

Wednesday, March 4, 2020

Wayland Conservation Commission
41 Cochituate Road
Wayland, MA 01778

Re: NOI Filing
Loker Conservation and Recreation Area
412 Commonwealth Road
Wayland, MA 01778

RECEIVED
MAR 04 2020
WAYLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION

The Town of Wayland is hereby enclosing two (2) copies (including original) of the Notice of Intent submittal (including plans) to fulfill the requirements of the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act, M.G.L. Chapter 131, Section 40 submittal requirements and the Town of Wayland submittal requirements. This submittal is a formal Notice of Intent for the multi-purpose athletic field proposed at the Loker Conservation and Recreation Area.

As part of the filing, we have attached the following:

Appendix A: Project Description

Appendix B: Alternatives Analysis

Appendix C: Stormwater Report

- A. Attachment A - Locus Map
- B. Attachment B - NRCS Soils Map, Soils Report, and HSG Classifications
- C. Attachment C - Test Pit Summary and Logs
- D. Attachment D - Stormwater Modeling
 - i. HydroCAD model output
- E. Attachment E - Calculations
 - i. Required Recharge Calculation
 - ii. Water Quality Volume Calculation
 - iii. TSS Removal Worksheet
- F. Attachment F - Long Term Pollution Prevention Plan
- G. Attachment G - Construction Period Pollution and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan
- H. Attachment H - Operations and Maintenance Plan
- I. Attachment I - Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement

Appendix D: Project Maps

Appendix E: Contract Specifications

Appendix F: Abutters List / Notice to Abutters

Appendix G: Wetlands Memorandum

Appendix H: Tree Memorandum

Appendix I: [Response to Conservation Commission Questions after first NOI](#)

Appendix J: [Bidding Documents / Site Plans \(02/28/2019\)](#)

Appendix K: [PFAS Memo & Infill Chart](#)

Appendix L: [LSP Opinions \(4\)](#)

Appendix M: [Vernal Pool Information](#)

Appendix N: [Sports Lighting Photometric Plan from Musco Lighting, Inc.](#)



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

Provided by MassDEP:

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent


Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number

City/Town

Important:
When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.



Note:
Before completing this form consult your local Conservation Commission regarding any municipal bylaw or ordinance.

A. General Information

1. Project Location (**Note:** electronic filers will click on button to locate project site):

412 Commonwealth Road

a. Street Address

Wayland

b. City/Town

01778

c. Zip Code

Latitude and Longitude:

42deg 19'34.61"N

d. Latitude

71deg 20'36.25"W

e. Longitude

49

f. Assessors Map/Plat Number

064B

g. Parcel /Lot Number

2. Applicant:

Louise

a. First Name

Miller

b. Last Name

Town of Wayland - Town Administrator

c. Organization

41 Cochituate Road

d. Street Address

Wayland

e. City/Town

MA

f. State

01778

g. Zip Code

h. Phone Number

i. Fax Number

j. Email Address

3. Property owner (required if different from applicant):

Check if more than one owner

a. First Name

b. Last Name

c. Organization

d. Street Address

e. City/Town

f. State

g. Zip Code

h. Phone Number

i. Fax Number

j. Email address

4. Representative (if any):

Brandon

a. First Name

Kunkel

b. Last Name

Weston & Sampson

c. Company

85 Devonshire Street, 3rd Floor

d. Street Address

Boston

e. City/Town

MA

f. State

01960

g. Zip Code

(617) 412-440, ext.7705

i. Fax Number

kunkelb@wseinc.com

j. Email address


5. Total WPA Fee Paid (from NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form):

exempt

a. Total Fee Paid

b. State Fee Paid

c. City/Town Fee Paid



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
 Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands
WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent
 Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Provided by MassDEP:

 MassDEP File Number

 Document Transaction Number

 City/Town

A. General Information (continued)

6. General Project Description:

Addition of a multi-purpose athletic field at Loker Conservation and Recreation Area (See Appendix A for additional information)

7a. Project Type Checklist: (Limited Project Types see Section A. 7b.)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Single Family Home | 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Residential Subdivision |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial/Industrial | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Dock/Pier |
| 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Utilities | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal engineering Structure |
| 7. <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture (e.g., cranberries, forestry) | 8. <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation |
| 9. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other | |

7b. Is any portion of the proposed activity eligible to be treated as a limited project (including Ecological Restoration Limited Project) subject to 310 CMR 10.24 (coastal) or 310 CMR 10.53 (inland)?

1. Yes No If yes, describe which limited project applies to this project. (See 310 CMR 10.24 and 10.53 for a complete list and description of limited project types)

2. Limited Project Type

If the proposed activity is eligible to be treated as an Ecological Restoration Limited Project (310 CMR 10.24(8), 310 CMR 10.53(4)), complete and attach Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Limited Project Checklist and Signed Certification.

8. Property recorded at the Registry of Deeds for:

Middlesex

a. County

31387

c. Book

b. Certificate # (if registered land)

167

d. Page Number

B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent)

- Buffer Zone Only – Check if the project is located only in the Buffer Zone of a Bordering Vegetated Wetland, Inland Bank, or Coastal Resource Area.
- Inland Resource Areas (see 310 CMR 10.54-10.58; if not applicable, go to Section B.3, Coastal Resource Areas).

Check all that apply below. Attach narrative and any supporting documentation describing how the project will meet all performance standards for each of the resource areas altered, including standards requiring consideration of alternative project design or location.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number _____

Document Transaction Number _____

City/Town _____

B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent) (cont'd)

For all projects affecting other Resource Areas, please attach a narrative explaining how the resource area was delineated.

<u>Resource Area</u>	<u>Size of Proposed Alteration</u>	<u>Proposed Replacement (if any)</u>
a. <input type="checkbox"/> Bank	1. linear feet _____	2. linear feet _____
b. <input type="checkbox"/> Bordering Vegetated Wetland	1. square feet _____	2. square feet _____
c. <input type="checkbox"/> Land Under Waterbodies and Waterways	1. square feet _____ 3. cubic yards dredged _____	2. square feet _____

<u>Resource Area</u>	<u>Size of Proposed Alteration</u>	<u>Proposed Replacement (if any)</u>
d. <input type="checkbox"/> Bordering Land Subject to Flooding	1. square feet _____ 3. cubic feet of flood storage lost _____	2. square feet _____ 4. cubic feet replaced _____
e. <input type="checkbox"/> Isolated Land Subject to Flooding	1. square feet _____ 2. cubic feet of flood storage lost _____	3. cubic feet replaced _____

- f. Riverfront Area
- Name of Waterway (if available) - **specify coastal or inland** _____
 - Width of Riverfront Area (check one):
 - 25 ft. - Designated Densely Developed Areas only
 - 100 ft. - New agricultural projects only
 - 200 ft. - All other projects

3. Total area of Riverfront Area on the site of the proposed project: _____ square feet

4. Proposed alteration of the Riverfront Area:


a. total square feet _____	b. square feet within 100 ft. _____	c. square feet between 100 ft. and 200 ft. _____
----------------------------	-------------------------------------	--

5. Has an alternatives analysis been done and is it attached to this NOI? Yes No

6. Was the lot where the activity is proposed created prior to August 1, 1996? Yes No

3. Coastal Resource Areas: (See 310 CMR 10.25-10.35)

Note: for coastal riverfront areas, please complete **Section B.2.f.** above.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
 Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands
WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent
 Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number _____

Document Transaction Number _____

City/Town _____

B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent) (cont'd)

Check all that apply below. Attach narrative and supporting documentation describing how the project will meet all performance standards for each of the resource areas altered, including standards requiring consideration of alternative project design or location.

Online Users:
 Include your document transaction number (provided on your receipt page) with all supplementary information you submit to the Department.

<u>Resource Area</u>	<u>Size of Proposed Alteration</u>	<u>Proposed Replacement (if any)</u>
a. <input type="checkbox"/> Designated Port Areas	Indicate size under Land Under the Ocean, below	
b. <input type="checkbox"/> Land Under the Ocean	1. square feet _____	
	2. cubic yards dredged _____	
c. <input type="checkbox"/> Barrier Beach	Indicate size under Coastal Beaches and/or Coastal Dunes below	
d. <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Beaches	1. square feet _____	2. cubic yards beach nourishment _____
e. <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Dunes	1. square feet _____	2. cubic yards dune nourishment _____

	<u>Size of Proposed Alteration</u>	<u>Proposed Replacement (if any)</u>
f. <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Banks	1. linear feet _____	
g. <input type="checkbox"/> Rocky Intertidal Shores	1. square feet _____	
h. <input type="checkbox"/> Salt Marshes	1. square feet _____	2. sq ft restoration, rehab., creation _____
i. <input type="checkbox"/> Land Under Salt Ponds	1. square feet _____	
	2. cubic yards dredged _____	
j. <input type="checkbox"/> Land Containing Shellfish	1. square feet _____	
k. <input type="checkbox"/> Fish Runs	Indicate size under Coastal Banks, inland Bank, Land Under the Ocean, and/or inland Land Under Waterbodies and Waterways, above	
	1. cubic yards dredged _____	
l. <input type="checkbox"/> Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage	1. square feet _____	

4. Restoration/Enhancement
 If the project is for the purpose of restoring or enhancing a wetland resource area in addition to the square footage that has been entered in Section B.2.b or B.3.h above, please enter the additional amount here.

_____ a. square feet of BVW _____ b. square feet of Salt Marsh

5. Project Involves Stream Crossings

_____ a. number of new stream crossings _____ b. number of replacement stream crossings



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands
WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent
Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number _____

Document Transaction Number _____

City/Town _____

C. Other Applicable Standards and Requirements

- This is a proposal for an Ecological Restoration Limited Project. Skip Section C and complete Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Limited Project Checklists – Required Actions (310 CMR 10.11).

Streamlined Massachusetts Endangered Species Act/Wetlands Protection Act Review

1. Is any portion of the proposed project located in **Estimated Habitat of Rare Wildlife** as indicated on the most recent Estimated Habitat Map of State-Listed Rare Wetland Wildlife published by the Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NHESP)? To view habitat maps, see the *Massachusetts Natural Heritage Atlas* or go to http://maps.massgis.state.ma.us/PRI_EST_HAB/viewer.htm.

- a. Yes No **If yes, include proof of mailing or hand delivery of NOI to:**

**Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program
Division of Fisheries and Wildlife
1 Rabbit Hill Road
Westborough, MA 01581**

- 2018 _____
b. Date of map


If yes, the project is also subject to Massachusetts Endangered Species Act (MESA) review (321 CMR 10.18). To qualify for a streamlined, 30-day, MESA/Wetlands Protection Act review, please complete Section C.1.c, and include requested materials with this Notice of Intent (NOI); *OR* complete Section C.2.f, if applicable. *If MESA supplemental information is not included with the NOI, by completing Section 1 of this form, the NHESP will require a separate MESA filing which may take up to 90 days to review (unless noted exceptions in Section 2 apply, see below).*

- c. Submit Supplemental Information for Endangered Species Review*

1. Percentage/acreage of property to be altered:
- (a) within wetland Resource Area _____
percentage/acreage
- (b) outside Resource Area _____
percentage/acreage
2. Assessor's Map or right-of-way plan of site
2. Project plans for entire project site, including wetland resource areas and areas outside of wetlands jurisdiction, showing existing and proposed conditions, existing and proposed tree/vegetation clearing line, and clearly demarcated limits of work **
- (a) Project description (including description of impacts outside of wetland resource area & buffer zone)
- (b) Photographs representative of the site

* Some projects **not** in Estimated Habitat may be located in Priority Habitat, and require NHESP review (see <http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/dfg/dfw/natural-heritage/regulatory-review/>). Priority Habitat includes habitat for state-listed plants and strictly upland species not protected by the Wetlands Protection Act.

** MESA projects may not be segmented (321 CMR 10.16). The applicant must disclose full development plans even if such plans are not required as part of the Notice of Intent process.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

Provided by MassDEP:

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

MassDEP File Number _____

Document Transaction Number _____

City/Town _____

C. Other Applicable Standards and Requirements (cont'd)

(c) MESA filing fee (fee information available at http://www.mass.gov/dfwele/dfw/nhesp/regulatory_review/ mesa/ mesa_fee_schedule.htm). Make check payable to "Commonwealth of Massachusetts - NHESP" and **mail to NHESP** at above address

Projects altering 10 or more acres of land, also submit:

(d) Vegetation cover type map of site

(e) Project plans showing Priority & Estimated Habitat boundaries

(f) OR Check One of the Following

1. Project is exempt from MESA review.
Attach applicant letter indicating which MESA exemption applies. (See 321 CMR 10.14, http://www.mass.gov/dfwele/dfw/nhesp/regulatory_review/ mesa/ mesa_exemptions.htm; the NOI must still be sent to NHESP if the project is within estimated habitat pursuant to 310 CMR 10.37 and 10.59.)

2. Separate MESA review ongoing. _____
a. NHESP Tracking # _____ b. Date submitted to NHESP _____

3. Separate MESA review completed.
Include copy of NHESP "no Take" determination or valid Conservation & Management Permit with approved plan.

3. For coastal projects only, is any portion of the proposed project located below the mean high water line or in a fish run?

a. Not applicable – project is in inland resource area only b. Yes No

If yes, include proof of mailing, hand delivery, or electronic delivery of NOI to either:


South Shore - Cohasset to Rhode Island border, and the Cape & Islands:

North Shore - Hull to New Hampshire border:

Division of Marine Fisheries -
Southeast Marine Fisheries Station
Attn: Environmental Reviewer
836 South Rodney French Blvd.
New Bedford, MA 02744
Email: DMF.EnvReview-South@state.ma.us

Division of Marine Fisheries -
North Shore Office
Attn: Environmental Reviewer
30 Emerson Avenue
Gloucester, MA 01930
Email: DMF.EnvReview-North@state.ma.us

Also if yes, the project may require a Chapter 91 license. For coastal towns in the Northeast Region, please contact MassDEP's Boston Office. For coastal towns in the Southeast Region, please contact MassDEP's Southeast Regional Office.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
 Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands
WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent
 Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number _____

Document Transaction Number _____

City/Town _____

C. Other Applicable Standards and Requirements (cont'd)

Online Users:
Include your document transaction number (provided on your receipt page) with all supplementary information you submit to the Department.

4. Is any portion of the proposed project within an Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC)?
 a. Yes No If yes, provide name of ACEC (see instructions to WPA Form 3 or MassDEP Website for ACEC locations). **Note:** electronic filers click on Website.
 b. ACEC _____
5. Is any portion of the proposed project within an area designated as an Outstanding Resource Water (ORW) as designated in the Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Standards, 314 CMR 4.00?
 a. Yes No
6. Is any portion of the site subject to a Wetlands Restriction Order under the Inland Wetlands Restriction Act (M.G.L. c. 131, § 40A) or the Coastal Wetlands Restriction Act (M.G.L. c. 130, § 105)?
 a. Yes No
7. Is this project subject to provisions of the MassDEP Stormwater Management Standards?
 a. Yes. Attach a copy of the Stormwater Report as required by the Stormwater Management Standards per 310 CMR 10.05(6)(k)-(q) and check if:
 1. Applying for Low Impact Development (LID) site design credits (as described in Stormwater Management Handbook Vol. 2, Chapter 3)
 2. A portion of the site constitutes redevelopment
 3. Proprietary BMPs are included in the Stormwater Management System.
 b. No. Check why the project is exempt:
 1. Single-family house
 2. Emergency road repair
 3. Small Residential Subdivision (less than or equal to 4 single-family houses or less than or equal to 4 units in multi-family housing project) with no discharge to Critical Areas.

D. Additional Information

- This is a proposal for an Ecological Restoration Limited Project. Skip Section D and complete Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Notice of Intent – Minimum Required Documents (310 CMR 10.12).

Applicants must include the following with this Notice of Intent (NOI). See instructions for details.

Online Users: Attach the document transaction number (provided on your receipt page) for any of the following information you submit to the Department.

1. USGS or other map of the area (along with a narrative description, if necessary) containing sufficient information for the Conservation Commission and the Department to locate the site. (Electronic filers may omit this item.)
2. Plans identifying the location of proposed activities (including activities proposed to serve as a Bordering Vegetated Wetland [BVW] replication area or other mitigating measure) relative to the boundaries of each affected resource area.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

Provided by MassDEP:

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

MassDEP File Number _____

Document Transaction Number _____

City/Town _____

D. Additional Information (cont'd)

3. Identify the method for BVW and other resource area boundary delineations (MassDEP BVW Field Data Form(s), Determination of Applicability, Order of Resource Area Delineation, etc.), and attach documentation of the methodology.

4. List the titles and dates for all plans and other materials submitted with this NOI.

a. Plan Title _____

b. Prepared By _____

c. Signed and Stamped by _____

d. Final Revision Date _____

e. Scale _____

f. Additional Plan or Document Title _____

g. Date _____

- 5. If there is more than one property owner, please attach a list of these property owners not listed on this form.
- 6. Attach proof of mailing for Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program, if needed.
- 7. Attach proof of mailing for Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries, if needed.
- 8. Attach NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form
- 9. Attach Stormwater Report, if needed.

E. Fees

1. Fee Exempt: No filing fee shall be assessed for projects of any city, town, county, or district of the Commonwealth, federally recognized Indian tribe housing authority, municipal housing authority, or the Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority.

Applicants must submit the following information (in addition to pages 1 and 2 of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form) to confirm fee payment:

2. Municipal Check Number _____

3. Check date _____

4. State Check Number _____

5. Check date _____

6. Payor name on check: First Name _____

7. Payor name on check: Last Name _____



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

Provided by MassDEP:

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number

City/Town

F. Signatures and Submittal Requirements

I hereby certify under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing Notice of Intent and accompanying plans, documents, and supporting data are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the Conservation Commission will place notification of this Notice in a local newspaper at the expense of the applicant in accordance with the wetlands regulations, 310 CMR 10.05(5)(a).

I further certify under penalties of perjury that all abutters were notified of this application, pursuant to the requirements of M.G.L. c. 131, § 40. Notice must be made by Certificate of Mailing or in writing by hand delivery or certified mail (return receipt requested) to all abutters within 100 feet of the property line of the project location.

David Miller
1. Signature of Applicant

3/4/2020
2. Date

Town of Wayland
3. Signature of Property Owner (if different)

4. Date

5. Signature of Representative (if any)

6. Date

For Conservation Commission:

Two copies of the completed Notice of Intent (Form 3), including supporting plans and documents, two copies of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form, and the city/town fee payment, to the Conservation Commission by certified mail or hand delivery.

For MassDEP:

One copy of the completed Notice of Intent (Form 3), including supporting plans and documents, one copy of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form, and a **copy** of the state fee payment to the MassDEP Regional Office (see Instructions) by certified mail or hand delivery.

Other:


If the applicant has checked the "yes" box in any part of Section C, Item 3, above, refer to that section and the Instructions for additional submittal requirements.

The original and copies must be sent simultaneously. Failure by the applicant to send copies in a timely manner may result in dismissal of the Notice of Intent.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
 Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands
NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form
 Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Important: When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.



A. Applicant Information

1. Location of Project:

a. Street Address _____ b. City/Town _____
 c. Check number _____ d. Fee amount _____

2. Applicant Mailing Address:

a. First Name _____ b. Last Name _____
 c. Organization _____
 d. Mailing Address _____
 e. City/Town _____ f. State _____ g. Zip Code _____
 h. Phone Number _____ i. Fax Number _____ j. Email Address _____

3. Property Owner (if different):

a. First Name _____ b. Last Name _____
 c. Organization _____
 d. Mailing Address _____
 e. City/Town _____ f. State _____ g. Zip Code _____
 h. Phone Number _____ i. Fax Number _____ j. Email Address _____

B. Fees

Fee should be calculated using the following process & worksheet. ***Please see Instructions before filling out worksheet.***

Step 1/Type of Activity: Describe each type of activity that will occur in wetland resource area and buffer zone.

Step 2/Number of Activities: Identify the number of each type of activity.


Step 3/Individual Activity Fee: Identify each activity fee from the six project categories listed in the instructions.

Step 4/Subtotal Activity Fee: Multiply the number of activities (identified in Step 2) times the fee per category (identified in Step 3) to reach a subtotal fee amount. Note: If any of these activities are in a Riverfront Area in addition to another Resource Area or the Buffer Zone, the fee per activity should be multiplied by 1.5 and then added to the subtotal amount.

Step 5/Total Project Fee: Determine the total project fee by adding the subtotal amounts from Step 4.

