lane, joined in person and commented that turn restrictions move the problem to the intersection at the Public Library.

David Ducheneau, Ellen Mary Lane, joined in person and asked the Board why the forum did not occur before the agreement, and asked if the Bernstein's were paid as a result of the settlement agreement. C. Karlson reported that the Town paid a \$65,000 reimbursement for the attorney fees and costs incurred by the Bernstein's, she added that the agreement was public record and available on the town webpage.

Gina Dallin, Glezen Lane, joined in person and recommended a traffic study consider the impacts of school and bus traffic, and that Bow Road and Claypit Hill Road have similar traffic problems. She added that it was dangerous because people are turning around in private driveways and the golf course parking lot.

Janot Mendler de Suarez, Orchard Lane, joined in person and suggested that the road surface be converted to gravel to reduce traffic, preserve rural character and be more sustainable and climate friendly.

Cara Britton, Glezen Lane, joined in person and stated that D. Bernstein's characterization of the Glezen Lane traffic to be out of control was exaggerated and inaccurate.

Dave Bernstein, Glezen Lane, joined in person and reported that the data showed an increase in traffic that was not commuter traffic. D. Bernstein acknowledged the suggestion of installing sidewalks would change the safety perspective.

T. Fay thanked the residents for participating and stated that he was hopeful a practical solution would be reached. He encouraged residents to review the executive session minutes since 2008 on the matter. D. Watkins thanked residents for attending and acknowledged that he heard the request for more data. C. Martin acknowledged receipt of the public comments submitted before the forum. C. Karlson stated that the matter would be on the agenda for September 19, 2022.

A5. Traditions of Wayland Assisted Living Facility: discussion and approval of comfort letter pertaining to the sale of Traditions of Wayland, 10 Green Way:

S. Crane reviewed that a potential change in ownership triggered research on the compliance with the Land Development Agreement (LDA) that imposed certain restrictions related to drainage, maintenance and a restriction for affordable housing. S. Crane acknowledged that the Town cannot find the documents that codify the affordable housing restrictions and the comfort letter serves to affirm and codify the intent of the LDA. S. Crane recommended approval of the comfort letter. The Board and A. Kwesell discussed the agreement and comfort letter. T. Fay thanked L. Bloom for assisting with the matter.

T. Fay moved, seconded by D. Watkins, that the Board vote to support and approve the comfort letter pertaining to the sale of Traditions of Wayland, 10 Green Way, Wayland, on page 34 in the packet, as amended. Vote: YEA: T. Fay, A. Gutbezah, C. Karlson, C. Martin, D. Watkins. NAY: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: None. Adopted 5-0.

A. Kwesell left the meeting.

A6. Liquor License Hearing: Change of Manager of Record for BBRG, TR, LLC dba The Coach Grill, 55 Boston Post Road, Wayland, MA, (ABCC License# 00002- RS-1340):

At 9:18 p.m., C. Karlson opened the hearing for the purpose of considering the application to change the manager of record for The Coach Grill at 55 Boston Post Road, Wayland, MA, 01778 filed by BBRG, TR, LLC dba The Coach Grill. C. Karlson noted this change does not require legal notice.

Tom Miller, attorney representing the Coach Grill joined by teleconference and reviewed the application and the qualifications of the potential manager, Joe Battafarano. J. Battafarano described how he implements best practices and the TIPS certification requirements. There was no public comment. At 9:22 p.m., C. Karlson closed the hearing.

T. Fay moved, seconded by A. Gutbezah, that the Select Board approve the Change of Manager of Record for BBRG, TR, LLC dba The Coach Grill, located at 55 Boston Post Road, Wayland, MA pursuant to the application in the packet. Vote: YEA: L. Anderson, M. Antes, T. Fay, C. Karlson, D. Watkins. NAY: none. ABSENT: none. ABSTAIN: none. Adopted 5-0.

A7. Human Rights, Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Committee (HRDEIC): receive update and recommendations; discussion with Committee members:

At 9:27 p.m., Karen Blumenfeld, Vice-Chair of the Human Rights, Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Committee (HRDEIC) joined via teleconference and called to order a meeting of a quorum of the HRDEIC. K. Blumenfeld announced each member by full name: Heather Pineault, Steve Wynne, Yamini Ranjan, Mei Ling Ellerman, Yao wu Tang, participating remotely and Janot Mendler de Suarez participated in person. The members of the HRDEIC presented a slide deck outlining the committee's purpose, its accomplishments, and plans for the next year. S. Wynne reviewed the requested actions by the Board, which were to establish a budget to support a DEI position, review the draft Holiday Display Policy recommendation, acknowledge Indigenous Peoples' Day and review the charge and composition of the HRDEIC. J. Mendler de Suarez reviewed the draft proposed Holiday Display Policy for Town-Owned Public Spaces. The Board, HRDEIC and S. Crane discussed the intent of the policy. The Board discussed the composition of the HRDEIC, and its request to allow all current and future members who are eligible to vote become voting members if they would like when there is an open seat. The HRDEIC adjourned its meeting unanimously. The Board discussed the request to acknowledge Indigenous Peoples' Day as a holiday. S. Crane noted that there would be impacts to the collective bargain agreements and amend the Town bylaws. The Board agreed to discuss the HRDEIC's requests at a future meeting.