Step 6/Fee Payments: To calculate the state share of the fee, divide the total fee in half and subtract \$12.50. To calculate the city/town share of the fee, divide the total fee in half and add \$12.50.

To calculate filing fees, refer to the category fee list and examples in the instructions for filling out WPA Form 3 (Notice of Intent).



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
 Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands
NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form
 Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

B. Fees (continued)

Step 1/Type of Activity	Step 2/Number of Activities	Step 3/Individual Activity Fee	Step 4/Subtotal Activity Fee

Step 5/Total Project Fee: _____

Step 6/Fee Payments:

Total Project Fee: _____
 a. Total Fee from Step 5

State share of filing Fee: _____
 b. 1/2 Total Fee less \$12.50

City/Town share of filling Fee: _____
 c. 1/2 Total Fee plus \$12.50

C. Submittal Requirements

- a.) Complete pages 1 and 2 and send with a check or money order for the state share of the fee, payable to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Department of Environmental Protection
 Box 4062
 Boston, MA 02211

- b.) **To the Conservation Commission:** Send the Notice of Intent or Abbreviated Notice of Intent; a **copy** of this form; and the city/town fee payment.

To MassDEP Regional Office (see Instructions): Send a copy of the Notice of Intent or Abbreviated Notice of Intent; a **copy** of this form; and a **copy** of the state fee payment. (E-filers of Notices of Intent may submit these electronically.)



TOWN OF WAYLAND
MASSACHUSETTS
01778

CONSERVATION COMMISSION

TOWN BUILDING
41 COCHITUATE ROAD
TELEPHONE: (508) 358-3669
FAX: (508) 358-3606

CHAPTER 193 APPLICATION
Stormwater Management and Land Disturbance Bylaw

A. General Information

1. Project Location

412 Commonwealth Road Wayland 01778
a. Street Address b. City/Town c. Zip code
49-064B
d. Parcel/ Lot Number

2. Applicant:

Louise Miller
a. First Name b. Last Name
41 Cochituate Road
c. Street Address
Wayland MA 01778 (508) 358-3786
d. City e. State f. Zip Code g. Work/ Cell Phone #
lmiller@wayland.ma.us
h. Email Address

3. Property Owner (required if different from applicant):

Town of Wayland
a. First Name b. Last Name
41 Cochituate Road
c. Street Address
Wayland MA 01778 (508) 358-3786
d. City e. State f. Zip Code g. Work/ Cell Phone #
lmiller@wayland.ma.us
h. Email Address

4. Representative (if any):

Brandon		Kunkel	
a. First Name	Weston & Sampson		b. Last Name
c. Company			
85 Devonshire Street, 3rd Floor			
c. Street Address			
Boston	MA	02109	(617) 412-4480, ext. 7705
d. City	e. State	f. Zip Code	g. Work/ Cell Phone #
kunkelb@wseinc.com			
h. Email Address			

5a. Project Type Checklist (check all that applies):

- 1. Creation of new or increasing existing impervious surface of 500 sq. ft. or more.

Impervious Surface: Is any material or structure on or above the ground that prevents water infiltration to the underlying soils. Impervious surface includes without limitation roads, paved parking lots, sidewalks, stone patios, decking, and rooftops.

- 2. Alteration and/or land disturbance of at least 5,000 sq. ft. or 10% of the parcel; whichever is less.

Alteration and/or land disturbance as defined in Chapter 193 Bylaw.

5b. General Project Description:

Improvements to the recreation parcel of Loker Conservation and Recreation Area including but not limited to: new synthetic turf field and sport field lighting, parking lot, stormwater drainage system, parking lot and pedestrian lighting and emergency vehicle access drive and pedestrian walkways

B. Additional Information

By submitting an application for coverage under the Stormwater Management and Land Disturbance Permit, the Applicant agrees to the following:

- 1. At a minimum, the proposed project complies with the performance standards of the most recent version of the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Handbook including but not limited to:
 - a. Employing environmentally sensitive site design
 - b. Evaluation of Low Impact Development practices
 - c. Incorporation of source controls of contaminants and employing BMPs to minimize stormwater pollution
 - d. Sizing of water quality volume of BMPs are based on 1-inch of runoff
 - e. Methodology for hydrologic analyses (if necessary) is based on TR-55/TR-20 methodology
 - f. Designing redevelopment of existing sites must provide a net improvement to stormwater conditions at the site.
- 2. The activity shall not increase either the rate or volume of stormwater runoff leaving the site, nor shall it alter stormwater flow to any adjoining properties, public ways, or any wetland resource areas, unless otherwise permitted based on improvements over existing conditions.

Please check all that apply to this project:

- Roof drains emptying into dry wells/recharge basins
- Grassed swales constructed
- Porous pavement installed; _____ sq. ft.
- Water quality swale
- Rain barrels/cisterns for irrigation
- Other methods (please list/describe): Detention and infiltration at synthetic turf field and subsurface stormwater chambers

3. The Applicant shall provide and maintain Erosion and Sedimentation controls as necessary until the site is permanently stabilized. BMP's selected for erosion controls shall be chosen to minimize site disturbance from erosion control installation. As soon as the site is stabilized, such measures shall be removed.

Please check all that apply to this project:

- Sediment filter fence with either hay bales or straw wattles
- Mulch filled fabric sock
- Construction entrance
- Temporary vegetative cover – mulch, netting
- Permanent vegetative cover – hydro seeding, seeding, sodding
- Slope stabilization
- Retaining Walls
- Slope drains
- Other methods (please list/describe): _____

4. The Applicant shall ensure that the site and stormwater management systems are perpetually inspected and maintained to function as designed.

Please check all that apply to this project:

- Visual inspections by contractor
- Visual inspections by homeowner
- Operation and Maintenance Plan
- Maintenance contract for stormwater components
- Other methods (please list/describe): Continued maintenance by DPW

5. Other Jurisdiction

- Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act (310 CMR 10.00) and it's implementing Regulations
- Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resource Protection Bylaw – Chapter 194
- Subdivision Approval

- Board of Health Permit
- Special Permit or Site Plan Review
- Building Permit

C. Fees

Applicants must submit a \$100 application fee.

D. Signatures and Submittal Requirements

I certify that I have reviewed the design standards above and the information contained herein, including all attachments, is true, accurate, and complete to the best of my knowledge. Further, I grant the Wayland Conservation Commission and its authorized Agents permission to enter the property to review this application and make inspections before, during and after construction. **I have included a check for the application fee of \$100.**

[Handwritten Signature]
 Signature of Applicant *for TOWN OF WAYLAND*

3/4/2020
 Date

 Signature of Property Owner (if different)

 Date

 Signature of Representative (if any)

 Date

For Conservation Commission:
 Eight copies of the completed Stormwater Management and Land Disturbance Bylaw (Chapter 193), including plans and documents, and the bylaw fee payment, to the Conservation Commission by mail or hand delivery.

Wayland Wetlands and Water Resources Bylaw, Chapter 194 Application

1. Applicant:

Louise Miller		lmiller@wayland.ma.us	
Name (PLEASE PRINT)		Email Address (if applicable)	
41 Cochituate Road	Wayland	MA	01778
Mailing Address	City/Town	State	Zip Code
Phone Number		Fax Number (if applicable)	

2. Representative:

Weston & Sampson		Brandon Kunkel	
Firm/Business Name		Contact Name	
85 Devonshire Street, 3rd Floor	Boston	MA	02109
Mailing Address	City/Town	State	Zip Code
(617) 412-4480, ext. 7705			
Phone Number		Fax Number (if applicable)	

3. Property Owner(s)

Town of Wayland			
Property Owner (PLEASE PRINT)		Email Address (if applicable)	
41 Cochituate Road	Wayland	MA	01778
Address	City/Town	State	Zip Code
Phone Number		Fax Number (if applicable)	

4. Type of Application

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Request for a Determination of Applicability (RDA) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Intent (NOI) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Abbreviated NOI | <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of O.O.C. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Resource Area Delineation | <input type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Compliance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> After the Fact Amendment (AFA) | <input type="checkbox"/> After the Fact Filing (AFF) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment to Order of Conditions | |

5. Project

412 Commonwealth Road	49	064B
Location Address	Assessors Map(s)	Parcel(s)

Project Description (PLEASE PRINT):

Construction of a multi-purpose athletic field and parking lot within the designated recreation parcel of the Loker Conservation and Recreation area.

6. Title/Date of Plan(s)	Improvements to Loker Conservation and Recreation Area February 28, 2019
---------------------------------	---

7. Bylaw Application Fee:	\$ exempt
----------------------------------	-----------

8. Application filed pursuant to MGL Chapter 131, Section 40 Yes No

9. Signature of Applicant		Date	3/4/2020
----------------------------------	---	------	----------

Signature of Property Owner	Town of Wayland	Date	3/28/2019
------------------------------------	-----------------	------	-----------

(NOTE: This application shall be signed by the property owner as well as the applicant. Signature of the property owner on this application shall be deemed permission granted to the Conservation Commission and their agents to go upon the subject property.)



TOWN OF WAYLAND
Conservation Commission
41 COCHITUATE ROAD
WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS 01778

CONSERVATION COMMISSION

SHERRE GREENBAUM, CHAIR
BARBARA HOWELL, VICE CHAIR
JOANNE BARNETT
TOM DAVIDSON
SEAN FAIR
TOM KLEM
JOHN SULLIVAN

CHAPTER 194 Submittal Requirements

Upon submittal of any Bylaw application the applicant(s), property owner (if different), and their representative(s) must sign this checklist.

- Original and one copy of the MA Wetlands Protection Act ("WPA") application and Chapter 194 Bylaw application, including owner(s) signature, the applicant(s) signature, site plan(s), narrative, etc. *

NOTE: If a WPA Application is not filed, a copy of either a statement as to not applicable (limited generally to buffer zone or bordering land subject to flooding) or a valid Order of Resource Area Determination (ORAD) must be provided with copies.

- A separate check for all applicable Wetlands Act fees.
- A separate check for all applicable Chapter 194 Bylaw fees.
- A list of the 100' Abutters, certified by the Assessors Office.
- Evidence of Board of Health receipt of application or approval for all applications with septic work or home renovations.

*A copy of all documents submitted should be provided electronically to Nicole Thomson (nthomson@wayland.ma.us) and Linda Hansen (lhansen@wayland.ma.us)

Project Summary

- A narrative statement describing all of the activities proposed. If work is omitted from the narrative it may not be permitted.
- A narrative summary description of the types of resource areas on or near the site. Omission of resource areas is a basis for denial of the project as being incomplete.
- A narrative discussion how the project has been designed to minimize impacts to resource areas and how any mitigation has been proposed to better protect or enhance the resource areas during and after construction.

The Conservation Commission will evaluate the application based on the scope of the project and the potential impacts on the resource area (e.g. a wetland, pond, vernal pool, riverfront area, etc.) The Commission's priorities for project assessment are avoidance, minimization, and mitigation of impacts to resource area/s in that order. If mitigation is proposed, the Commission will require a 1:1.5 ratio of replication for impacts to wetlands and for buffer zones. The narrative should clearly address these priorities.

- A narrative discussion that presents justification, based on factors of technical or economic feasibility, why alternatives that might minimize or completely avoid adverse impact to the Riverfront Area, Floodplain, the Buffer Zone, and/or any other resource area are not being proposed. At a minimum there must be discussion of the alternative for no alteration.

The following items are required for Site Plans submitted with a Bylaw application; however, if the Applicant considers that the information is not relevant to the scope or scale of the proposed project, a Waiver(s) of requirements must be requested at the time of filing the application with the Conservation Commission.



TOWN OF WAYLAND
Conservation Commission
41 COCHITUATE ROAD
WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS 01778

CONSERVATION COMMISSION

SHERRE GREENBAUM, CHAIR
BARBARA HOWELL, VICE CHAIR
JOANNE BARNETT
TOM DAVIDSON
SEAN FAIR
TOM KLEM
JOHN SULLIVAN

Site Plan Minimum Requirements

The following shall be included on the Site Plan:

- Stamp of a Professional Engineer (P.E.) and/or a Professional Land Surveyor (P.L.S.) depending upon proximity to lot lines or project complexity.
- OR**
- Stamp of a Registered Sanitarian (R.S.) is acceptable for designs of septic systems handling less than 2,000 gallons per day, with incidental site work.
- Grade elevations based on National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD). Grade contours in the area of work shall be provided with at least 1-foot intervals.
- Plan Scale: 1 inch = 10 feet or 1 inch = 20 feet.
- Wetlands flagging with letters and/or numbers as defined in the field.
- Date that wetlands flagging was done and name of the wetland delineator (if GIS was used to wetlands, then include the GIS source.)
- Site Plans must clearly show existing conditions and proposed conditions, utilities, impervious surfaces, limit of lawn, trees greater than 6 inches in diameter proposed for removal, significant land features such as rock outcroppings, all Resource Areas (differentiate each) including Buffer Zone. *Note: It may be more comprehensible to submit two plans: an existing conditions plan and a proposed conditions plan.*
- Site plans must detail the permanent demarcation of the limit of lawn with minimum 30' offset from resource area for new construction, and minimum average 15' offset for existing dwellings.
- Locations and identifiers for all test pit locations.
- A cross-section of grading and profile for proposed septic systems.
- Locations for temporary stockpiles or storage of soils or demolition debris during construction.
- Access route for construction equipment and construction entrance location details.
- Location of erosion control barrier(s).
- Detail for installation of erosion control barrier(s).
- Location for refueling of equipment. (Outside buffer zone strongly preferred)
- Locations designated for snow storage, if necessary.
- Pre/Post-Construction Lot Coverage Summary for areas within by-law jurisdiction: a) Total lot area; b) total impervious area (**Note: impervious areas shall include, but are not limited to, roofs, decks, walks, and driveways**); c) total landscaped/lawn area; and d) total area altered during construction (including temporary impacts).



TOWN OF WAYLAND
Conservation Commission
41 COCHITUATE ROAD
WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS 01778

CONSERVATION COMMISSION

SHERRE GREENBAUM, CHAIR
BARBARA HOWELL, VICE CHAIR
JOANNE BARNETT
TOM DAVIDSON
SEAN FAIR
TOM KLEM
JOHN SULLIVAN

Drainage Requirements

The Commission seeks to protect water quality of surface waters and groundwater, and to limit any increase in the rate or quantity of runoff of storm water from the property.

- For projects adding less than or equal to 500 square feet of impervious area, a narrative description of specific measures used to provide for infiltration of runoff equivalent to runoff this additional impervious area. Those measures must be clearly depicted on the Site Plan as a specification.
- OR
- For projects adding more than 500 square feet of impervious area,
- A narrative discussion of the methods and all assumptions used in the drainage calculations
 - A plan showing drainage catchment areas
 - Supporting calculations (i.e. HydroCAD) stamped by a P.E.
 - Summary tables presenting Pre/Post Construction Storm Water Runoff Rates and Volumes for a 1-inch storm event, a 10-year, and a 100-year storm events. Note: Rainfall of at least 8 inches in 24 hours must be used for 100-year storm event.
 - Compliance with DEP's Stormwater Management Standards.
- Narrative description of structural and non-structural best management practice (BMP) (See "Definitions), controls for storm water management for the project **during construction phases and for long term site management:**
- Evaluation of BMP selection and factors of site suitability including: soils, drainage area, depth to water table, depth to bedrock, slopes and proximity to wells and foundations
 - Discussion of construction phasing
 - Relevant site characterization data for design
 - Water quality calculations for total suspended solids (TSS) removal
 - Calculated storm water recharge rate
 - Calculated peak discharge rate
- Maintenance requirements and site inspections templates for BMPs must be specified. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) plans for Stormwater shall be submitted with the application describing short-term BMPs (during construction) and long-term BMPs (post-construction) for management of the drainage structures, roadway and/or parking lot (as applicable) including but not limited to sweeping; catch basin cleaning; snow storage and erosion controls, such as hay bales or sediment fences. The drainage components (Best Management Practice – BMP) shall be as described using terminology in the most recent version of the DEP Storm water Technical Handbook, March 1997. A Plan for protecting the post-construction BMPs during construction shall be include in the O&M Plan.
- Aquifer Protection District – If the project is within this area, a narrative description of how the project complies with aquifer protection requirements.



TOWN OF WAYLAND
Conservation Commission
 41 COCHITUATE ROAD
 WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS 01778

CONSERVATION COMMISSION
 SHERRE GREENBAUM, CHAIR
 BARBARA HOWELL, VICE CHAIR
 JOANNE BARNETT
 TOM DAVIDSON
 SEAN FAIR
 TOM KLEM
 JOHN SULLIVAN

Soils Information

- Septic Systems or Drainage BMPs (where applicable) - Clear statement of how many test pits or borings were conducted for the project planning and engineering evaluations and what number and types of analytical methods may have been applied for soils characterization including visual evaluation, percolation tests, field screening, and laboratory analyses.
- Septic Systems and/or applicable drainage BMP - Copies of all soil data including boring and/or test pit logs.
- Wetland field data forms that document observations made during the wetland delineation including soil or test pit logs.

Waivers

In the event that Applicant considers certain required information to be, in their opinion, not relevant to the scope or scale of the proposed project Applicant may request a Waiver of the requirements with this application to the Conservation Commission. Indicate all provisions requested for Waiver below designating the specific paragraph number/letter designation.

- Site Plan Minimum Requirement Waiver(s) None List _____
- Drainage Requirement Waiver(s) None List _____
- Soils Information Waiver(s) None List _____

If applicable, attach a statement for justification of the requested waivers.

In the event that any requested Waiver is not granted by the Commission or the application is otherwise found to be deficient in providing required information the hearing may at the discretion of the Commission either be closed and denied for the lack of information or continued for a specific timeframe approved by the Commission for the Applicant to submit the required information.

The Commission has authorized its Administrator to review projects and to not accept project applications under the Bylaw that have apparent deficiencies to meeting the above requirements. Notwithstanding that authority, **acceptance of an application by the Administrator does not represent a decision that the application is fully complete.** Deficiencies identified by the Administrator will be report to the applicant and the Commission during the hearing.

The property owner, as well as the applicant and/or representative (if different from owner) must sign this checklist and all other applicable applications. The property owner, by signing this checklist and the applications, acknowledges that the Commission and Staff may enter the property to inspect the premises as part of the assessment of the application.

Town of Wayland

 Property Owner's Name (Print)

Town of Wayland

 Property Owner's Signature Date

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all its attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete.

LOUISE MILLER

 Applicant's Name (Print)

Louise Miller 3/4/2020

 Applicant's Signature Date

**APPENDIX A:
Project Description**

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Background

The Town of Wayland Recreation Department is currently faced with a deficit of rectangular athletic fields and striving to meet the current demands and needs of the Town's recreational programs. As such, the proposed installation of a new synthetic turf multi-purpose rectangular field within the limits of recreation area of the Loker Conservation and Recreation would tremendously benefit demand for recreational programs. The project includes, but not limited to earthwork, tree removal, field installation, lighting, parking, and the a stormwater management system. Refer to Appendix D for proposed conditions plans and details.

Project Location

Loker Conservation and Recreation Area is located at the corner of Commonwealth Road and Rice Road. There is currently a paved access drive at Commonwealth Road that is remnant of the sites previous use as a Dow company facility. The designated recreation area itself consists of a steeply sloped open field surrounded by wooded areas on all sides. North Pond is located north of the existing designated parking area and West and East Pond are located to the south, on either side of the access drive, north of Commonwealth Road.

Project Description

The proposed improvements to the delineated recreation parcel of the Loker Conservation and Recreation property is to provide the Town of Wayland Recreation Department with a new 195 x 300-ft. synthetic turf multi-purpose athletic field and parking lot.

The site currently consists of a steep sloped open lawn area encompassed by thickly vegetated forest and abandoned asphalt pavement drive. In addition, there is a non-ADA compliant parking area that will also be renovated, improved and expanded to allow for 62 total parking spaces including accessible parking spaces and additional parking for both the new athletic field and the conservation area. In addition, both the new field and parking lot, new lighting will be added to allow for expanded field use hours and safety. The existing asphalt drive will be replaced with a new emergency access drive to the field. To account for the new athletic field and parking lot being added to the site, a stormwater management system will be installed. Refer C for additional stormwater information.

Prior to the commencement of any work, erosion control barriers must be installed around the limit of work to prevent any sediment migration from the work area into nearby resource areas. With best efforts to minimize the extent of both the earthwork disturbance and the total number of trees to be removed within the project area, a tree assessment was conducted in conjunction the Town of Wayland Chapter 193 Stormwater Management and Land Disturbance Bylaw. Approximately 56 trees will be removed within the 100-ft. wetland buffer zone and 335 trees outside the wetland resource area as part of the clearing process. Refer to Appendix H for the Tree Assessment Report.

Water Quality

The effects of turf fields (artificial or natural) on water quality include both:

- 1) the ability of the turf system to remove pollutants associated with rainwater or incoming stormwater and
- 2) the potential for pollutants to be generated by turf systems through processes such as erosion or leaching.

Many studies have been conducted on the potential water quality impacts associated with both artificial and natural turf fields. The focus of the artificial turf studies centers on the potential for heavy metals such as lead and/or zinc to leach from the crumb rubber infill material. Several studies were reviewed for this project to address the public's concerns regarding the potential for heavy metals such as lead or zinc to be leached from the crumb rubber into the stormwater and discharged either as surface water into a local wetland or directly into the groundwater system. The studies reviewed were performed by:

- New York State Department of Environmental Conservation and New York State Department of Health (2009)
- Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection (2010)
- Maryland – Montgomery County Staff Work Group (2011)
- California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (2010)

The conclusions of all the studies reviewed suggest that artificial turf fields are unlikely to generate pollutant at concentrations above water quality limits. The perception is that zinc can be a potential issue for aquatic life since the water quality standard for aquatic life in surface water (120 µg/L) is significantly lower than the GW-1 groundwater standard (5,000 µg/L). A review of the leachate sampling for the existing crumb rubber artificial turf field at the Wayland High School was also conducted in an effort to understand if any potential concerns were raised in the existing field that has been in place for 11 years. The results of the water quality sampling also revealed that the four stormwater samples collected and analyzed for zinc were well below the EPA Freshwater Chronic Criterion Concentration for fresh surface water of 120 µg/L. Based on previous studies in the northeast, Atlantic coast, western states, and the Town of Wayland, Weston & Sampson believes there will be no water quality impact to either surface water or groundwater from the construction of an artificial turf field at the Loker Conservation and Recreation Area.

Environmental Considerations

There will be no impacts to wetland resource area protected under the wetlands protection act. There will be certain areas that will be within the 100-foot wetlands buffer zone. This work includes tree removal and earthwork including the northeast corner of the athletic field, northern portion of the proposed parking lot and access drive improvements

**APPENDIX B:
Alternative Analysis**

ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

Basis for Alternatives Analysis

The following is a presentation of alternatives that were evaluated for addressing the improvements at Loker Conservation and Recreation Area. The primary objective is to provide a design strategy that minimizes the amount of disturbance and environmental impact to the site while also providing sufficient facilities and amenities that meet the town's recreation needs. This includes evaluating the number of athletic facilities proposed, the size of the field footprints, as well as alternative layouts and orientations for the field and parking lot.

Alternative Analysis

During the design process for improvements to the Loker Conservation and Recreation Area, the number of field footprints were investigated to evaluate the amount of disturbance and environmental impact on site in relation to the town's recreation facility needs. The town also investigated different field footprint sizes and parking lot layouts to determine the configuration with the least amount of disturbance and impact. It is important to note the property has an interior area designated for recreation use. This is one of the significant constraints on potential footprint locations, sizes, and orientation.

Alternatives Investigation 1 – Quantity and Size of Field Footprints

The number of recreation field footprints were evaluated that maximize the quantity of facilities within the property limits designated for recreation use. This resulted in an additional 180' x 300' field footprint to the southeast of North Pond. The area beyond these extents would be disturbed in order to meet existing grades. As part of this exercise, the size of field(s) were also evaluated.

Advantages:

The additional 180' x 300' field footprint maximizes the area within the property designated for recreation use. A second field helps alleviate the town-wide deficit in multi-purpose rectangular fields that has been identified in several independent studies performed by the town. Smaller field footprints resulted smaller disturbed areas and lessened the environmental impact to the site.

Disadvantages:

The second field footprint increases the area of disturbance in the 100' wetland buffers of North Pond and East Pond. This would also increase the total number of trees needing removed to accommodate the additional field footprint and increase the volume of stormwater captured and treated. The smaller footprints did not provide enough play area to satisfy the Recreation Department's programming needs.

Conclusion:

It was determined that limiting the proposed design to one field footprint significantly reduces the amount of disturbance and environmental impact to the Loker Conservation and Recreation Area while also positively impacting the town-wide recreation field shortage. One field footprint also reduces the amount of stormwater captured on site.

Alternatives Investigation 2 – Layout and Orientation of Field Footprint and Parking Lot

Alternative layouts of the multi-purpose rectangular field footprint were tested. It is important to note that the limit within the property designated for recreational use severely constrains the number of viable configurations.

Advantages:

One alternative layout provided a field and parking lot that were parallel and at the same approximate finish grade. This drastically improved the pedestrian access between the parking area and the athletic field.

Disadvantages:

The alternative layout resulted in larger areas of disturbance and environmental impact, particularly within wetland buffer areas. This was primarily due to the amount of cutting and filling required to tie in the field and parking lot finish grades to existing grades.

Conclusion:

The proposed layout and configuration of the field and parking lot works with existing grades and takes advantage of areas disturbed by the site's former use as a Dow Chemical research facility. This includes locating proposed improvements where the former Dow building was located, the old parking areas still visible on site, and the existing access/maintenance drives. The proposed design also incorporates retaining walls to further minimize the area of disturbance with the 100' wetland buffer.

APPENDIX C:
Stormwater Report
with attachments A. to I.

Stormwater Report

Conservation Commission
Wayland, Massachusetts

Loker Field Improvements

Notice of Intent
Massachusetts Wetland Protection Act
M.G.L. c. 131 § 40

July 11, 2018

JOB NO: 2180076

Weston & Sampson™

Weston & Sampson
5 Centennial Drive
Peabody, MA 01960

www.westonandsampson.com
Tel: 978-532-1900 Fax: 978-977-0100

Table of Contents

Checklist for Stormwater Report

Stormwater Report Summary

Attachment A - Locus Map

Attachment B - NRCS Soils Map, Soils Report, and HSG Classifications

Attachment C - Test Pit Summary and Logs

Attachment D - Stormwater Modeling

1. HydroCAD model output

Attachment E - Calculations

1. Required Recharge Calculation
2. Water Quality Volume Calculation
3. TSS Removal Worksheet

Attachment F - Long Term Pollution Prevention Plan

Attachment G - Construction Period Pollution and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan

Attachment H - Operations and Maintenance Plan

Attachment I - Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement



Checklist for Stormwater Report

A. Introduction

Important: When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.



A Stormwater Report must be submitted with the Notice of Intent permit application to document compliance with the Stormwater Management Standards. The following checklist is NOT a substitute for the Stormwater Report (which should provide more substantive and detailed information) but is offered here as a tool to help the applicant organize their Stormwater Management documentation for their Report and for the reviewer to assess this information in a consistent format. As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Report must contain the engineering computations and supporting information set forth in Volume 3 of the [Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook](#). The Stormwater Report must be prepared and certified by a Registered Professional Engineer (RPE) licensed in the Commonwealth.

The Stormwater Report must include:

- The Stormwater Checklist completed and stamped by a Registered Professional Engineer (see page 2) that certifies that the Stormwater Report contains all required submittals.¹ This Checklist is to be used as the cover for the completed Stormwater Report.
- Applicant/Project Name
- Project Address
- Name of Firm and Registered Professional Engineer that prepared the Report
- Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan required by Standards 4-6
- Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan required by Standard 8²
- Operation and Maintenance Plan required by Standard 9

In addition to all plans and supporting information, the Stormwater Report must include a brief narrative describing stormwater management practices, including environmentally sensitive site design and LID techniques, along with a diagram depicting runoff through the proposed BMP treatment train. Plans are required to show existing and proposed conditions, identify all wetland resource areas, NRCS soil types, critical areas, Land Uses with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPL), and any areas on the site where infiltration rate is greater than 2.4 inches per hour. The Plans shall identify the drainage areas for both existing and proposed conditions at a scale that enables verification of supporting calculations.

As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Management Report shall document compliance with each of the Stormwater Management Standards as provided in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The soils evaluation and calculations shall be done using the methodologies set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

To ensure that the Stormwater Report is complete, applicants are required to fill in the Stormwater Report Checklist by checking the box to indicate that the specified information has been included in the Stormwater Report. If any of the information specified in the checklist has not been submitted, the applicant must provide an explanation. The completed Stormwater Report Checklist and Certification must be submitted with the Stormwater Report.

¹ The Stormwater Report may also include the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement required by Standard 10. If not included in the Stormwater Report, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement must be submitted prior to the discharge of stormwater runoff to the post-construction best management practices.

² For some complex projects, it may not be possible to include the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan in the Stormwater Report. In that event, the issuing authority has the discretion to issue an Order of Conditions that approves the project and includes a condition requiring the proponent to submit the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan before commencing any land disturbance activity on the site.



Checklist for Stormwater Report

B. Stormwater Checklist and Certification

The following checklist is intended to serve as a guide for applicants as to the elements that ordinarily need to be addressed in a complete Stormwater Report. The checklist is also intended to provide conservation commissions and other reviewing authorities with a summary of the components necessary for a comprehensive Stormwater Report that addresses the ten Stormwater Standards.


Note: Because stormwater requirements vary from project to project, it is possible that a complete Stormwater Report may not include information on some of the subjects specified in the Checklist. If it is determined that a specific item does not apply to the project under review, please note that the item is not applicable (N.A.) and provide the reasons for that determination.

A complete checklist must include the Certification set forth below signed by the Registered Professional Engineer who prepared the Stormwater Report.

Registered Professional Engineer's Certification

I have reviewed the Stormwater Report, including the soil evaluation, computations, Long-term Pollution Prevention Plan, the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan (if included), the Long-term Post-Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement (if included) and the plans showing the stormwater management system, and have determined that they have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Stormwater Management Standards as further elaborated by the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. I have also determined that the information presented in the Stormwater Checklist is accurate and that the information presented in the Stormwater Report accurately reflects conditions at the site as of the date of this permit application.

Registered Professional Engineer Block and Signature




Signature and Date

7/12/2018

Checklist

Project Type: Is the application for new development, redevelopment, or a mix of new and redevelopment?

- New development
- Redevelopment
- Mix of New Development and Redevelopment



Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

LID Measures: Stormwater Standards require LID measures to be considered. Document what environmentally sensitive design and LID Techniques were considered during the planning and design of the project:

- No disturbance to any Wetland Resource Areas
- Site Design Practices (e.g. clustered development, reduced frontage setbacks)
- Reduced Impervious Area (Redevelopment Only)
- Minimizing disturbance to existing trees and shrubs
- LID Site Design Credit Requested:
 - Credit 1
 - Credit 2
 - Credit 3
- Use of "country drainage" versus curb and gutter conveyance and pipe
- Bioretention Cells (includes Rain Gardens)
- Constructed Stormwater Wetlands (includes Gravel Wetlands designs)
- Treebox Filter
- Water Quality Swale
- Grass Channel
- Green Roof
- Other (describe): _____

Standard 1: No New Untreated Discharges

- No new untreated discharges
- Outlets have been designed so there is no erosion or scour to wetlands and waters of the Commonwealth
- Supporting calculations specified in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook included.



Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 2: Peak Rate Attenuation

- Standard 2 waiver requested because the project is located in land subject to coastal storm flowage and stormwater discharge is to a wetland subject to coastal flooding.
- Evaluation provided to determine whether off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm.
- Calculations provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development rates for the 2-year and 10-year 24-hour storms. If evaluation shows that off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm, calculations are also provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development rates for the 100-year 24-hour storm.

Standard 3: Recharge

- Soil Analysis provided.
- Required Recharge Volume calculation provided.
- Required Recharge volume reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.
- Sizing the infiltration, BMPs is based on the following method: Check the method used.
 - Static
 - Simple Dynamic
 - Dynamic Field¹
- Runoff from all impervious areas at the site discharging to the infiltration BMP.
- Runoff from all impervious areas at the site is *not* discharging to the infiltration BMP and calculations are provided showing that the drainage area contributing runoff to the infiltration BMPs is sufficient to generate the required recharge volume.
- Recharge BMPs have been sized to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume.
- Recharge BMPs have been sized to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume *only* to the maximum extent practicable for the following reason:
 - Site is comprised solely of C and D soils and/or bedrock at the land surface
 - M.G.L. c. 21E sites pursuant to 310 CMR 40.0000
 - Solid Waste Landfill pursuant to 310 CMR 19.000
 - Project is otherwise subject to Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum extent practicable.
- Calculations showing that the infiltration BMPs will drain in 72 hours are provided.
- Property includes a M.G.L. c. 21E site or a solid waste landfill and a mounding analysis is included.

¹ 80% TSS removal is required prior to discharge to infiltration BMP if Dynamic Field method is used.



Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 3: Recharge (continued)

- The infiltration BMP is used to attenuate peak flows during storms greater than or equal to the 10-year 24-hour storm and separation to seasonal high groundwater is less than 4 feet and a mounding analysis is provided.
- Documentation is provided showing that infiltration BMPs do not adversely impact nearby wetland resource areas.

Standard 4: Water Quality

The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan typically includes the following:

- Good housekeeping practices;
 - Provisions for storing materials and waste products inside or under cover;
 - Vehicle washing controls;
 - Requirements for routine inspections and maintenance of stormwater BMPs;
 - Spill prevention and response plans;
 - Provisions for maintenance of lawns, gardens, and other landscaped areas;
 - Requirements for storage and use of fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides;
 - Pet waste management provisions;
 - Provisions for operation and management of septic systems;
 - Provisions for solid waste management;
 - Snow disposal and plowing plans relative to Wetland Resource Areas;
 - Winter Road Salt and/or Sand Use and Storage restrictions;
 - Street sweeping schedules;
 - Provisions for prevention of illicit discharges to the stormwater management system;
 - Documentation that Stormwater BMPs are designed to provide for shutdown and containment in the event of a spill or discharges to or near critical areas or from LUHPPL;
 - Training for staff or personnel involved with implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan;
 - List of Emergency contacts for implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan.
- A Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan is attached to Stormwater Report and is included as an attachment to the Wetlands Notice of Intent.
 - Treatment BMPs subject to the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement and the one inch rule for calculating the water quality volume are included, and discharge:
 - is within the Zone II or Interim Wellhead Protection Area
 - is near or to other critical areas
 - is within soils with a rapid infiltration rate (greater than 2.4 inches per hour)
 - involves runoff from land uses with higher potential pollutant loads.
 - The Required Water Quality Volume is reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.
 - Calculations documenting that the treatment train meets the 80% TSS removal requirement and, if applicable, the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement, are provided.



Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 4: Water Quality (continued)

- The BMP is sized (and calculations provided) based on:
 - The ½" or 1" Water Quality Volume or
 - The equivalent flow rate associated with the Water Quality Volume and documentation is provided showing that the BMP treats the required water quality volume.
- The applicant proposes to use proprietary BMPs, and documentation supporting use of proprietary BMP and proposed TSS removal rate is provided. This documentation may be in the form of the propriety BMP checklist found in Volume 2, Chapter 4 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook and submitting copies of the TARP Report, STEP Report, and/or other third party studies verifying performance of the proprietary BMPs.
- A TMDL exists that indicates a need to reduce pollutants other than TSS and documentation showing that the BMPs selected are consistent with the TMDL is provided.

Standard 5: Land Uses With Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPLs)

- The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) has been included with the Stormwater Report.
- The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the SWPPP will be submitted *prior to* the discharge of stormwater to the post-construction stormwater BMPs.
- The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit does *not* cover the land use.
- LUHPPLs are located at the site and industry specific source control and pollution prevention measures have been proposed to reduce or eliminate the exposure of LUHPPLs to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff, and been included in the long term Pollution Prevention Plan.
- All exposure has been eliminated.
- All exposure has *not* been eliminated and all BMPs selected are on MassDEP LUHPPL list.
- The LUHPPL has the potential to generate runoff with moderate to higher concentrations of oil and grease (e.g. all parking lots with >1000 vehicle trips per day) and the treatment train includes an oil grit separator, a filtering bioretention area, a sand filter or equivalent.

Standard 6: Critical Areas

- The discharge is near or to a critical area and the treatment train includes only BMPs that MassDEP has approved for stormwater discharges to or near that particular class of critical area.
- Critical areas and BMPs are identified in the Stormwater Report.



Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 7: Redevelopments and Other Projects Subject to the Standards only to the maximum extent practicable

- The project is subject to the Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum Extent Practicable as a:
 - Limited Project
 - Small Residential Projects: 5-9 single family houses or 5-9 units in a multi-family development provided there is no discharge that may potentially affect a critical area.
 - Small Residential Projects: 2-4 single family houses or 2-4 units in a multi-family development with a discharge to a critical area
 - Marina and/or boatyard provided the hull painting, service and maintenance areas are protected from exposure to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff
 - Bike Path and/or Foot Path
 - Redevelopment Project
 - Redevelopment portion of mix of new and redevelopment.
- Certain standards are not fully met (Standard No. 1, 8, 9, and 10 must always be fully met) and an explanation of why these standards are not met is contained in the Stormwater Report.
- The project involves redevelopment and a description of all measures that have been taken to improve existing conditions is provided in the Stormwater Report. The redevelopment checklist found in Volume 2 Chapter 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook may be used to document that the proposed stormwater management system (a) complies with Standards 2, 3 and the pretreatment and structural BMP requirements of Standards 4-6 to the maximum extent practicable and (b) improves existing conditions.

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control

A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan must include the following information:

- Narrative;
 - Construction Period Operation and Maintenance Plan;
 - Names of Persons or Entity Responsible for Plan Compliance;
 - Construction Period Pollution Prevention Measures;
 - Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan Drawings;
 - Detail drawings and specifications for erosion control BMPs, including sizing calculations;
 - Vegetation Planning;
 - Site Development Plan;
 - Construction Sequencing Plan;
 - Sequencing of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
 - Operation and Maintenance of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
 - Inspection Schedule;
 - Maintenance Schedule;
 - Inspection and Maintenance Log Form.
- A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan containing the information set forth above has been included in the Stormwater Report.



Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control (continued)

- The project is highly complex and information is included in the Stormwater Report that explains why it is not possible to submit the Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan with the application. A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control has **not** been included in the Stormwater Report but will be submitted **before** land disturbance begins.
- The project is **not** covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit.
- The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit and a copy of the SWPPP is in the Stormwater Report.
- The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit but no SWPPP been submitted. The SWPPP will be submitted BEFORE land disturbance begins.

Standard 9: Operation and Maintenance Plan

- The Post Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan is included in the Stormwater Report and includes the following information:
 - Name of the stormwater management system owners;
 - Party responsible for operation and maintenance;
 - Schedule for implementation of routine and non-routine maintenance tasks;
 - Plan showing the location of all stormwater BMPs maintenance access areas;
 - Description and delineation of public safety features;
 - Estimated operation and maintenance budget; and
 - Operation and Maintenance Log Form.
- The responsible party is **not** the owner of the parcel where the BMP is located and the Stormwater Report includes the following submissions:
 - A copy of the legal instrument (deed, homeowner's association, utility trust or other legal entity) that establishes the terms of and legal responsibility for the operation and maintenance of the project site stormwater BMPs;
 - A plan and easement deed that allows site access for the legal entity to operate and maintain BMP functions.

Standard 10: Prohibition of Illicit Discharges

- The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan includes measures to prevent illicit discharges;
- An Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached;
- NO Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached but will be submitted **prior to** the discharge of any stormwater to post-construction BMPs.

Stormwater Report
To Be Submitted with the Notice of Intent

Applicant/Project Name: Town of Wayland – Loker Field Improvements
Project Address: Commonwealth Road, Wayland MA
Application Prepared by:
Firm: Weston & Sampson, Inc.
Registered PE: James Pearson

Below is an explanation concerning Standards 1-10 as they apply to the Town of Wayland Loker Field Improvement project, located on Commonwealth Road:

General:

Due to the increased need for town athletic facilities, the Town of Wayland is proposing the installation of a multi-purpose athletic field within the Loker Conservation and Recreation Area. The project includes field installation, field lighting, parking, trail improvements, and the addition of a stormwater management system. There would also be improvements to the existing emergency access road and parking area. The goal of this project is to utilize the Loker Conservation and Recreation Area to provide the Town of Wayland with a multi-purpose athletic field. Currently there is existing open field space on the property. By expanding this open space there will be room for the new athletic field. There is also an existing parking lot that will also be expanded on to allow for additional parking. In addition to the field and parking lot, new lighting will be added to allow for field use after daylight hours. The existing emergency access road will also be improved upon to allow for easier use, and miscellaneous paved areas in various locations of the site will be removed and converted to grassed space.