A8. 2022 Select Board Annual Report: review draft report: The Board reviewed the draft Annual Report and agreed to adhere to the September 30 deadline and the one-thousand word limit. The Board suggested some revisions and agreed to send revisions to C. Costello who would compile and present a draft for action at the next meeting.

A9. Town Manger Report: a. Update on the Finance Department reorganization, b. Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA) options based on Board of Public Works presentation, c. FY 2024 budget process update, d. Launcher Way bid:

A9.a. Update on the Finance Department reorganization: S. Crane provided an update on the Finance Department Reorganization and staff meetings were scheduled, the cash reconciliation efforts in the Treasurer's Office and reported that FY2022 fiscal year would be closed by October.

A9.b. Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA) options based on Board of Public Works (BoPW) presentation: There was a discussion regarding the presentation made at the prior meeting by the Chair of the Board of Public Works (BoPW). T. Fay asked if the presentation was supported by the BoPW, the Board determined the BoPW had not voted on the matter. S. Crane provided an update on a recent meeting with the Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA) and Natick officials regarding emergency, long-term and permanent connection to MWRA water supply. S. Crane suggested the Board establish a committee to assess the options related to PFAS remediation and connection to MWRA, rather than the working group that the BoPW recommended. C. Martin noted the need to get a recommendation from the BoPW.

A9.c. FY 2024 budget process update: S. Crane reported that he had been consulting with Finance Director, B. Keveny on the FY2024 in light of the Town Manager Act.

A9.d. Launcher Way bid: S. Crane reported that the Town received notice that it was the second highest bidder for the Launcher Way property, the sale would be finalized within sixty to ninety days while the seller closes with the highest bidder.

S. Crane reported that he was negotiating a contract with the Town of Ipswich, but it had not been finalized.

A10. Consent Calendar: review and approve:

1. Vote the question of approving a One-day Beer and Wine Liquor License to Avi Shemtov for an event (wedding) at 2 Orchard Lane on September 24, 2022 from 12:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.
2. Vote the question of approving contract No. M-1215-018, Change Order #6 for Loker Elementary School Roof Replacement Project in the amount of \$129,025.88 with Tower Construction Corp.

T. Fay moved, seconded by D. Watkins, to approve the Consent Calendar. C. Martin asked for clarification on item #2. Vote: YEA: T. Fay, A. Gutbezahl, C. Karlson, C. Martin, D. Watkins. NAY: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: None. Adopted 5-0.

A11. Review Correspondence: T. Fay acknowledged correspondence from the Chair of the Planning Board, and noted that he anticipated the communication would improve now that a Town Planner had been hired. C. Martin and the Board acknowledged and thanked M. Lowery for his service on the BoPW.

A12. Select Board's Reports and Concerns: C. Martin asked about the deed related to the purchase of land at the Town Center.

A13. Topics Not Reasonably Anticipated by the Chair 48 Hours In Advance, If Any: There were none.

A14. Adjourn: At 10:58 p.m., C. Martin moved, seconded by T. Fay, that the Board adjourn the meeting. Vote: YEA: T. Fay, A. Gutbezahl, C. Karlson, C. Martin, D. Watkins. NAY: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: None. Adopted 5-0.

Items included in the packet and updated during the Select Board Meeting of September 12, 2022:

1. Draft: Meeting Minutes of the Select Board: August 29, 2022 7:00 p.m.
2. Correspondence and Public Comment submitted re: Glezen Lane Traffic Mitigation Settlement Agreement resulting from the Bernstein, et al. v. Wayland Planning Board, et al lawsuit:
 - a. Email: To: C. Karlson From: B. Barovick, Sedgemoor Road, Date: 09/08/2022
 - b. Link: Glezen Lane Traffic Mitigation Settlement, <https://www.wayland.ma.us/select-board/pages/glezen-lane-traffic-mitigation-settlement>
 - c. Letter: To: Select Board From: S. Pope, Moore Road, Date: 07/21/2022
 - d. Email: To: Select Board From: G. Dallins, Glezen Lane, Date: 07/11/2022
 - e. Email: To: Select Board From: D. Katz, Sedgemoor Road, Date: 07/12/2022
 - f. Email: To: Select Board From: M. Kosich & B. Mulvey, Sedgemoor Road, Date: 07/14/2022
 - g. Email: To: Select Board From: B. McClendon, Glezen Lane, Date: 07/28/2022
 - h. Email: To: C. Karlson From: D. Sacra, Glezen Lane, Date: 09/07/2022
 - i. Email: To: C. Karlson From: N. and J. A. Weiner, Loblolly Lane, Date: 09/07/2022
 - j. Email: To: C. Karlson From: D. Duchesneau, Ellen Mary Lane, Date: 09/07/2022
 - k. Email: To: C. Karlson From: D. Burnside, Sedgemoor Road, Date: 09/07/2022
 - l. Email: To: Select Board From: D. Burnside, Sedgemoor Road, Date: 09/07/2022
 - m. Email: To: C. Karlson From: A. Duchesneau, Ellen Mary Lane, Date: 09/07/2022
 - n. Email: To: C. Karlson From: C. Brunelli, Glezen Lane, Date: 09/07/2022
 - o. Email: To: C. Karlson From: S. Fischer, Sedgemoor Road, Date: 09/07/2022
 - p. Email: To: C. Karlson From: B. Howell, Holiday Road, Date: 09/07/2022
 - q. Email: To: C. Karlson From: B. Barovick, Sedgemoor Road, Date: 09/08/2022
 - r. Email: To: C. Karlson From: Z. Ventress, Moore Road, Date: 09/09/2022