Standard 1: No New Untreated Discharges

The proposed project will create no new untreated discharges. Total impervious area post-development will be decreased in comparison with existing conditions.

Standard 2: Peak Rate Attenuation

Both existing and proposed conditions were modeled using HydroCAD computer software. The results of this analysis are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Total Peak Runoff Rate

Point of Interest	Storm Frequency	Storm Depth (in)	Peak Flow (cfs)	
			Pre-Development	Post-Development
P1				
	2 Year	3.00	0.04	0.00
	10 Year	4.60	0.60	0.13
	25 Year	5.30	1.25	0.37
	100 Year	6.50	2.48	0.83
P2				
	2 Year	3.00	2.52	0.34
	10 Year	4.60	8.64	4.09
	25 Year	5.30	13.17	8.95
	100 Year	6.50	20.78	18.11

The proposed design is such that peak runoff rates do not exceed rates of runoff under existing conditions even in the 100-year storm scenario. For regulatory purposes the existing site condition serves as the benchmark for peak discharges that must not be exceeded under the re-developed condition. Peak discharges are mitigated by using the proposed underground chambers to provide stormwater detention benefit. Please refer to existing and proposed conditions in HydroCAD model printouts included in Attachment D for additional details.

To ensure that the work incorporates the performance standards recommended in the DEP’s Stormwater Management Policy, necessary erosion and sedimentation control measures will be utilized during construction. These measures will include compost filter tubes, catch basin protection, and a stabilized construction entrance, as depicted on the site plans.

Standard 3: Recharge

Overall, the site is being redeveloped such that the impervious area under proposed conditions will be less than existing conditions. As such, stormwater recharge has been provided to the maximum extent practicable. The reduction of impervious surfaces will in itself improve recharge characteristics of the site, but an underground stormwater chamber system has been designed in the parking lot area to provide additional recharge. Recharge Volume (Appendix E) has been calculated based on the amount of impervious area contributing runoff to the underground chambers.

Standard 4: Water Quality

As discussed under Standard 3, the total impervious area onsite is being decreased. Stormwater Quality treatment is being provided to the maximum extent practicable. Treatment will be provided for the improved parking lot area. Runoff from the parking lot area does not presently undergo treatment, but under proposed conditions runoff from this area will be directed through deep sump hooded catch basins and into the underground stormwater chambers. All of the stormwater from impervious areas on the site will undergo treatment to bring TSS levels within regulated limits (>80% removal). During construction of the project, appropriate temporary stormwater BMPs will be used to minimize sedimentation and soil erosion.

Standard 5: Land Uses with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPLs)

This project will not create a land use with higher potential pollutant load.

Standard 6: Critical Areas

There will be no new discharge to critical areas.

Standard 7: Redevelopments and Other Projects Subject to the Standards Only to the Maximum Extent Practicable

The project is a mix of new development and redevelopment. Certain standards for redeveloped areas have been met to the maximum extent practicable as described herein.

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sediment Control

A detailed Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan is included in Attachment G. To ensure that the work incorporates the performance standards recommended in the DEP's Stormwater Management Policy, necessary erosion and sedimentation control measures will be utilized during construction. These measures will include compost filter tubes, silt fence, catch basin protection, and a stabilized construction entrance.

Standard 9: Operation and Maintenance Plan

An operations and maintenance plan is included in Attachment H.


Standard 10: Prohibition of Illicit Discharges

An illicit discharge compliance statement has been included in Attachment I.

Registered Professional Engineer's Certification

I have reviewed the Stormwater Report, including any relevant soil evaluations, computations, Long-term Pollution Prevention Plan, the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan, the Long-term Post-Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement and the plans showing the stormwater management system, and have determined that they have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Stormwater Management Standards as further elaborated by the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. I have also determined that the information presented in the Stormwater Checklist is accurate and that the information presented in the Stormwater Report accurately reflects conditions at the site as of the date of this permit application.


Registered Professional Engineer Block and Signature



Signature and Date  7/12/2018

Attachment A - Locus Map

Path: \\wse03.local\WSE\Projects\MA\Wayland\MA\Wayland High School Athletic Facilities\GIS\Loker Field\Figure 1 - Locus.mxd User: Gaspara Saved: 7/5/2018 1:25:35 PM Opened: 7/5/2018 1:26:15 PM



Copyright: © 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed

Attachment A
Loker Conservation & Recreation Area
Wayland, Massachusetts

Locus Map


 Work Area




Weston & Sampson™

**Attachment B - NRCS Soils Map, Soils Report, and HSG
Classifications**

Hydrologic Soil Group—Middlesex County, Massachusetts




Map Scale: 1:2,160 if printed on A portrait (8.5" x 11") sheet.



Map projection: Web Mercator Corner coordinates: WGS84 Edge tics: UTM Zone 19N WGS84

MAP LEGEND



MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:25,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
 Web Soil Survey URL:
 Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Middlesex County, Massachusetts
 Survey Area Data: Version 17, Oct 6, 2017

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Sep 12, 2014—Sep 28, 2014

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
51A	Swansea muck, 0 to 1 percent slopes	B/D	0.1	0.5%
106C	Narragansett-Hollis-Rock outcrop complex, 3 to 15 percent slopes	A	8.9	52.4%
106D	Narragansett-Hollis-Rock outcrop complex, 15 to 25 percent slopes	A	6.5	38.5%
416B	Narragansett silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, very stony	A	1.3	7.6%
626B	Merrimac-Urban land complex, 0 to 8 percent slopes	A	0.2	0.9%
Totals for Area of Interest			16.9	100.0%

Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.


Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Attachment C - Test Pit Summary and Logs



NOTES:

1. THIS FIGURE IS BASED ON AN EXISTING AND PROPOSED CONDITIONS SURVEY PLAN PREPARED BY WESTON & SAMPSON ENGINEERS, INC. DATED MARCH 2018.
2. ELEVATIONS REFERENCE THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1983 (NAVD 83).
3. BORINGS WERE COMPLETED BY TECHNICAL DRILLING SERVICES, INC. OF STERLING, MA AND OBSERVED BY WESTON & SAMPSON ENGINEERS, INC. ON MARCH 12, 2018.
4. TEST PITS WERE COMPLETED BY THE TOWN OF WAYLAND AND OBSERVED BY WESTON & SAMPSON ENGINEERS, INC. ON MARCH 21, 2018.
5. BORING LOCATIONS SHOWN ARE APPROXIMATE AND WERE LOCATED IN THE FIELD BY WESTON & SAMPSON ENGINEERS, INC. USING A HANDHELD GPS DEVICE.
6. LOCATIONS OF UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES SHOWN HAVE BEEN COMPILED, IN PART, FROM RECORD MAPPING AND OTHER DATA SUPPLIED BY THE RESPECTIVE UTILITY COMPANIES AND/OR OTHER SOURCES. THESE LOCATIONS MUST BE CONSIDERED APPROXIMATE.

LEGEND:


-  B-1-L BORING DESIGNATION AND APPROXIMATE LOCATION.
-  TP-1 TEST PIT DESIGNATION AND APPROXIMATE LOCATION.



FIGURE 1
LOKER SITE PLAN

WAYLAND HIGH SCHOOL ATHLETIC FACILITIES
 WAYLAND, MA

DESIGNED BY: NJZ CHECKED BY: DATE: APRIL 2018



CLIENT: Town of Wayland
 PROJECT NUMBER: 2180076

 PROJECT NAME: Wayland High School Athletic Facilities
 PROJECT LOCATION: Wayland, Massachusetts

 DRILLER: Brett Balyk - Technical Drilling Services
 LOGGED / CHECKED BY: M. Zanchi, EIT /
 RIG TYPE / DRILLING METHODS: ATV / hollow-stem auger (HSA)
 CASING DIAMETER: 4-1/4" ID
 SAMPLING METHODS: Standard penetration test (SPT)
 SAMPLER TYPE: Standard 24" long x 2" OD (1-3/8" ID) split-spoon
 SAMPLER HAMMER: 140-lb. automatic hammer
 OTHER:

 BORING LOCATION: See attached plan.
 GROUND ELEVATION: Not available DATUM: Unknown
 DRILLING START DATE: 3/12/2018 END DATE: 3/12/2018

GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS		
DATE	DEPTH	COMMENTS
3/12/2018	Not observed	

DEPTH (ft.) Elevation	SAMPLE INFORMATION						GRAPHIC LOG	STRATA NAME	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION <small>(see guide below for soil classification based on constituent percentage)</small>	COMMENTS
	TYPE - NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	REC./PEN. (in.)	SPT BLOWS/6"	SPT N-VALUE	% MOISTURE				
0									Mineral Soil GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY: >50% gravelly, sandy, silty, clayey: 35-50% some: 20-35% little: 10-20% trace: 0-10% Organic Soil PEAT: 50-100% organic (soil): 15-50% with some organics: 5-15%	
	S-1	0.0	11/24	2 4 4 11	8			FILL	6" Organics (Wood, leaves, pine needles, roots); moist. Loose, light brown, fine to coarse SAND, some fine to coarse gravel, little silt; moist. [FILL]	P.I.D. - 0.8 ppm
	S-2	2.0	13/14	14 36 120/2"				SAND & GRAVEL	Very dense, tan, gravelly fine to coarse SAND, little silt; moist.	P.I.D. - 0.1 ppm - Auger grinding at approximately 2 ft.
5	S-3	4.0	6/11	27 120/5"				SAND & GRAVEL	Very dense, gray, fine to coarse GRAVEL, some fine to coarse sand, little silt; moist.	P.I.D. - 0.4 ppm - Heavy auger grinding and rig chatter at approximately 5 - 7 ft.

Auger refusal at 7 ft. End of boring at 7 ft. Offset boring approximately 5 ft. west to B-1B-L and re-attempt.

SAMPLE		GRANULAR SOILS		COHESIVE SOILS		GENERAL NOTES:
SYMBOL	TYPE	N-Value	Density	N-VALUE	CONSISTENCY	
S	Split spoon	0-4	Very Loose	< 2	Very Soft	1. The stratification lines represent the approximate boundary between soil types; actual transitions may be gradual. 2. Water level readings have been made in the drill holes at the times and conditions stated on the boring log. Fluctuations in the level of groundwater may occur due to other factors than those presented at the time measurements are made.
ST	Shelby tube	4-10	Loose	2-4	Soft	
AG	Auger grab	10-30	Med. Dense	4-8	Med. Stiff	
NX	Rock core	30-50	Dense	8-15	Stiff	
GP	Direct push	> 50	Very Dense	15-30 > 30	Very Stiff Hard	

CLIENT: Town of Wayland
 PROJECT NUMBER: 2180076
 DRILLER: Brett Balyk - Technical Drilling Services
 LOGGED / CHECKED BY: M. Zanchi, EIT /
 RIG TYPE / DRILLING METHODS: ATV / hollow-stem auger (HSA)
 CASING DIAMETER: 4-1/4" ID
 SAMPLING METHODS: Standard penetration test (SPT)
 SAMPLER TYPE: Standard 24" long x 2" OD (1-3/8" ID) split-spoon
 SAMPLER HAMMER: 140-lb. automatic hammer
 OTHER: _____

PROJECT NAME: Wayland High School Athletic Facilities
 PROJECT LOCATION: Wayland, Massachusetts
 BORING LOCATION: See attached plan.
 GROUND ELEVATION: Not available DATUM: Unknown
 DRILLING START DATE: 3/12/2018 END DATE: 3/12/2018

GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS		
DATE	DEPTH	COMMENTS
3/12/2018	Not observed	

DEPTH (ft.) Elevation	SAMPLE INFORMATION						GRAPHIC LOG	STRATA NAME	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION <small>(see guide below for soil classification based on constituent percentage)</small>	COMMENTS												
	TYPE - NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	REC./PEN. (in.)	SPT BLOWS/6"	SPT N-VALUE	% MOISTURE					% FINES (P200)											
0									<table border="0"> <tr> <td><u>Mineral Soil</u></td> <td><u>Organic Soil</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY: >50%</td> <td>PEAT: 50-100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>gravelly, sandy, silty, clayey: 35-50%</td> <td>organic (soil): 15-50%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>some: 20-35%</td> <td>with some organics: 5-15%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>little: 10-20%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>trace: 0-10%</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	<u>Mineral Soil</u>	<u>Organic Soil</u>	GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY: >50%	PEAT: 50-100%	gravelly, sandy, silty, clayey: 35-50%	organic (soil): 15-50%	some: 20-35%	with some organics: 5-15%	little: 10-20%		trace: 0-10%		
<u>Mineral Soil</u>	<u>Organic Soil</u>																					
GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY: >50%	PEAT: 50-100%																					
gravelly, sandy, silty, clayey: 35-50%	organic (soil): 15-50%																					
some: 20-35%	with some organics: 5-15%																					
little: 10-20%																						
trace: 0-10%																						
5									See log for B-1A-L for soil descriptions.	B-1B is offset approximately 5 ft. west of B-1A-L.												

Auger refusal at 7 ft. End of boring at 7 ft.

WSS BORING LOG - DATA TEMPLATE - WSE STANDARD LOGS.GDT - 3/2018 10:11 - P:\MAWAYLAND\MAWAYLAND HIGH SCHOOL ATHLETIC FACILITIES\GEO\TECH\FIELD\BORING & TEST PRT LOGS\GMIT LOGS - WAYLAND H.S. ATHLETIC FACILITIES.GPJ

SAMPLE		GRANULAR SOILS		COHESIVE SOILS		GENERAL NOTES:
SYMBOL	TYPE	N-Value	Density	N-VALUE	CONSISTENCY	
S	Split spoon	0-4	Very Loose	< 2	Very Soft	1. The stratification lines represent the approximate boundary between soil types; actual transitions may be gradual. 2. Water level readings have been made in the drill holes at the times and conditions stated on the boring log. Fluctuations in the level of groundwater may occur due to other factors than those presented at the time measurements are made.
ST	Shelby tube	4-10	Loose	2-4	Soft	
AG	Auger grab	10-30	Med. Dense	4-8	Med. Stiff	
NX	Rock core	30-50	Dense	8-15	Stiff	
GP	Direct push	> 50	Very Dense	15-30	Very Stiff	
				> 30	Hard	

CLIENT: Town of Wayland
PROJECT NUMBER: 2180076
DRILLER: Brett Balyk - Technical Drilling Services
LOGGED / CHECKED BY: M. Zanchi, EIT /
RIG TYPE / DRILLING METHODS: ATV / hollow-stem auger (HSA)
CASING DIAMETER: 4-1/4" ID
SAMPLING METHODS: Standard penetration test (SPT)
SAMPLER TYPE: Standard 24" long x 2" OD (1-3/8" ID) split-spoon
SAMPLER HAMMER: 140-lb. automatic hammer
OTHER:

PROJECT NAME: Wayland High School Athletic Facilities
PROJECT LOCATION: Wayland, Massachusetts
BORING LOCATION: See attached plan.
GROUND ELEVATION: Not available DATUM: Unknown
DRILLING START DATE: 3/12/2018 END DATE: 3/12/2018

GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS		
DATE	DEPTH	COMMENTS
3/12/2018	Not observed	

DEPTH (ft.) Elevation	SAMPLE INFORMATION							GRAPHIC LOG	STRATA NAME	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION <small>(see guide below for soil classification based on constituent percentage)</small>	COMMENTS
	TYPE - NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	REC./PEN. (in.)	SPT BLOWS/6"	SPT N-VALUE	% MOISTURE	% FINES (P200)				
0										Mineral Soil GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY: >50% gravelly, sandy, silty, clayey: 35-50% some: 20-35% little: 10-20% trace: 0-10% Organic Soil PEAT: 50-100% organic (soil): 15-50% with some organics: 5-15%	
	S-1	0.0	3/24	2 3 4 8	7				FILL	Loose, brown, coarse GRAVEL, little silt, little fine to coarse sand, little organics (roots, leaves); moist. [FILL]	- Coarse gravel fragment in tip of spoon.
	S-2	2.0	12/14	28 35 120/2"					SAND & GRAVEL	Very dense, light brown, gravelly fine to coarse SAND, trace silt; moist.	P.I.D. - 0.1 ppm
	S-3	4.0	12/12	55 33 120/0"					SAND & GRAVEL	Very dense, gray-brown, fine to coarse GRAVEL, little fine to medium sand, trace silt; moist.	P.I.D. - 0.9 ppm
5	Auger refusal at 5 ft. End of boring at 5 ft. Offset boring approximately 2 ft. northwest to B-2B-L and re-attempt.										

W&S BORING LOG - DATA TEMPLATE - W&S STANDARD LOGS.GDT - 3/30/18 10:11 - P:\WAYLAND MA\WAYLAND HIGH SCHOOL ATHLETIC FACILITIES\GEO TECH\FIELD\BORING & TEST PIT LOGS\GINT LOGS - WAYLAND H.S. ATHLETIC FACILITIES.GPJ

SAMPLE		GRANULAR SOILS		COHESIVE SOILS		GENERAL NOTES:
SYMBOL	TYPE	N-Value	Density	N-VALUE	CONSISTENCY	
S	Split spoon	0-4	Very Loose	< 2	Very Soft	1. The stratification lines represent the approximate boundary between soil types; actual transitions may be gradual. 2. Water level readings have been made in the drill holes at the times and conditions stated on the boring log. Fluctuations in the level of groundwater may occur due to other factors than those presented at the time measurements are made.
ST	Shelby tube	4-10	Loose	2-4	Soft	
AG	Auger grab	10-30	Med. Dense	4-8	Med. Stiff	
NX	Rock core	30-50	Dense	8-15	Stiff	
GP	Direct push	> 50	Very Dense	15-30 > 30	Very Stiff Hard	

CLIENT: Town of Wayland
 PROJECT NUMBER: 2180076
 DRILLER: Brett Balyk - Technical Drilling Services
 LOGGED / CHECKED BY: M. Zanchi, EIT /
 RIG TYPE / DRILLING METHODS: ATV / hollow-stem auger (HSA)
 CASING DIAMETER: 4-1/4" ID
 SAMPLING METHODS: Standard penetration test (SPT)
 SAMPLER TYPE: Standard 24" long x 2" OD (1-3/8" ID) split-spoon
 SAMPLER HAMMER: 140-lb. automatic hammer
 OTHER: _____

PROJECT NAME: Wayland High School Athletic Facilities
 PROJECT LOCATION: Wayland, Massachusetts
 BORING LOCATION: See attached plan.
 GROUND ELEVATION: Not available DATUM: Unknown
 DRILLING START DATE: 3/12/2018 END DATE: 3/12/2018

GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS		
DATE	DEPTH	COMMENTS
3/12/2018	Not observed	

DEPTH (ft.) Elevation	SAMPLE INFORMATION							GRAPHIC LOG	STRATA NAME	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION <small>(see guide below for soil classification based on constituent percentage)</small>	COMMENTS
	TYPE - NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	REC./PEN. (in.)	SPT BLOWS/6"	SPT N-VALUE	% MOISTURE	% FINES (P200)				
0										Mineral Soil GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY: >50% gravelly, sandy, silty, clayey: 35-50% some: 20-35% little: 10-20% trace: 0-10% Organic Soil PEAT: 50-100% organic (soil): 15-50% with some organics: 5-15%	
5										See log for B-2A-L for soil descriptions.	B-2B-L is offset approximately 5 ft. northwest of B-2A-L.

Auger refusal at 5 ft. End of boring at 5 ft.

W&S BORING LOG - DATA TEMPLATE - USE STANDARD LOGS.GDT - 3/30/18 10:11 - P:\MAWAYLAND\MAWAYLAND HIGH SCHOOL ATHLETIC FACILITIES\GEO\TECH\FIELD BORING & TEST PITS LOGS\GDT LOGS - WAYLAND H.S. ATHLETIC FACILITIES.GPJ

SAMPLE		GRANULAR SOILS		COHESIVE SOILS		GENERAL NOTES:
SYMBOL	TYPE	N-Value	Density	N-VALUE	CONSISTENCY	
S	Split spoon	0-4	Very Loose	< 2	Very Soft	1. The stratification lines represent the approximate boundary between soil types; actual transitions may be gradual. 2. Water level readings have been made in the drill holes at the times and conditions stated on the boring log. Fluctuations in the level of groundwater may occur due to other factors than those presented at the time measurements are made.
ST	Shelby tube	4-10	Loose	2-4	Soft	
AG	Auger grab	10-30	Med. Dense	4-8	Med. Stiff	
NX	Rock core	30-50	Dense	8-15	Stiff	
GP	Direct push	> 50	Very Dense	15-30 > 30	Very Stiff Hard	

CLIENT: Town of Wayland
PROJECT NUMBER: 2180076
DRILLER: Brett Balyk - Technical Drilling Services
LOGGED / CHECKED BY: M. Zanchi, EIT /
RIG TYPE / DRILLING METHODS: ATV / hollow-stem auger (HSA)
CASING DIAMETER: 4-1/4" ID
SAMPLING METHODS: Standard penetration test (SPT)
SAMPLER TYPE: Standard 24" long x 2" OD (1-3/8" ID) split-spoon
SAMPLER HAMMER: 140-lb. automatic hammer
OTHER:

PROJECT NAME: Wayland High School Athletic Facilities
PROJECT LOCATION: Wayland, Massachusetts
BORING LOCATION: See attached plan.
GROUND ELEVATION: Not available DATUM: Unknown
DRILLING START DATE: 3/12/2018 END DATE: 3/12/2018

GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS		
DATE	DEPTH	COMMENTS
3/12/2018	Not observed	

DEPTH (ft.) Elevation	SAMPLE INFORMATION							GRAPHIC LOG	STRATA NAME	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION <small>(see guide below for soil classification based on constituent percentage)</small>	COMMENTS
	TYPE - NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	REC./PEN. (in.)	SPT BLOWS/6"	SPT N-VALUE	% MOISTURE	% FINES (P200)				
0										Mineral Soil GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY: >50% gravelly, sandy, silty, clayey: 35-50% some: 20-35% little: 10-20% trace: 0-10% Organic Soil PEAT: 50-100% organic (soil): 15-50% with some organics: 5-15%	
	S-1	0.0	18/24	1 5 11 12	16				FILL	5" Topsoil Very stiff, orange-brown, sandy SILT, little fine to coarse gravel, trace roots; moist. [FILL]	P.I.D. - 6.2 ppm
	S-2	2.0	7/8	25 120/2"					SAND & GRAVEL	Very dense, gray-brown, gravelly fine to coarse SAND, little silt; moist.	P.I.D. - 4.3 ppm - Auger grinding approximately 2 - 4 ft.
5	S-3	4.0	8/9	25 120/3"					SAND & GRAVEL	Very dense, gray, fine to coarse GRAVEL, some fine to coarse sand, little silt; moist.	- Heavy auger grinding and rig chatter at approximately 4 - 7 ft. Auger cuttings are primarily gray gravel from approximately 4 - 7 ft.

Auger refusal at 7 ft. End of boring at 7 ft. Offset boring approximately 2.7 ft. east to B-3B-L and re-attempt.

SAMPLE		GRANULAR SOILS		COHESIVE SOILS		GENERAL NOTES:
SYMBOL	TYPE	N-Value	Density	N-VALUE	CONSISTENCY	
S	Split spoon	0-4	Very Loose	< 2	Very Soft	1. The stratification lines represent the approximate boundary between soil types; actual transitions may be gradual. 2. Water level readings have been made in the drill holes at the times and conditions stated on the boring log. Fluctuations in the level of groundwater may occur due to other factors than those presented at the time measurements are made.
ST	Shelby tube	4-10	Loose	2-4	Soft	
AG	Auger grab	10-30	Med. Dense	4-8	Med. Stiff	
NX	Rock core	30-50	Dense	8-15	Stiff	
GP	Direct push	> 50	Very Dense	15-30	Very Stiff	
				> 30	Hard	

CLIENT: Town of Wayland
PROJECT NUMBER: 2180076

PROJECT NAME: Wayland High School Athletic Facilities
PROJECT LOCATION: Wayland, Massachusetts

DRILLER: Brett Balyk - Technical Drilling Services
LOGGED / CHECKED BY: M. Zanchi, EIT /
RIG TYPE / DRILLING METHODS: ATV / hollow-stem auger (HSA)
CASING DIAMETER: 4-1/4" ID
SAMPLING METHODS: Standard penetration test (SPT)
SAMPLER TYPE: Standard 24" long x 2" OD (1-3/8" ID) split-spoon
SAMPLER HAMMER: 140-lb. automatic hammer
OTHER:

BORING LOCATION: See attached plan.
GROUND ELEVATION: Not available DATUM: Unknown
DRILLING START DATE: 3/12/2018 END DATE: 3/12/2018

GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS		
DATE	DEPTH	COMMENTS
3/12/2018	Not observed	

DEPTH (ft.) Elevation	SAMPLE INFORMATION							GRAPHIC LOG	STRATA NAME	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION <small>(see guide below for soil classification based on constituent percentage)</small>	COMMENTS
	TYPE - NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	REC./PEN. (in.)	SPT BLOWS/6"	SPT N-VALUE	% MOISTURE	% FINES (P200)				
0										Mineral Soil GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY: >50% gravelly, sandy, silty, clayey: 35-50% some: 20-35% little: 10-20% trace: 0-10% Organic Soil PEAT: 50-100% organic (soil): 15-50% with some organics: 5-15%	
	S-1	0.0	18/24	1 5 11 12	16				FILL	5" Topsoil Very stiff, orange-brown, sandy SILT, little fine to coarse gravel, trace roots; moist. [FILL]	P.I.D. - 6.2 ppm
	S-2	2.0	7/8	25 120/2"					SAND & GRAVEL	Very dense, gray-brown, gravelly fine to coarse SAND, little silt; moist.	P.I.D. - 4.3 ppm - Auger grinding approximately 2 - 4 ft.
5	S-3	4.0	8/9	25 120/3"					SAND & GRAVEL	Very dense, gray, fine to coarse GRAVEL, some fine to coarse sand, little silt; moist.	- Heavy auger grinding and rig chatter at approximately 4 - 7 ft. Auger cuttings are primarily gray gravel from approximately 4 - 7 ft.

Auger refusal at 7 ft. End of boring at 7 ft. Offset boring approximately 2.7 ft. east to B-3B-L and re-attempt.

SAMPLE		GRANULAR SOILS		COHESIVE SOILS		GENERAL NOTES:
SYMBOL	TYPE	N-Value	Density	N-VALUE	CONSISTENCY	
S	Split spoon	0-4	Very Loose	< 2	Very Soft	1. The stratification lines represent the approximate boundary between soil types; actual transitions may be gradual. 2. Water level readings have been made in the drill holes at the times and conditions stated on the boring log. Fluctuations in the level of groundwater may occur due to other factors than those presented at the time measurements are made.
ST	Shelby tube	4-10	Loose	2-4	Soft	
AG	Auger grab	10-30	Med. Dense	4-8	Med. Stiff	
NX	Rock core	30-50	Dense	8-15	Stiff	
GP	Direct push	> 50	Very Dense	15-30	Very Stiff	
				> 30	Hard	

W&S BORING LOG - DATA TEMPLATE - W&S STANDARD LOGS.GDT - 3/30/18 10:11 - P:\WAYLAND HIGH SCHOOL ATHLETIC FACILITIES\GEOCHEM\BORING & TEST PIT LOGS\GHT LOGS - WAYLAND H.S. ATHLETIC FACILITIES.GPJ

CLIENT: Town of Wayland
 PROJECT NUMBER: 2180076
 DRILLER: Brett Balyk - Technical Drilling Services
 LOGGED / CHECKED BY: M. Zanchi, EIT /
 RIG TYPE / DRILLING METHODS: ATV / hollow-stem auger (HSA)
 CASING DIAMETER: 4-1/4" ID
 SAMPLING METHODS: Standard penetration test (SPT)
 SAMPLER TYPE: Standard 24" long x 2" OD (1-3/8" ID) split-spoon
 SAMPLER HAMMER: 140-lb. automatic hammer
 OTHER:

PROJECT NAME: Wayland High School Athletic Facilities
 PROJECT LOCATION: Wayland, Massachusetts
 BORING LOCATION: See attached plan.
 GROUND ELEVATION: Not available DATUM: Unknown
 DRILLING START DATE: 3/12/2018 END DATE: 3/12/2018

GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS		
DATE	DEPTH	COMMENTS
3/12/2018	Not observed	

DEPTH (ft.) Elevation	SAMPLE INFORMATION							GRAPHIC LOG	STRATA NAME	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION <small>(see guide below for soil classification based on constituent percentage)</small>	COMMENTS
	TYPE - NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	REC./PEN. (in.)	SPT BLOWS/6"	SPT N-VALUE	% MOISTURE	% FINES (P200)				
0										Mineral Soil GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY: >50% gravelly, sandy, silty, clayey: 35-50% some: 20-35% little: 10-20% trace: 0-10% Organic Soil PEAT: 50-100% organic (soil): 15-50% with some organics: 5-15%	
5											B-3B-L is offset approximately 2.7 ft. east of B-3A-L. See log for B-3A-L for soil descriptions. - Auger grinding and rig chatter at approximately 2 - 6.5 ft. - Auger cuttings are primarily gray gravel from approximately 4 - 7 ft.

Auger refusal at 6.5 ft. End of boring at 6.5 ft.

W&S BORING LOG - DATA TEMPLATE - W&S STANDARD LOGS.GDT - 3/30/18 10:11 - P:\MAWAYLAND MA\WAYLAND HIGH SCHOOL ATHLETIC FACILITIES\GEOTECH\FIELD\BORING & TEST PIT LOGS\GINT LOGS - WAYLAND H.S. ATHLETIC FACILITIES.GPJ

SAMPLE		GRANULAR SOILS		COHESIVE SOILS		GENERAL NOTES:
SYMBOL	TYPE	N-Value	Density	N-VALUE	CONSISTENCY	
S	Split spoon	0-4	Very Loose	< 2	Very Soft	1. The stratification lines represent the approximate boundary between soil types; actual transitions may be gradual. 2. Water level readings have been made in the drill holes at the times and conditions stated on the boring log. Fluctuations in the level of groundwater may occur due to other factors than those presented at the time measurements are made.
ST	Shelby tube	4-10	Loose	2-4	Soft	
AG	Auger grab	10-30	Med. Dense	4-8	Med. Stiff	
NX	Rock core	30-50	Dense	8-15	Stiff	
GP	Direct push	> 50	Very Dense	15-30 > 30	Very Stiff Hard	

CLIENT: Town of Wayland
 PROJECT NUMBER: 2180076

 PROJECT NAME: Wayland High School Athletic Facilities
 PROJECT LOCATION: Wayland, Massachusetts

 DRILLER: Brett Balyk - Technical Drilling Services
 LOGGED / CHECKED BY: M. Zanchi, EIT /
 RIG TYPE / DRILLING METHODS: ATV / hollow-stem auger (HSA)
 CASING DIAMETER: 4-1/4" ID
 SAMPLING METHODS: Standard penetration test (SPT)
 SAMPLER TYPE: Standard 24" long x 2" OD (1-3/8" ID) split-spoon
 SAMPLER HAMMER: 140-lb. automatic hammer
 OTHER: _____

 BORING LOCATION: See attached plan.
 GROUND ELEVATION: Not available DATUM: Unknown
 DRILLING START DATE: 3/12/2018 END DATE: 3/12/2018

GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS		
DATE	DEPTH	COMMENTS
3/12/2018	Not observed	

DEPTH (ft.) Elevation	SAMPLE INFORMATION							GRAPHIC LOG	STRATA NAME	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION <small>(see guide below for soil classification based on constituent percentage)</small>	COMMENTS
	TYPE - NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	REC./PEN. (in.)	SPT BLOWS/6"	SPT N-VALUE	% MOISTURE	% FINES (P200)				
0										Mineral Soil GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY: >50% gravelly, sandy, silty, clayey: 35-50% some: 20-35% little: 10-20% trace: 0-10% Organic Soil PEAT: 50-100% organic (soil): 15-50% with some organics: 5-15%	
	S-1	0.0	12/24	5 4 4 5	8				FILL	3" Topsoil Loose, light brown, fine to medium SAND, little fine to coarse gravel, trace silt; moist. [FILL] Medium dense, light brown, fine to medium SAND, little fine gravel, little silt; moist. [FILL]	
	S-2	2.0	7/24	6 5 6 6	11				FILL	Very dense, gray-brown, fine to coarse SAND, some fine to coarse gravel, little silt; moist.	
5	S-3	4.0	6/7	9 120/1"					SAND & GRAVEL		

Auger refusal at 7 ft. End of boring at 7 ft. Offset boring approximately 5 ft. northeast to B-4B-L and re-attempt.

SAMPLE		GRANULAR SOILS		COHESIVE SOILS		GENERAL NOTES:
SYMBOL	TYPE	N-Value	Density	N-VALUE	CONSISTENCY	
S	Split spoon	0-4	Very Loose	< 2	Very Soft	1. The stratification lines represent the approximate boundary between soil types; actual transitions may be gradual. 2. Water level readings have been made in the drill holes at the times and conditions stated on the boring log. Fluctuations in the level of groundwater may occur due to other factors than those presented at the time measurements are made.
ST	Shelby tube	4-10	Loose	2-4	Soft	
AG	Auger grab	10-30	Med. Dense	4-8	Med. Stiff	
NX	Rock core	30-50	Dense	8-15	Stiff	
GP	Direct push	> 50	Very Dense	15-30	Very Stiff	
				> 30	Hard	

CLIENT: Town of Wayland
 PROJECT NUMBER: 2180076
 DRILLER: Brett Balyk - Technical Drilling Services
 LOGGED / CHECKED BY: M. Zanchi, EIT /
 RIG TYPE / DRILLING METHODS: ATV / hollow-stem auger (HSA)
 CASING DIAMETER: 4-1/4" ID
 SAMPLING METHODS: Standard penetration test (SPT)
 SAMPLER TYPE: Standard 24" long x 2" OD (1-3/8" ID) split-spoon
 SAMPLER HAMMER: 140-lb. automatic hammer
 OTHER: _____

PROJECT NAME: Wayland High School Athletic Facilities
 PROJECT LOCATION: Wayland, Massachusetts
 BORING LOCATION: See attached plan.
 GROUND ELEVATION: Not available DATUM: Unknown
 DRILLING START DATE: 3/12/2018 END DATE: 3/12/2018

GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS		
DATE	DEPTH	COMMENTS
3/12/2018	Not observed	

DEPTH (ft.) Elevation	SAMPLE INFORMATION							GRAPHIC LOG	STRATA NAME	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION <small>(see guide below for soil classification based on constituent percentage)</small>	COMMENTS
	TYPE - NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	REC./PEN. (in.)	SPT BLOWS/6"	SPT N-VALUE	% MOISTURE	% FINES (P200)				
0										Mineral Soil GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY: >50% gravelly, sandy, silty, clayey: 35-50% some: 20-35% little: 10-20% trace: 0-10% Organic Soil PEAT: 50-100% organic (soil): 15-50% with some organics: 5-15%	
5											B-4B-L is offset approximately 5 ft. northeast of B-4A-L. See log for B-4A-L for soil descriptions.

Auger refusal at 8 ft. End of boring at 8 ft.

W&S BORING LOG - DATA TEMPLATE - W&S STANDARD LOGS.GDT - 3/30/18 10:11 - P:\WAYLAND HIGH SCHOOL ATHLETIC FACILITIES\GEO\TECH\FIELD\BORING & TEST PRT LOGS\GIGM LOGS - WAYLAND H.S. ATHLETIC FACILITIES.GPJ

SAMPLE		GRANULAR SOILS		COHESIVE SOILS		GENERAL NOTES:
SYMBOL	TYPE	N-Value	Density	N-VALUE	CONSISTENCY	
S	Split spoon	0-4	Very Loose	< 2	Very Soft	1. The stratification lines represent the approximate boundary between soil types; actual transitions may be gradual. 2. Water level readings have been made in the drill holes at the times and conditions stated on the boring log. Fluctuations in the level of groundwater may occur due to other factors than those presented at the time measurements are made.
ST	Shelby tube	4-10	Loose	2-4	Soft	
AG	Auger grab	10-30	Med. Dense	4-8	Med. Stiff	
NX	Rock core	30-50	Dense	8-15	Stiff	
GP	Direct push	> 50	Very Dense	15-30	Very Stiff	
				> 30	Hard	

CLIENT: Town of Wayland PROJECT NAME: Wayland High School Athletic Facilities
PROJECT NUMBER: 2180076 PROJECT LOCATION: Wayland, Massachusetts

DRILLER: Brett Balyk - Technical Drilling Services BORING LOCATION: See attached plan.
LOGGED / CHECKED BY: M. Zanchi, EIT / GROUND ELEVATION: Not available DATUM: Unknown
RIG TYPE / DRILLING METHODS: ATV / hollow-stem auger (HSA) DRILLING START DATE: 3/12/2018 END DATE: 3/12/2018
CASING DIAMETER: 4-1/4" ID

SAMPLING METHODS: Standard penetration test (SPT)
SAMPLER TYPE: Standard 24" long x 2" OD (1-3/8" ID) split-spoon
SAMPLER HAMMER: 140-lb. automatic hammer
OTHER: _____

GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS		
DATE	DEPTH	COMMENTS
3/12/2018	Not observed	

DEPTH (ft.) Elevation	SAMPLE INFORMATION							GRAPHIC LOG	STRATA NAME	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION <small>(see guide below for soil classification based on constituent percentage)</small>	COMMENTS
	TYPE - NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	REC./PEN. (in.)	SPT BLOWS/6"	SPT N-VALUE	% MOISTURE	% FINES (P200)				
0										Mineral Soil GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY: >50% gravelly, sandy, silty, clayey: 35-50% some: 20-35% little: 10-20% trace: 0-10% Organic Soil PEAT: 50-100% organic (soil): 15-50% with some organics: 5-15%	
	S-1	0.0	9/24	4 7 13 12	20				FILL	1" Topsoil Medium dense, brown, fine to coarse SAND, little fine gravel, trace silt; moist. [FILL]	
	S-2	2.0	15/24	13 12 9 11	21					Top 4" - Brown, fine to coarse SAND, little fine gravel, trace silt; moist. [FILL] Bottom 11" - Medium dense, orange-brown, silty fine SAND, little fine to coarse gravel; moist. [FILL]	
5	S-3	4.0	10/24	9 17 18 30	35				SAND & GRAVEL	Dense, brown, sandy fine to coarse GRAVEL, trace silt; moist.	

End of boring at 6 ft.

SAMPLE		GRANULAR SOILS		COHESIVE SOILS		GENERAL NOTES:
SYMBOL	TYPE	N-Value	Density	N-VALUE	CONSISTENCY	
S	Split spoon	0-4	Very Loose	< 2	Very Soft	1. The stratification lines represent the approximate boundary between soil types; actual transitions may be gradual. 2. Water level readings have been made in the drill holes at the times and conditions stated on the boring log. Fluctuations in the level of groundwater may occur due to other factors than those presented at the time measurements are made.
ST	Shelby tube	4-10	Loose	2-4	Soft	
AG	Auger grab	10-30	Med. Dense	4-8	Med. Stiff	
NX	Rock core	30-50	Dense	8-15	Stiff	
GP	Direct push	> 50	Very Dense	15-30 > 30	Very Stiff Hard	

W&S BORING LOG - DATA TEMPLATE - W&S STANDARD LOGS.GDT - 3/2018 10:11 - P:\MAWAYLAND\MAWAYLAND HIGH SCHOOL ATHLETIC FACILITIES\GEO TECH\FIELD BORING & TEST PIT LOGS\GINT LOGS - WAYLAND H.S. ATHLETIC FACILITIES.GPJ


TEST PIT LOG

PROJECT NAME/NO.	Wayland High School Athletic Facilities		TEST PIT NUMBER	
LOCATION	Wayland, Massachusetts		TP-1	
CLIENT	Town of Wayland		GROUND SURFACE	
CONTRACTOR	Town of Wayland	FOREMAN: Todd	ELEVATION --	
OBSERVED BY	Sarah Rocklin	DATE 3/21/18	DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER	
CHECKED BY		DATE	Not observed	

DEPTH BELOW GROUND SURFACE (ft.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATUM DESCRIPTION
Surface	Grass at surface	
1	0 - 1.3' - Dark brown, fine SAND, trace fine to coarse gravel, trace silt, trace organics; moist. [TOPSOIL]	TOPSOIL
2	1.3' - 2.7' - Yellow-brown, fine to coarse SAND, some fine to coarse gravel, trace silt; moist. [FILL]	FILL
3	2.7' - 5.0' - Coarse GRAVEL, sub-rounded; moist. [SEPTIC FIELD]	SEPTIC FIELD [FILL]
4	- 6" diameter broken clay pipe observed at approximately 3.0'	
5		
6	5.0' - 6.7' - Gray-brown, gravelly fine to coarse SAND, trace silt; moist.	SAND & GRAVEL
7	Possible bedrock (GRANITE) encountered at 6.7'. End of test pit at 6.7'.	BEDROCK
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		

NOTES: Coordinates: 42.3265 Lat., -71.3433 Long.