Supplemental Public Comment:

- s. Email: To: Select Board From: S. & H. Abel, Sedgemoor Road, Date: 07/28/2022
 - t. Letter: To: C. Karlson From: T. & T. Largy, Moore Road
 - u. Email: To: C. Karlson From: H. Foust-Cummings, Spruce Tree Lane, Date: 09/12/2022
 - v. Email: To: C. Karlson From: L. S. Roche, Moore Road, Date: 09/12/2022
 - w. Email: To: C. Karlson From: K. Phillips, Wayside Road, Date: 09/11/2022
 - x. Email: To: C. Karlson From: M. Lan & H. Broekman, Orchard Lane, Date: 09/09/2022
 - y. Email: To: C. Karlson From: E. Seiberling, Sedgemoor Road, Date: 09/09/2022
3. Letter: To: Select Board From: C. Murray, Esq. Burns & Levinson LLP Re: Traditions of Wayland Assisted Living Facility – 10 Green Way, Wayland, MA, Date: 07/14/2022 Attachments: Comfort Letter; Paine Estate Development Agreement, dated 06/29/1998 (Book 28781 Pg. 609-626; Notice of Appeal, dated 07/28/1998 from Book 28996 Pg. 409-425
4. Letter: To: Town Clerk From: T. Miller Esq. McDermott, Quilty & Miller LLP, dated 08/24/2022 Re: Application for Change of Manager Record BBRG TR, LLC d/b/a Coach Grill 55 Boston Post

Road with attachments: payment confirmation, application, email from E. Burman Wayland Police Department dated 09/08/2022

5. Slide deck: HRDEIC Meeting with the Select Board September 12, 2022
6. Letter: To: S. Crane From: D. Carrier-Tal, Esq. US Army Corps of Engineers, Norfolk District, Dated 08/29/2022 re: Bid to purchase US Government owned land, with bid sheets
7. Letter: To: B. Keveny, Finance Director, From: H. York, CPA, - Principal, CLA, Date: 9/8/22, Re: FY2022 Cash Reconciliation status update.
8. Letter: To: J. Pessimato, Interim Town Clerk, Cc: L. Goldberg K-P Law, PC From: Maura Healey, Massachusetts Attorney General By: Kelli E. Gunagan, Assistant Attorney General, dated 09/01/2022 Re: Wayland Annual Town Meeting of May 14, 2022 -- Case # 10623, Warrant Articles # 13 and 19 (Zoning), Warrant Article # 26 (General) and Warrant Article # 17 (Historic)

Supplemental Packet:

9. Draft: Proposed Holiday Display Policy for Town-Owned Public Spaces in Wayland Human Rights, Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Committee, dated September 1, 2022
10. Email: To: Board & Committee Chairs From: C. Costello Re: Annual Report, Dated July 1, 2022
11. Draft: Annual Report Select Board, dated 08/11/2022

Correspondence:

1. Correspondence from Anette Lewis, dated August 26, 2022 re: Zoning guidelines for MBTA Adjacent Communities.
2. Correspondence from Anette Lewis, dated August 30, 2022 re: Communication, Coordination & Cooperation.
3. Correspondence from Mike Lowery, dated September 7, 2022 re: Resignation from the Board of Public Works.
4. Correspondence from Sherman Homan, dated August 25, 2022 re: Wayland Gun Club.
5. Correspondence from G. Larsen, dated August 25, 2022 re: Placement of Wireless communications equipment on the existing utility poles.
6. Correspondence from G. Larsen, dated August 25, 2022 re: ZBA 9.13.22 hearing, Building Department Update; Placement of Wireless communications' equipment on existing Utility poles located in a numbered route public "right of way"
7. Correspondence from John Sax, dated September 1, 2022 re: Public Records Request.
8. Correspondence from Carole Plumb, dated September 7, 2022 re: recognition of Susan Koffman
9. Correspondence from Board of Public Works, dated August 23, 2022 re: Request for Support on Possible Full or Partial MWRA Conversion.
10. Correspondence from J. Bugbee, dated August 26, 2022 re: Town Manager Screening Committee.