TEST PIT NUMBER
TP-1



TEST PIT LOG

PROJECT NAME/NO.	Wayland High School Athletic Facilities	TEST PIT NUMBER
LOCATION	Wayland, Massachusetts	TP-2
CLIENT	Town of Wayland	GROUND SURFACE
CONTRACTOR	Town of Wayland	FOREMAN: <u>Todd</u>
OBSERVED BY	Sarah Rocklin	DATE: <u>3/21/18</u>
CHECKED BY		DATE: _____
		ELEVATION: <u> -- </u>
		DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER
		Not observed


DEPTH BELOW GROUND SURFACE (ft.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATUM DESCRIPTION
Surface	Grass at surface	
1	0 - 1.3' - Dark brown, fine to medium SAND, trace fine to coarse gravel, trace silt, trace organics; moist. [TOPSOIL]	TOPSOIL
2	1.3' - 2.7' - Brown, gravelly fine to coarse SAND, trace silt; moist. [FILL] - Concrete wall at west corner of test pit at approximately 2.5'	FILL
3	2.7' - 5.0' - Coarse GRAVEL, sub-rounded; moist. [SEPTIC FIELD] - 6" diameter broken clay pipe observed at approximately 2.7'	SEPTIC FIELD [FILL]
4		
5		
6	5.0' - 6.0' - Yellow-brown, fine to coarse SAND, some fine to coarse gravel, trace silt; moist.	
7	6.0' - 7.6' - Gray-brown, fine to coarse SAND, some fine to coarse gravel, trace silt; moist.	SAND & GRAVEL
8	Possible bedrock (GRANITE) encountered at 7.6'. End of test pit at 7.6'.	BEDROCK
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		

NOTES: Coordinates: 42.3264 Lat., -71.3434 Long.	TEST PIT NUMBER TP-2
	

TEST PIT LOG

PROJECT NAME/NO.	Wayland High School Athletic Facilities		TEST PIT NUMBER	
LOCATION	Wayland, Massachusetts		TP-3	
CLIENT	Town of Wayland		GROUND SURFACE	
CONTRACTOR	Town of Wayland	FOREMAN: Todd	ELEVATION	--
OBSERVED BY	Sarah Rocklin	DATE 3/21/18	DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER	
CHECKED BY		DATE		Not observed


DEPTH BELOW GROUND SURFACE (ft.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATUM DESCRIPTION
Surface	Grass at surface	
1	0 - 0.7' - Dark brown, fine SAND, trace fine to coarse gravel, trace silt, trace organics; moist. [TOPSOIL]	TOPSOIL
2	0.7' - 1.3' - Brown, fine to medium SAND, trace fine to coarse gravel, trace silt, trace organics; moist. [FILL] - 1" diameter electrical conduit at 1.0'.	FILL
3	1.3' - 2.7' - Brown, fine to coarse SAND, some fine to coarse gravel, trace silt; moist. [FILL]	
4	2.7' - 5.7' - Gray-brown, gravelly fine to coarse SAND, trace silt; moist.	SAND & GRAVEL
5		
6	Possible bedrock (GRANITE) encountered at 5.7'. End of test pit at 5.7'.	BEDROCK
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		

NOTES: Coordinates: 42.3259 Lat., -71.3437 Long.	TEST PIT NUMBER
	TP-3
	

TEST PIT LOG

PROJECT NAME/NO.	Wayland High School Athletic Facilities	TEST PIT NUMBER
LOCATION	Wayland, Massachusetts	TP-4
CLIENT	Town of Wayland	GROUND SURFACE
CONTRACTOR	Town of Wayland	FOREMAN: Todd
OBSERVED BY	Sarah Rocklin	DATE 3/21/18
CHECKED BY		DATE
		ELEVATION --
		DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER
		Not observed

DEPTH BELOW GROUND SURFACE (ft.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATUM DESCRIPTION
Surface	Grass at surface on pavement	
	4" Asphalt Pavement	PAVEMENT
1	0.3' - 1.3' - Dark brown, fine SAND, trace fine to coarse gravel, trace silt, trace organics (roots, grass); moist. [BURIED TOPSOIL]	BURIED TOPSOIL
2	1.3' - 2.0' - Light brown, fine to coarse SAND, some fine to coarse gravel, trace silt; moist. [FILL]	FILL
3	2.0' - 4.8' - Light brown, gravelly fine to coarse SAND, trace silt; moist.	
4		SAND & GRAVEL
5		
6	Possible bedrock (GRANITE) encountered at 4.8'. End of test pit at 4.8'.	BEDROCK
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		

NOTES: Coordinates: 42.3262 Lat., -71.3432 Long.	TEST PIT NUMBER TP-4
	

TEST PIT LOG

PROJECT NAME/NO.	Wayland High School Athletic Facilities	TEST PIT NUMBER
LOCATION	Wayland, Massachusetts	TP-5
CLIENT	Town of Wayland	GROUND SURFACE
CONTRACTOR	Town of Wayland	FOREMAN: <u>Todd</u>
OBSERVED BY	Sarah Rocklin	DATE <u>3/21/18</u>
CHECKED BY		DATE
		ELEVATION <u>--</u>
		DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER <u>5.0'</u>

DEPTH BELOW GROUND SURFACE (ft.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATUM DESCRIPTION
Surface	Asphalt Pavement	
	4" Asphalt Pavement	PAVEMENT
1	0.3' - 1.3' - Brown, gravelly fine to coarse SAND, trace silt, trace organics; moist. [FILL]	FILL
2	1.3' - 4.0' - Gray-brown, fine to coarse SAND, some gravel, trace silt; moist.	SAND & GRAVEL
3		
4		
5	4.0' - 5.0' - Brown, gravelly fine to coarse SAND, some silt; moist to wet.	
6	End of test pit at 5.6'.	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		

NOTES: Coordinates: 42.3262 Lat., -71.3427 Long.


TEST PIT NUMBER
TP-5



TEST PIT LOG

PROJECT NAME/NO.	Wayland High School Athletic Facilities	TEST PIT NUMBER
LOCATION	Wayland, Massachusetts	TP-6
CLIENT	Town of Wayland	GROUND SURFACE
CONTRACTOR	Town of Wayland	FOREMAN: <u>Todd</u>
OBSERVED BY	Sarah Rocklin	DATE: <u>3/21/18</u>
CHECKED BY		DATE: _____
		ELEVATION: <u> -- </u>
		DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: <u> Not observed </u>

DEPTH BELOW GROUND SURFACE (ft.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATUM DESCRIPTION
Surface	Asphalt Pavement	
	4" Asphalt Pavement	PAVEMENT
1	0.3' - 1.3' - Brown, fine to medium SAND, trace silt; moist. [FILL]	FILL
2	1.3' - 7.3' - Gray-brown, gravelly fine to coarse SAND, trace silt; moist.	SAND & GRAVEL
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	End of test pit at 7.3'.	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		


NOTES: Coordinates: 42.3260 Lat., -71.3422 Long.	TEST PIT NUMBER TP-6
	

Attachment D - Stormwater Modeling

LEGEND

- IMPERVIOUS
- WOODLAND
- GRASSED/LANDSCAPE AREAS

ANALYSIS POINT OF INTEREST (TYP.)



PLAN
SCALE: 1" = 100'

FIGURE 1

TOWN OF WAYLAND MA
 LOKER RECREATION AREA
 HYDROLOGY MAP
 EXISTING CONDITIONS

DESIGNED BY: JP | CHECKED BY: JP | DATE: DECEMBER 21, 2017



LEGEND

- IMPERVIOUS
- WOODLAND
- GRASSED/LANDSCAPE AREAS

ANALYSIS POINT OF INTEREST (TYP.)



PLAN
SCALE: 1" = 100'

FIGURE 2

TOWN OF WAYLAND
 LOKER RECREATION AREA
 HYDROLOGY MAP
 PROPOSED CONDITIONS

DESIGNED BY: JP | CHECKED BY: JP | DATE: JULY 11, 2018






Subcat S1



Analysis Pt 1



Subcat S2



Analysis Pt 2



Routing Diagram for HydroCAD-EX

Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company, Printed 7/12/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 00455 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

HydroCAD-EX

Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company
HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 00455 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Printed 7/12/2018
Page 2

Area Listing (all nodes)

Area (sq-ft)	CN	Description (subcatchment-numbers)
14,777	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A (S1, S2)
97,879	98	Paved parking, HSG A (S1, S2)
101,413	30	Woods, Good, HSG A (S1, S2)
214,069	62	TOTAL AREA

HydroCAD-EX

Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company

HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 00455 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Printed 7/12/2018

Page 3

Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area (sq-ft)	Soil Group	Subcatchment Numbers
214,069	HSG A	S1, S2
0	HSG B	
0	HSG C	
0	HSG D	
0	Other	
214,069		TOTAL AREA

HydroCAD-EX

Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company

HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 00455 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Printed 7/12/2018

Page 4

Ground Covers (all nodes)

HSG-A (sq-ft)	HSG-B (sq-ft)	HSG-C (sq-ft)	HSG-D (sq-ft)	Other (sq-ft)	Total (sq-ft)	Ground Cover
14,777	0	0	0	0	14,777	Pasture/grassland/range, Good
97,879	0	0	0	0	97,879	Paved parking
101,413	0	0	0	0	101,413	Woods, Good
214,069	0	0	0	0	214,069	TOTAL AREA

HydroCAD-EX

Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company

HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 00455 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 2 YR Rainfall=3.31"

Printed 7/12/2018

Page 5

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1441 points
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

SubcatchmentS1: Subcat S1

Runoff Area=0.965 ac 29.06% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.15"
Tc=5.0 min CN=50 Runoff=0.04 cfs 532 cf

SubcatchmentS2: Subcat S2

Runoff Area=172,032 sf 49.79% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.61"
Tc=0.0 min CN=64 Runoff=2.52 cfs 8,764 cf

Pond P1: AnalysisPt 1

Inflow=0.04 cfs 532 cf
Primary=0.04 cfs 532 cf

Pond P2: AnalysisPt 2

Inflow=2.52 cfs 8,764 cf
Primary=2.52 cfs 8,764 cf

Total Runoff Area = 214,069 sf Runoff Volume = 9,295 cf Average Runoff Depth = 0.52"
54.28% Pervious = 116,190 sf 45.72% Impervious = 97,879 sf

Summary for Subcatchment S1: Subcat S1

[49] Hint: Tc<2dt may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.04 cfs @ 12.43 hrs, Volume= 532 cf, Depth= 0.15"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 2 YR Rainfall=3.31"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
0.089	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
0.446	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
0.099	98	Paved parking, HSG A
0.078	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
0.181	98	Paved parking, HSG A
0.072	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
0.965	50	Weighted Average
0.685		70.94% Pervious Area
0.280		29.06% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry,

Subcatchment S1: Subcat S1

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment S2: Subcat S2

[46] Hint: Tc=0 (Instant runoff peak depends on dt)


Runoff = 2.52 cfs @ 12.02 hrs, Volume= 8,764 cf, Depth= 0.61"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 2 YR Rainfall=3.31"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
9	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
4,136	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
7,507	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
19,333	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
2,869	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
360	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
41,133	98	Paved parking, HSG A
52	98	Paved parking, HSG A
16,428	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
2,840	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
32,889	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
44,476	98	Paved parking, HSG A
172,032	64	Weighted Average
86,371		50.21% Pervious Area
85,661		49.79% Impervious Area

Subcatchment S2: Subcat S2

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond P1: Analysis Pt 1


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 42,037 sf, 29.06% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 0.15" for 2 YR event
Inflow = 0.04 cfs @ 12.43 hrs, Volume= 532 cf
Primary = 0.04 cfs @ 12.43 hrs, Volume= 532 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond P1: Analysis Pt 1

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond P2: Analysis Pt 2


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 172,032 sf, 49.79% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 0.61" for 2 YR event
Inflow = 2.52 cfs @ 12.02 hrs, Volume= 8,764 cf
Primary = 2.52 cfs @ 12.02 hrs, Volume= 8,764 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond P2: Analysis Pt 2

Hydrograph



HydroCAD-EX

Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company

HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 00455 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 10 YR Rainfall=5.19"

Printed 7/12/2018

Page 10

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1441 points
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

SubcatchmentS1: Subcat S1

Runoff Area=0.965 ac 29.06% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.77"
Tc=5.0 min CN=50 Runoff=0.60 cfs 2,703 cf

SubcatchmentS2: Subcat S2

Runoff Area=172,032 sf 49.79% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.71"
Tc=0.0 min CN=64 Runoff=8.64 cfs 24,447 cf

Pond P1: AnalysisPt 1

Inflow=0.60 cfs 2,703 cf
Primary=0.60 cfs 2,703 cf

Pond P2: AnalysisPt 2

Inflow=8.64 cfs 24,447 cf
Primary=8.64 cfs 24,447 cf

Total Runoff Area = 214,069 sf Runoff Volume = 27,150 cf Average Runoff Depth = 1.52"
54.28% Pervious = 116,190 sf 45.72% Impervious = 97,879 sf

Summary for Subcatchment S1: Subcat S1

[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.60 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 2,703 cf, Depth= 0.77"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 10 YR Rainfall=5.19"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
0.089	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
0.446	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
0.099	98	Paved parking, HSG A
0.078	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
0.181	98	Paved parking, HSG A
0.072	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
0.965	50	Weighted Average
0.685		70.94% Pervious Area
0.280		29.06% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry,

Subcatchment S1: Subcat S1

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment S2: Subcat S2

[46] Hint: Tc=0 (Instant runoff peak depends on dt)


Runoff = 8.64 cfs @ 12.01 hrs, Volume= 24,447 cf, Depth= 1.71"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 10 YR Rainfall=5.19"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
9	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
4,136	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
7,507	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
19,333	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
2,869	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
360	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
41,133	98	Paved parking, HSG A
52	98	Paved parking, HSG A
16,428	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
2,840	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
32,889	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
44,476	98	Paved parking, HSG A
172,032	64	Weighted Average
86,371		50.21% Pervious Area
85,661		49.79% Impervious Area

Subcatchment S2: Subcat S2

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond P1: Analysis Pt 1


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 42,037 sf, 29.06% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 0.77" for 10 YR event
Inflow = 0.60 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 2,703 cf
Primary = 0.60 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 2,703 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond P1: Analysis Pt 1

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond P2: Analysis Pt 2


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 172,032 sf, 49.79% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 1.71" for 10 YR event
Inflow = 8.64 cfs @ 12.01 hrs, Volume= 24,447 cf
Primary = 8.64 cfs @ 12.01 hrs, Volume= 24,447 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond P2: Analysis Pt 2

Hydrograph



HydroCAD-EX

Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company

HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 00455 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 25 YR Rainfall=6.36"

Printed 7/12/2018

Page 15

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1441 points
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

SubcatchmentS1: Subcat S1

Runoff Area=0.965 ac 29.06% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.32"
Tc=5.0 min CN=50 Runoff=1.25 cfs 4,637 cf

SubcatchmentS2: Subcat S2

Runoff Area=172,032 sf 49.79% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.52"
Tc=0.0 min CN=64 Runoff=13.17 cfs 36,177 cf

Pond P1: AnalysisPt 1

Inflow=1.25 cfs 4,637 cf
Primary=1.25 cfs 4,637 cf

Pond P2: AnalysisPt 2

Inflow=13.17 cfs 36,177 cf
Primary=13.17 cfs 36,177 cf

Total Runoff Area = 214,069 sf Runoff Volume = 40,814 cf Average Runoff Depth = 2.29"
54.28% Pervious = 116,190 sf 45.72% Impervious = 97,879 sf

Summary for Subcatchment S1: Subcat S1

[49] Hint: Tc<2dt may require smaller dt

Runoff = 1.25 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 4,637 cf, Depth= 1.32"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 25 YR Rainfall=6.36"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
0.089	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
0.446	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
0.099	98	Paved parking, HSG A
0.078	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
0.181	98	Paved parking, HSG A
0.072	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
0.965	50	Weighted Average
0.685		70.94% Pervious Area
0.280		29.06% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry,

Subcatchment S1: Subcat S1

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment S2: Subcat S2

[46] Hint: Tc=0 (Instant runoff peak depends on dt)


Runoff = 13.17 cfs @ 12.01 hrs, Volume= 36,177 cf, Depth= 2.52"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 25 YR Rainfall=6.36"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
9	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
4,136	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
7,507	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
19,333	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
2,869	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
360	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
41,133	98	Paved parking, HSG A
52	98	Paved parking, HSG A
16,428	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
2,840	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
32,889	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
44,476	98	Paved parking, HSG A
172,032	64	Weighted Average
86,371		50.21% Pervious Area
85,661		49.79% Impervious Area

Subcatchment S2: Subcat S2

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond P1: Analysis Pt 1


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 42,037 sf, 29.06% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 1.32" for 25 YR event
Inflow = 1.25 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 4,637 cf
Primary = 1.25 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 4,637 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond P1: Analysis Pt 1

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond P2: Analysis Pt 2


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 172,032 sf, 49.79% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 2.52" for 25 YR event
Inflow = 13.17 cfs @ 12.01 hrs, Volume= 36,177 cf
Primary = 13.17 cfs @ 12.01 hrs, Volume= 36,177 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond P2: Analysis Pt 2

Hydrograph



HydroCAD-EX

Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company

HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 00455 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 100 YR Rainfall=8.17"

Printed 7/12/2018

Page 20

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1441 points
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

SubcatchmentS1: Subcat S1

Runoff Area=0.965 ac 29.06% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.35"
Tc=5.0 min CN=50 Runoff=2.48 cfs 8,247 cf

SubcatchmentS2: Subcat S2

Runoff Area=172,032 sf 49.79% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.92"
Tc=0.0 min CN=64 Runoff=20.78 cfs 56,158 cf

Pond P1: Analysis Pt 1

Inflow=2.48 cfs 8,247 cf
Primary=2.48 cfs 8,247 cf

Pond P2: Analysis Pt 2

Inflow=20.78 cfs 56,158 cf
Primary=20.78 cfs 56,158 cf

Total Runoff Area = 214,069 sf Runoff Volume = 64,406 cf Average Runoff Depth = 3.61"
54.28% Pervious = 116,190 sf 45.72% Impervious = 97,879 sf

Summary for Subcatchment S1: Subcat S1

[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 2.48 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 8,247 cf, Depth= 2.35"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 100 YR Rainfall=8.17"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
0.089	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
0.446	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
0.099	98	Paved parking, HSG A
0.078	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
0.181	98	Paved parking, HSG A
0.072	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
0.965	50	Weighted Average
0.685		70.94% Pervious Area
0.280		29.06% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry,

Subcatchment S1: Subcat S1

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment S2: Subcat S2

[46] Hint: Tc=0 (Instant runoff peak depends on dt)


Runoff = 20.78 cfs @ 12.01 hrs, Volume= 56,158 cf, Depth= 3.92"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 100 YR Rainfall=8.17"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
9	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
4,136	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
7,507	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
19,333	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
2,869	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
360	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
41,133	98	Paved parking, HSG A
52	98	Paved parking, HSG A
16,428	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
2,840	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
32,889	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
44,476	98	Paved parking, HSG A
172,032	64	Weighted Average
86,371		50.21% Pervious Area
85,661		49.79% Impervious Area

Subcatchment S2: Subcat S2

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond P1: Analysis Pt 1


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 42,037 sf, 29.06% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 2.35" for 100 YR event
Inflow = 2.48 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 8,247 cf
Primary = 2.48 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 8,247 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond P1: Analysis Pt 1

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond P2: Analysis Pt 2


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)



Inflow Area = 172,032 sf, 49.79% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 3.92" for 100 YR event
Inflow = 20.78 cfs @ 12.01 hrs, Volume= 56,158 cf
Primary = 20.78 cfs @ 12.01 hrs, Volume= 56,158 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond P2: Analysis Pt 2

Hydrograph





HydroCAD-PR

Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company

HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 00455 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Printed 7/12/2018

Page 2

Area Listing (all nodes)

Area (sq-ft)	CN	Description (subcatchment-numbers)
147,264	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A (S1, S2A, S2B, S2C)
58,794	98	Paved parking, HSG A (S1, S2A, S2B, S2C)
8,011	30	Woods, Good, HSG A (S1, S2A, S2C)
214,069	55	TOTAL AREA

HydroCAD-PR

Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company

HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 00455 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Printed 7/12/2018

Page 3

Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area (sq-ft)	Soil Group	Subcatchment Numbers
214,069	HSG A	S1, S2A, S2B, S2C
0	HSG B	
0	HSG C	
0	HSG D	
0	Other	
214,069		TOTAL AREA

HydroCAD-PR

Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company
HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 00455 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Printed 7/12/2018
Page 4

Ground Covers (all nodes)

HSG-A (sq-ft)	HSG-B (sq-ft)	HSG-C (sq-ft)	HSG-D (sq-ft)	Other (sq-ft)	Total (sq-ft)	Ground Cover
147,264	0	0	0	0	147,264	Pasture/grassland/range, Good
58,794	0	0	0	0	58,794	Paved parking
8,011	0	0	0	0	8,011	Woods, Good
214,069	0	0	0	0	214,069	TOTAL AREA

Summary for Subcatchment S1: Subcat S1

[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.00 cfs @ 14.82 hrs, Volume= 111 cf, Depth= 0.07"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 2 YR Rainfall=3.31"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
0.314	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
0.055	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
0.001	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
0.049	98	Paved parking, HSG A
0.420	46	Weighted Average
0.370		88.22% Pervious Area
0.049		11.78% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry,

Subcatchment S1: Subcat S1

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment S2A: Subcat S2A

[46] Hint: Tc=0 (Instant runoff peak depends on dt)


Runoff = 0.24 cfs @ 12.29 hrs, Volume= 2,530 cf, Depth= 0.20"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 2 YR Rainfall=3.31"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
22,077	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
76,277	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
10,489	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
149	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
360	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
23,184	98	Paved parking, HSG A
7,184	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
11,555	98	Paved parking, HSG A
246	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
151,520	52	Weighted Average
116,782		77.07% Pervious Area
34,738		22.93% Impervious Area

Subcatchment S2A: Subcat S2A

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment S2B: Subcat S2B

[46] Hint: Tc=0 (Instant runoff peak depends on dt)


Runoff = 1.75 cfs @ 12.00 hrs, Volume= 4,856 cf, Depth= 2.46"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 2 YR Rainfall=3.31"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
21,144	98	Paved parking, HSG A
266	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
40	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
266	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
0	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
2,018	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
23,735	92	Weighted Average
2,591		10.92% Pervious Area
21,144		89.08% Impervious Area

Subcatchment S2B: Subcat S2B

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment S2C: Subcat S2C

[46] Hint: Tc=0 (Instant runoff peak depends on dt)


Runoff = 0.00 cfs @ 21.62 hrs, Volume= 22 cf, Depth= 0.01"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 2 YR Rainfall=3.31"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,384	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
18,118	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
270	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
757	98	Paved parking, HSG A
20,530	41	Weighted Average
19,772		96.31% Pervious Area
757		3.69% Impervious Area

Subcatchment S2C: Subcat S2C

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond 1P: Chambers

Inflow Area = 23,735 sf, 89.08% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 2.46" for 2 YR event
 Inflow = 1.75 cfs @ 12.00 hrs, Volume= 4,856 cf
 Outflow = 0.23 cfs @ 12.49 hrs, Volume= 4,856 cf, Atten= 87%, Lag= 29.2 min
 Discarded = 0.08 cfs @ 12.49 hrs, Volume= 4,346 cf
 Primary = 0.16 cfs @ 12.49 hrs, Volume= 510 cf

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Peak Elev= 195.72' @ 12.49 hrs Surf.Area= 1,333 sf Storage= 2,024 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 208.8 min calculated for 4,856 cf (100% of inflow)
 Center-of-Mass det. time= 208.8 min (999.8 - 791.0)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	193.50'	1,199 cf	25.25'W x 52.28'L x 3.50'H Field A 4,620 cf Overall - 1,622 cf Embedded = 2,998 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	194.00'	1,622 cf	ADS_StormTech SC-740 x 35 Inside #1 Effective Size= 44.6"W x 30.0"H => 6.45 sf x 7.12'L = 45.9 cf Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= +0.44' x 6.45 sf x 5 rows
#3	193.50'	75 cf	4.00'D x 6.00'H Vertical Cone/Cylinder
		2,897 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	193.50'	2.410 in/hr Exfiltration over Horizontal area Conductivity to Groundwater Elevation = 0.00'
#2	Primary	197.00'	4.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s)
#3	Primary	195.50'	8.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.08 cfs @ 12.49 hrs HW=195.72' (Free Discharge)
 ↳1=Exfiltration (Controls 0.08 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.15 cfs @ 12.49 hrs HW=195.72' (Free Discharge)
 ↳2=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir(Controls 0.00 cfs)
 ↳3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.15 cfs @ 1.58 fps)

Pond 1P: Chambers - Chamber Wizard Field A

Chamber Model = ADS_StormTechSC-740 (ADS StormTech®SC-740)

Effective Size= 44.6"W x 30.0"H => 6.45 sf x 7.12'L = 45.9 cf

Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap

Row Length Adjustment= +0.44' x 6.45 sf x 5 rows

51.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 57.0" C-C Row Spacing

7 Chambers/Row x 7.12' Long +0.44' Row Adjustment = 50.28' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 52.28' Base Length

5 Rows x 51.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing x 4 + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 25.25' Base Width

6.0" Base + 30.0" Chamber Height + 6.0" Cover = 3.50' Field Height

35 Chambers x 45.9 cf +0.44' Row Adjustment x 6.45 sf x 5 Rows = 1,622.0 cf Chamber Storage

4,620.1 cf Field - 1,622.0 cf Chambers = 2,998.1 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 1,199.2 cf Stone Storage


Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 2,821.3 cf = 0.065 af

Overall Storage Efficiency = 61.1%

35 Chambers


171.1 cy Field

111.0 cy Stone



Pond 1P: Chambers

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond P1: Analysis Pt 1


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 18,284 sf, 11.78% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 0.07" for 2 YR event
Inflow = 0.00 cfs @ 14.82 hrs, Volume= 111 cf
Primary = 0.00 cfs @ 14.82 hrs, Volume= 111 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond P1: Analysis Pt 1

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond P2: Analysis Pt 2


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 195,785 sf, 28.93% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 0.19" for 2 YR event
Inflow = 0.34 cfs @ 12.41 hrs, Volume= 3,062 cf
Primary = 0.34 cfs @ 12.41 hrs, Volume= 3,062 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond P2: Analysis Pt 2

Hydrograph



HydroCAD-PR

Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company

HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 00455 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 10 YR Rainfall=5.19"

Printed 7/12/2018

Page 15

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1441 points
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

SubcatchmentS1: Subcat S1 Runoff Area=0.420 ac 11.78% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.55"
Tc=5.0 min CN=46 Runoff=0.13 cfs 844 cf

SubcatchmentS2A: Subcat S2A Runoff Area=151,520 sf 22.93% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.89"
Tc=0.0 min CN=52 Runoff=3.08 cfs 11,228 cf

SubcatchmentS2B: Subcat S2B Runoff Area=23,735 sf 89.08% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.27"
Tc=0.0 min CN=92 Runoff=2.96 cfs 8,456 cf

SubcatchmentS2C: Subcat S2C Runoff Area=20,530 sf 3.69% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.32"
Tc=0.0 min CN=41 Runoff=0.05 cfs 548 cf

Pond 1P: Chambers Peak Elev=196.52' Storage=2,605 cf Inflow=2.96 cfs 8,456 cf
Discarded=0.08 cfs 5,320 cf Primary=1.39 cfs 3,135 cf Outflow=1.47 cfs 8,456 cf

Pond P1: AnalysisPt 1 Inflow=0.13 cfs 844 cf
Primary=0.13 cfs 844 cf

Pond P2: AnalysisPt 2 Inflow=4.09 cfs 14,910 cf
Primary=4.09 cfs 14,910 cf

Total Runoff Area = 214,069 sf Runoff Volume = 21,075 cf Average Runoff Depth = 1.18"
72.54% Pervious = 155,275 sf 27.46% Impervious = 58,794 sf

Summary for Subcatchment S1: Subcat S1

[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.13 cfs @ 12.15 hrs, Volume= 844 cf, Depth= 0.55"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
Type III 24-hr 10 YR Rainfall=5.19"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
0.314	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
0.055	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
0.001	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
0.049	98	Paved parking, HSG A
0.420	46	Weighted Average
0.370		88.22% Pervious Area
0.049		11.78% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry,

Subcatchment S1: Subcat S1

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment S2A: Subcat S2A

[46] Hint: Tc=0 (Instant runoff peak depends on dt)


Runoff = 3.08 cfs @ 12.02 hrs, Volume= 11,228 cf, Depth= 0.89"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 10 YR Rainfall=5.19"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
22,077	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
76,277	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
10,489	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
149	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
360	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
23,184	98	Paved parking, HSG A
7,184	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
11,555	98	Paved parking, HSG A
246	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
151,520	52	Weighted Average
116,782		77.07% Pervious Area
34,738		22.93% Impervious Area

Subcatchment S2A: Subcat S2A

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment S2B: Subcat S2B

[46] Hint: Tc=0 (Instant runoff peak depends on dt)


Runoff = 2.96 cfs @ 12.00 hrs, Volume= 8,456 cf, Depth= 4.27"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
Type III 24-hr 10 YR Rainfall=5.19"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
21,144	98	Paved parking, HSG A
266	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
40	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
266	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
0	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
2,018	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
23,735	92	Weighted Average
2,591		10.92% Pervious Area
21,144		89.08% Impervious Area

Subcatchment S2B: Subcat S2B

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment S2C: Subcat S2C

[46] Hint: Tc=0 (Instant runoff peak depends on dt)


Runoff = 0.05 cfs @ 12.29 hrs, Volume= 548 cf, Depth= 0.32"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 10 YR Rainfall=5.19"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,384	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
18,118	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
270	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
757	98	Paved parking, HSG A
20,530	41	Weighted Average
19,772		96.31% Pervious Area
757		3.69% Impervious Area

Subcatchment S2C: Subcat S2C

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond 1P: Chambers

Inflow Area = 23,735 sf, 89.08% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 4.27" for 10 YR event
 Inflow = 2.96 cfs @ 12.00 hrs, Volume= 8,456 cf
 Outflow = 1.47 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 8,456 cf, Atten= 50%, Lag= 6.6 min
 Discarded = 0.08 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 5,320 cf
 Primary = 1.39 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 3,135 cf

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Peak Elev= 196.52' @ 12.11 hrs Surf.Area= 1,333 sf Storage= 2,605 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 156.6 min calculated for 8,456 cf (100% of inflow)
 Center-of-Mass det. time= 156.6 min (932.6 - 776.0)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	193.50'	1,199 cf	25.25'W x 52.28'L x 3.50'H Field A
#2A	194.00'	1,622 cf	4,620 cf Overall - 1,622 cf Embedded = 2,998 cf x 40.0% Voids ADS StormTech SC-740 x 35 Inside #1 Effective Size= 44.6"W x 30.0"H => 6.45 sf x 7.12'L = 45.9 cf Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= +0.44' x 6.45 sf x 5 rows
#3	193.50'	75 cf	4.00'D x 6.00'H Vertical Cone/Cylinder
		2,897 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	193.50'	2.410 in/hr Exfiltration over Horizontal area Conductivity to Groundwater Elevation = 0.00'
#2	Primary	197.00'	4.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s)
#3	Primary	195.50'	8.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.08 cfs @ 12.11 hrs HW=196.50' (Free Discharge)
 ↳1=Exfiltration (Controls 0.08 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=1.37 cfs @ 12.11 hrs HW=196.50' (Free Discharge)
 ↳2=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir(Controls 0.00 cfs)
 ↳3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 1.37 cfs @ 3.94 fps)

Pond 1P: Chambers - Chamber Wizard Field A

Chamber Model = ADS_StormTechSC-740 (ADS StormTech®SC-740)

Effective Size= 44.6"W x 30.0"H => 6.45 sf x 7.12'L = 45.9 cf

Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap

Row Length Adjustment= +0.44' x 6.45 sf x 5 rows

51.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 57.0" C-C Row Spacing

7 Chambers/Row x 7.12' Long +0.44' Row Adjustment = 50.28' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 52.28' Base Length

5 Rows x 51.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing x 4 + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 25.25' Base Width

6.0" Base + 30.0" Chamber Height + 6.0" Cover = 3.50' Field Height

35 Chambers x 45.9 cf +0.44' Row Adjustment x 6.45 sf x 5 Rows = 1,622.0 cf Chamber Storage

4,620.1 cf Field - 1,622.0 cf Chambers = 2,998.1 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 1,199.2 cf Stone Storage


Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 2,821.3 cf = 0.065 af

Overall Storage Efficiency = 61.1%

35 Chambers


171.1 cy Field

111.0 cy Stone



Pond 1P: Chambers

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond P1: Analysis Pt 1


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 18,284 sf, 11.78% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 0.55" for 10 YR event
Inflow = 0.13 cfs @ 12.15 hrs, Volume= 844 cf
Primary = 0.13 cfs @ 12.15 hrs, Volume= 844 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond P1: Analysis Pt 1

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond P2: Analysis Pt 2


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 195,785 sf, 28.93% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 0.91" for 10 YR event
Inflow = 4.09 cfs @ 12.05 hrs, Volume= 14,910 cf
Primary = 4.09 cfs @ 12.05 hrs, Volume= 14,910 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond P2: Analysis Pt 2

Hydrograph



HydroCAD-PR

Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company

HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 00455 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 25 YR Rainfall=6.36"

Printed 7/12/2018

Page 25

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1441 points
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

SubcatchmentS1: Subcat S1 Runoff Area=0.420 ac 11.78% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.02"
Tc=5.0 min CN=46 Runoff=0.37 cfs 1,557 cf

SubcatchmentS2A: Subcat S2A Runoff Area=151,520 sf 22.93% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.48"
Tc=0.0 min CN=52 Runoff=6.01 cfs 18,718 cf

SubcatchmentS2B: Subcat S2B Runoff Area=23,735 sf 89.08% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.42"
Tc=0.0 min CN=92 Runoff=3.71 cfs 10,728 cf

SubcatchmentS2C: Subcat S2C Runoff Area=20,530 sf 3.69% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.68"
Tc=0.0 min CN=41 Runoff=0.20 cfs 1,161 cf

Pond 1P: Chambers Peak Elev=197.23' Storage=2,868 cf Inflow=3.71 cfs 10,728 cf
Discarded=0.08 cfs 5,780 cf Primary=3.37 cfs 4,948 cf Outflow=3.45 cfs 10,728 cf

Pond P1: AnalysisPt 1 Inflow=0.37 cfs 1,557 cf
Primary=0.37 cfs 1,557 cf

Pond P2: AnalysisPt 2 Inflow=8.95 cfs 24,826 cf
Primary=8.95 cfs 24,826 cf

Total Runoff Area = 214,069 sf Runoff Volume = 32,163 cf Average Runoff Depth = 1.80"
72.54% Pervious = 155,275 sf 27.46% Impervious = 58,794 sf

Summary for Subcatchment S1: Subcat S1

[49] Hint: Tc<2dt may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.37 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 1,557 cf, Depth= 1.02"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 25 YR Rainfall=6.36"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
0.314	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
0.055	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
0.001	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
0.049	98	Paved parking, HSG A
0.420	46	Weighted Average
0.370		88.22% Pervious Area
0.049		11.78% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry,

Subcatchment S1: Subcat S1

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment S2A: Subcat S2A

[46] Hint: Tc=0 (Instant runoff peak depends on dt)


Runoff = 6.01 cfs @ 12.01 hrs, Volume= 18,718 cf, Depth= 1.48"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 25 YR Rainfall=6.36"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
22,077	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
76,277	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
10,489	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
149	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
360	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
23,184	98	Paved parking, HSG A
7,184	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
11,555	98	Paved parking, HSG A
246	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
151,520	52	Weighted Average
116,782		77.07% Pervious Area
34,738		22.93% Impervious Area

Subcatchment S2A: Subcat S2A

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment S2B: Subcat S2B

[46] Hint: Tc=0 (Instant runoff peak depends on dt)


Runoff = 3.71 cfs @ 12.00 hrs, Volume= 10,728 cf, Depth= 5.42"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 25 YR Rainfall=6.36"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
21,144	98	Paved parking, HSG A
266	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
40	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
266	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
0	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
2,018	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
23,735	92	Weighted Average
2,591		10.92% Pervious Area
21,144		89.08% Impervious Area

Subcatchment S2B: Subcat S2B

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment S2C: Subcat S2C

[46] Hint: Tc=0 (Instant runoff peak depends on dt)


Runoff = 0.20 cfs @ 12.06 hrs, Volume= 1,161 cf, Depth= 0.68"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 25 YR Rainfall=6.36"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,384	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
18,118	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
270	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
757	98	Paved parking, HSG A
20,530	41	Weighted Average
19,772		96.31% Pervious Area
757		3.69% Impervious Area

Subcatchment S2C: Subcat S2C

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond 1P: Chambers

Inflow Area = 23,735 sf, 89.08% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 5.42" for 25 YR event
 Inflow = 3.71 cfs @ 12.00 hrs, Volume= 10,728 cf
 Outflow = 3.45 cfs @ 12.05 hrs, Volume= 10,728 cf, Atten= 7%, Lag= 3.0 min
 Discarded = 0.08 cfs @ 12.05 hrs, Volume= 5,780 cf
 Primary = 3.37 cfs @ 12.05 hrs, Volume= 4,948 cf

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Peak Elev= 197.23' @ 12.05 hrs Surf.Area= 1,333 sf Storage= 2,868 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 139.3 min calculated for 10,720 cf (100% of inflow)
 Center-of-Mass det. time= 139.4 min (909.3 - 769.9)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	193.50'	1,199 cf	25.25'W x 52.28'L x 3.50'H Field A
#2A	194.00'	1,622 cf	4,620 cf Overall - 1,622 cf Embedded = 2,998 cf x 40.0% Voids ADS_StormTech SC-740 x 35 Inside #1 Effective Size= 44.6"W x 30.0"H => 6.45 sf x 7.12'L = 45.9 cf Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= +0.44' x 6.45 sf x 5 rows
#3	193.50'	75 cf	4.00'D x 6.00'H Vertical Cone/Cylinder
		2,897 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	193.50'	2.410 in/hr Exfiltration over Horizontal area Conductivity to Groundwater Elevation = 0.00'
#2	Primary	197.00'	4.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s)
#3	Primary	195.50'	8.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.08 cfs @ 12.05 hrs HW=197.20' (Free Discharge)
 ↳ **1=Exfiltration** (Controls 0.08 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=3.32 cfs @ 12.05 hrs HW=197.22' (Free Discharge)
 ↳ **2=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir** (Weir Controls 1.34 cfs @ 1.54 fps)
 ↳ **3=Orifice/Grate** (Orifice Controls 1.98 cfs @ 5.67 fps)

Pond 1P: Chambers - Chamber Wizard Field A

Chamber Model = ADS_StormTechSC-740 (ADS StormTech®SC-740)

Effective Size= 44.6"W x 30.0"H => 6.45 sf x 7.12'L = 45.9 cf

Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap

Row Length Adjustment= +0.44' x 6.45 sf x 5 rows

51.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 57.0" C-C Row Spacing

7 Chambers/Row x 7.12' Long +0.44' Row Adjustment = 50.28' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 52.28' Base Length

5 Rows x 51.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing x 4 + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 25.25' Base Width

6.0" Base + 30.0" Chamber Height + 6.0" Cover = 3.50' Field Height

35 Chambers x 45.9 cf +0.44' Row Adjustment x 6.45 sf x 5 Rows = 1,622.0 cf Chamber Storage

4,620.1 cf Field - 1,622.0 cf Chambers = 2,998.1 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 1,199.2 cf Stone Storage


Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 2,821.3 cf = 0.065 af

Overall Storage Efficiency = 61.1%

35 Chambers


171.1 cy Field

111.0 cy Stone



Pond 1P: Chambers

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond P1: Analysis Pt 1


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 18,284 sf, 11.78% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 1.02" for 25 YR event
Inflow = 0.37 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 1,557 cf
Primary = 0.37 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 1,557 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond P1: Analysis Pt 1

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond P2: Analysis Pt 2


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 195,785 sf, 28.93% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 1.52" for 25 YR event
Inflow = 8.95 cfs @ 12.04 hrs, Volume= 24,826 cf
Primary = 8.95 cfs @ 12.04 hrs, Volume= 24,826 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond P2: Analysis Pt 2

Hydrograph



HydroCAD-PR

Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company

HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 00455 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 100 YR Rainfall=8.17"

Printed 7/12/2018

Page 35

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1441 points
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

SubcatchmentS1: Subcat S1 Runoff Area=0.420 ac 11.78% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.93"
Tc=5.0 min CN=46 Runoff=0.83 cfs 2,941 cf

SubcatchmentS2A: Subcat S2A Runoff Area=151,520 sf 22.93% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.57"
Tc=0.0 min CN=52 Runoff=11.37 cfs 32,463 cf

SubcatchmentS2B: Subcat S2B Runoff Area=23,735 sf 89.08% Impervious Runoff Depth=7.21"
Tc=0.0 min CN=92 Runoff=4.85 cfs 14,264 cf

SubcatchmentS2C: Subcat S2C Runoff Area=20,530 sf 3.69% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.42"
Tc=0.0 min CN=41 Runoff=0.68 cfs 2,434 cf

Pond 1P: Chambers Peak Elev=197.46' Storage=2,871 cf Inflow=4.85 cfs 14,264 cf
Discarded=0.08 cfs 6,368 cf Primary=6.13 cfs 7,897 cf Outflow=6.20 cfs 14,264 cf

Pond P1: AnalysisPt 1 Inflow=0.83 cfs 2,941 cf
Primary=0.83 cfs 2,941 cf

Pond P2: AnalysisPt 2 Inflow=18.11 cfs 42,794 cf
Primary=18.11 cfs 42,794 cf

Total Runoff Area = 214,069 sf Runoff Volume = 52,103 cf Average Runoff Depth = 2.92"
72.54% Pervious = 155,275 sf 27.46% Impervious = 58,794 sf

Summary for Subcatchment S1: Subcat S1

[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.83 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 2,941 cf, Depth= 1.93"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, $dt= 0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 100 YR Rainfall=8.17"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
0.314	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
0.055	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
0.001	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
0.049	98	Paved parking, HSG A
0.420	46	Weighted Average
0.370		88.22% Pervious Area
0.049		11.78% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry,

Subcatchment S1: Subcat S1

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment S2A: Subcat S2A

[46] Hint: Tc=0 (Instant runoff peak depends on dt)


Runoff = 11.37 cfs @ 12.01 hrs, Volume= 32,463 cf, Depth= 2.57"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
Type III 24-hr 100 YR Rainfall=8.17"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
22,077	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
76,277	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
10,489	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
149	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
360	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
23,184	98	Paved parking, HSG A
7,184	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
11,555	98	Paved parking, HSG A
246	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
151,520	52	Weighted Average
116,782		77.07% Pervious Area
34,738		22.93% Impervious Area

Subcatchment S2A: Subcat S2A

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment S2B: Subcat S2B

[46] Hint: Tc=0 (Instant runoff peak depends on dt)


Runoff = 4.85 cfs @ 12.00 hrs, Volume= 14,264 cf, Depth= 7.21"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Type III 24-hr 100 YR Rainfall=8.17"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
21,144	98	Paved parking, HSG A
266	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
40	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
266	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
0	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
2,018	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
23,735	92	Weighted Average
2,591		10.92% Pervious Area
21,144		89.08% Impervious Area

Subcatchment S2B: Subcat S2B

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment S2C: Subcat S2C

[46] Hint: Tc=0 (Instant runoff peak depends on dt)


Runoff = 0.68 cfs @ 12.02 hrs, Volume= 2,434 cf, Depth= 1.42"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
Type III 24-hr 100 YR Rainfall=8.17"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,384	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
18,118	39	Pasture/grassland/range, Good, HSG A
270	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
757	98	Paved parking, HSG A
20,530	41	Weighted Average
19,772		96.31% Pervious Area
757		3.69% Impervious Area

Subcatchment S2C: Subcat S2C

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond 1P: Chambers

[88] Warning: Qout>Qin may require smaller dt or Finer Routing

Inflow Area = 23,735 sf, 89.08% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 7.21" for 100 YR event
 Inflow = 4.85 cfs @ 12.00 hrs, Volume= 14,264 cf
 Outflow = 6.20 cfs @ 12.00 hrs, Volume= 14,264 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.1 min
 Discarded = 0.08 cfs @ 12.00 hrs, Volume= 6,368 cf
 Primary = 6.13 cfs @ 12.00 hrs, Volume= 7,897 cf

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Peak Elev= 197.46' @ 12.00 hrs Surf.Area= 1,333 sf Storage= 2,871 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 121.5 min calculated for 14,255 cf (100% of inflow)
 Center-of-Mass det. time= 121.6 min (884.6 - 763.0)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	193.50'	1,199 cf	25.25'W x 52.28'L x 3.50'H Field A
#2A	194.00'	1,622 cf	4,620 cf Overall - 1,622 cf Embedded = 2,998 cf x 40.0% Voids ADS_StormTech SC-740 x 35 Inside #1 Effective Size= 44.6"W x 30.0"H => 6.45 sf x 7.12'L = 45.9 cf Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= +0.44' x 6.45 sf x 5 rows
#3	193.50'	75 cf	4.00'D x 6.00'H Vertical Cone/Cylinder
		2,897 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	193.50'	2.410 in/hr Exfiltration over Horizontal area Conductivity to Groundwater Elevation = 0.00'
#2	Primary	197.00'	4.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s)
#3	Primary	195.50'	8.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.08 cfs @ 12.00 hrs HW=197.43' (Free Discharge)
 ↳1=Exfiltration (Controls 0.08 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=5.97 cfs @ 12.00 hrs HW=197.45' (Free Discharge)
 ↳2=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir(Weir Controls 3.84 cfs @ 2.19 fps)
 ↳3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 2.14 cfs @ 6.12 fps)

Pond 1P: Chambers - Chamber Wizard Field A

Chamber Model = ADS_StormTechSC-740 (ADS StormTech®SC-740)

Effective Size= 44.6"W x 30.0"H => 6.45 sf x 7.12'L = 45.9 cf

Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap

Row Length Adjustment= +0.44' x 6.45 sf x 5 rows

51.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 57.0" C-C Row Spacing

7 Chambers/Row x 7.12' Long +0.44' Row Adjustment = 50.28' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 52.28' Base Length

5 Rows x 51.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing x 4 + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 25.25' Base Width

6.0" Base + 30.0" Chamber Height + 6.0" Cover = 3.50' Field Height

35 Chambers x 45.9 cf +0.44' Row Adjustment x 6.45 sf x 5 Rows = 1,622.0 cf Chamber Storage

4,620.1 cf Field - 1,622.0 cf Chambers = 2,998.1 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 1,199.2 cf Stone Storage


Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 2,821.3 cf = 0.065 af

Overall Storage Efficiency = 61.1%

35 Chambers


171.1 cy Field

111.0 cy Stone



Pond 1P: Chambers

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond P1: Analysis Pt 1


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 18,284 sf, 11.78% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 1.93" for 100 YR event
Inflow = 0.83 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 2,941 cf
Primary = 0.83 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 2,941 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond P1: Analysis Pt 1

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond P2: Analysis Pt 2


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 195,785 sf, 28.93% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 2.62" for 100 YR event
Inflow = 18.11 cfs @ 12.01 hrs, Volume= 42,794 cf
Primary = 18.11 cfs @ 12.01 hrs, Volume= 42,794 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond P2: Analysis Pt 2

Hydrograph



Attachment E - Calculations

**Wayland - Loker Field
Recharge Calculation**

Required Recharge

Area Summary	
	Area (SF)*
Existing Impervious	0
Proposed Impervious	21,144
Required Recharge Area (<i>Proposed - Existing</i>)	21,144

* Areas calculated in HydroCAD

Note: Site consists of HSG A soils.

Hydrologic Soil Group Summary		
Group	Target Depth Factor (in)	Area (SF)
A	0.6	21,144
B	0.35	0
C	0.25	0
D	0.1	0

Required Recharge (<i>Rv</i>) Calculation:		
<i>Rv</i> =	Target Depth Factor x Δ Impervious Area	
<i>Rv</i> =	0.6 x (1/12) x 21,144	
<i>Rv</i> =	1,057 CF	

Proposed Recharge Summary

Location	Volume (CF)*	Description
Underground Chambers	1,827	Chamber Field A
Total	1,827	

Rv = 1,057 CF
 Provided recharge = 1,827 CF

Recharge Requirement is met.

*Note: Volume numbers listed above reflect static volume available in recharge systems. Actual volume of recharged water will be much higher due to dynamic action reflected in the HydroCAD analysis.

Wayland-Loker Field
Water Quality Volume Calculation
Jul-18

Required Water Quality Storage
 Proposed Paved Area sf x 1" x 1 1/2" = Required WQ Storage CF

Location	Proposed Impervious Area (sqft)	Required WQ Storage (cf)	Provided WQ Storage (cf)	Description
Facility Site	21,144	1,762	1,827	Chamber Field A (Volume below lowest outlet)

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. In BMP Column, click on Blue Cell to Activate Drop Down Menu
2. Select BMP from Drop Down Menu
3. After BMP is selected, TSS Removal and other Columns are automatically completed.

Version 1, Automated: Mar. 4, 2008

Location:

B	C	D	E	F
BMP ¹	TSS Removal Rate ¹	Starting TSS Load*	Amount Removed (C*D)	Remaining Load (D-E)
Deep Sump and Hooded Catch Basin	0.25	1.00	0.25	0.75
Subsurface Infiltration Structure	0.80	0.75	0.60	0.15
	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.15
	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.15
	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.15

Total TSS Removal =

Separate Form Needs to be Completed for Each Outlet or BMP Train

Project:
 Prepared By:
 Date:

*Equals remaining load from previous BMP (E) which enters the BMP

Non-automated TSS Calculation Sheet must be used if Proprietary BMP Proposed
 1. From MassDEP Stormwater Handbook Vol. 1

Attachment F - Long Term Pollution Prevention Plan

**Long Term Pollution Prevention Plan
Loker Field Improvemets
Wayland, MA**

To meet the requirements of Standard 4 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook, this Long Term Pollution Prevention Plan is provided to identify the proper procedures of practices for source control and pollution prevention.

Storage and Handling of Oil and other Hazardous Materials

There will be no oil or other hazardous materials stored onsite.

Salt Storage

There will be no salt storage onsite.

Vehicle Storage and Washing

Vehicles will only park on a temporary basis during use of the field. Vehicles will not be stored or washed onsite.

Operation and Maintenance of Stormwater Control Structures

Included in Attachment H of this appendix is the Operation and Maintenance plan for this site, which includes street sweeping of the paved areas and periodic removal of sediment from catch basins and other stormwater structures. The Town will be responsible for implementing the plan.

Landscaping

The landscaped areas will be maintained by the Town. Fertilizers will not be stored onsite.

De-icing & Snow Disposal

The Town intends to utilize salt and sand to treat the paved surfaces of the driveways and main circulation areas during snow and ice events.

**Attachment G - Construction Period Pollution and Erosion
and Sedimentation Control Plan**

Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan

SECTION 1: Introduction

The project applicant, the Town of Wayland, is proposing the installation of a multi-purpose athletic field within the Loker Conservation and Recreation Area. The project includes field installation, field lighting, parking, trail improvements, and the addition of a stormwater management system. There would also be improvements to the existing emergency access road and parking area. The goal of this project is to utilize the Loker Conservation and Recreation Area to provide the Town of Wayland with a multi-purpose athletic field. Currently there is existing open field space on the property. By expanding this open space there will be room for the new athletic field. There is also an existing parking lot that will also be expanded on to allow for additional parking. In addition to the field and parking lot, new lighting will be added to allow for field use after daylight hours. The existing emergency access road will also be improved upon to allow for easier use, and miscellaneous paved areas in various locations of the site will be removed and converted to grassed space.

As part of this project, this “Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan” has been created to insure that no further disturbance to the wetland resource is created during the construction of these repairs.

SECTION 2: Construction Period Pollution Prevention Measures

Best Management Practices (BMPs) will be utilized as Construction Period Pollution Prevention Measures to reduce potential pollutants and prevent any off-site discharge. The objectives of the BMPs for construction activity are to minimize the disturbed areas, stabilize any disturbed areas, control the site perimeter and retain sediment. Both erosion and sedimentation controls and non-stormwater best management measures will be used to minimize site disturbance and ensure compliance with the performance standards of the WPA and Stormwater Standards. Measures will be taken to minimize the area disturbed by construction activities to reduce the potential for soil erosion and stormwater pollution problems. In addition, good housekeeping measures will be followed for the day-to-day operation of the construction site under the control of the contractor to minimize the impact of construction. This section describes the control practices that will be in place during construction activities. All recommended control practices will comply with the standards set in the MA DEP Stormwater Policy Handbook.

2.1 Minimize Disturbed Area and Protect Natural Features and Soil

In order to minimize disturbed areas all work will be completed within well-defined work limits. These work limits are shown on the construction plans. The Contractor shall not disturb native vegetation in the undisturbed wetland area without prior approval from the Engineer. The Contractor will be responsible to make sure that all workers know the

proper work limits and do not extend their work into the undisturbed areas. The protective measures are described in more detail in the following sections.

2.2 Control Stormwater Flowing onto and through the project

All construction areas adjacent to wetlands will be lined with compost filter tubes and silt fence. The tubes and silt fence will be inspected daily and accumulated silt will be removed as appropriate. In addition, any storage of material will require a second level of protection by surrounding the areas with another row of compost filter tubes. A stabilized truck entrance/exit is proposed so that equipment visiting the site can remove any accumulated dirt and mud from vehicles to prevent tracking the mud onto public roads.

2.3 Stabilize Soils

The Contractor shall limit the area of land which is exposed and free from vegetation during construction. In areas where the period of exposure will be greater than two (2) months, mulching, the use of erosion control mats, or other protective measures shall be provided as specified.

The Contractor shall take account of the conditions of the soil where erosion control seeding will take place to insure that materials used for re-vegetation are adaptive to the sediment control.

2.4 Proper storage and cover of any stockpiles

The location of the Contractor's storage areas for equipment and/or materials shall be upon cleared portions of the job site or areas to be cleared as a part of this project, and shall require written approval of the Engineer.

No excavated materials or materials used in backfill operations shall be stored within a minimum distance of fifty (50) feet of any watercourse or any wetlands. Adequate measures for erosion and sediment control such as the placement of compost filter tubes around the downstream perimeter of stockpiles shall be employed to protect any downstream areas from siltation.

There shall be no storage of equipment or materials in areas designated as wetlands.

The Engineer may designate a particular area or areas where the Contractor may store materials used in his operations.

2.5 Perimeter Controls and Sediment Barriers

Erosion control lines as described in Section 5 will be utilized to ensure that no sedimentation occurs outside the perimeter of the work area.

2.6 Storm Drain Inlet Protection

Storm Drain inlets (catch basins) will be fitted with a protective insert.

2.7 Retain Sediment On-Site

The Contractor will be responsible to monitor all erosion control measures. Whenever necessary the Contractor will clear all sediment from the compost filter tubes and silt fence that have been silted up during construction. Daily monitoring should be conducted using the attached Monitoring Form.

The following good housekeeping practices will be followed on-site during the construction project.

2.8 Material Handling and Waste Management

All materials stored on-site will be stored in a neat, orderly manner in appropriate containers. All materials will be kept in their original containers with the original manufacturer's label. Substances will not be mixed with one another unless recommended by the manufacturer.

All waste materials will be collected and stored in a securely lidded metal container from a licensed management company. The waste and any construction debris from the site will be hauled off-site daily and disposed of properly. The contractor will be responsible for all waste removal. Manufacturer's recommendations for proper use and disposal will be followed for all materials. Sanitary waste will be collected from the portable units a minimum of once a week, by a licensed sanitary waste management contractor.

2.9 Designated Washout Areas

The Contractor shall use washout facilities at their own facilities, unless otherwise directed by the Engineer.

2.10 Proper Equipment/Vehicle Fueling and Maintenance Practices

On-site vehicles will be monitored for leaks and receive regular preventative maintenance to reduce the risk of leakage. To ensure that leaks on stored equipment do not contaminate the site, oil-absorbing mats will be placed under all equipment during storage. Regular fueling and service of the equipment may be performed using approved methods and with care taken to minimize chance of spills. Repair of equipment or machinery within the 100' water resources area shall not be allowed without the prior approval of the Engineer. Any petroleum products will be stored in tightly sealed containers that are clearly labeled.

2.11 Equipment/Vehicle Washing

The Contractor will be responsible to ensure that no equipment is washed on-site.

SECTION 3: Spill Prevention and Control Plan

The Contractor will be responsible for preventing spills in accordance with the project specifications and applicable federal, state and local regulations. The Contractor will identify a properly trained site employee, involved with the day-to-day site operations to be the spill prevention and cleanup coordinator. The name(s) of the responsible spill personnel will be posted on-site. Each employee will be instructed that all spills are to be reported to the spill prevention and cleanup coordinator.

3.1 Spill Control Equipment

Spill control/containment equipment will be kept in the Work Area. Materials and equipment necessary for spill cleanup will be kept either in the Work Area or in an otherwise accessible on-site location. Equipment and materials will include, but not be limited to, absorbent booms/mats, brooms, dust pans, mops, rags, gloves, goggles, sand, plastic and metal containers specifically for this purpose. It is the responsibility of the Contractor to ensure the inventory will be readily accessible and maintained.

3.2 Notification

All workers will be directed to inform the on-site supervisor of a spill event. The supervisor will assess the incident and initiate proper containment and response procedures immediately upon notification. Workers should avoid direct contact with spilled materials during the containment procedures. Primary notification of a spill should be made to the local Fire Department and Police Departments. Secondary Notification will be to the certified cleanup contractor if deemed necessary by Fire and/or Police personnel. The third level of notification is to the DEP. The specific cleanup contractor to be used will be identified by the Contractor prior to commencement of construction activities.

3.3 Spill Containment and Clean-Up Measures

Spills will be contained with granular sorbent material, sand, sorbent pads, booms or all of the above to prevent spreading. Certified cleanup contractors should complete spill cleanup. The material manufacturer's recommended methods for spill cleanup will be clearly posted and on-site personnel will be made aware of the procedures and the location of the information and cleanup supplies.

3.4 Hazardous Materials Spill Report

The Contractor will report and record any spill. The spill report will present a description of the release, including the quantity and type of material, date of the spill, circumstances leading to the release, location of spill, response actions and personnel, documentation of notifications and corrective measures implemented to prevent reoccurrence.

This document does not relieve the Contractor of the Federal reporting requirements of 40 CFR Part 110, 40 CFR Part 117, 40 CFR Part 302 and the State requirements specified under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (M.C.P) relating to spills or other releases of oils or hazardous substances. Where a release containing a hazardous substance or oil in an amount equal to or in excess of a reportable quantity established under either 40 CFR Part 110, 40 CFR Part 117 or 40 CFR Part 302, occurs during a twenty-four (24) hour period, the Contractor is required to comply with the response requirements of the above mentioned regulations. Spills of oil or hazardous material in excess of the reportable quantity will be reported to the National Response Center (NRC).

SECTION 4: Contact Information/Responsible Parties

Owner/Operator:

Town of Wayland
41 Cochituate Road
Wayland, MA 01778

Engineer:

James Pearson, P.E.
Weston & Sampson, Inc.
5 Centennial Drive
Peabody, MA 01960
978-532-1900

Site Inspector:

TBD

Contractor:

TBD

SECTION 5: Erosion and Sedimentation Control

Erosion and Sedimentation Controls are shown on the project plans. In addition a technical specification (*Section 01570 Environmental Protection*) has been included as part of Appendix D, which details all Erosion and Sedimentation controls.

SECTION 6: Site Development Plans

A full set of site development plans are included with this submittal.

SECTION 7: Operation and Maintenance of Erosion Control

The erosion control measures will be installed as detailed in the technical specification *01570 Environmental Protection*. If there is a failure to the controls the Contractor, under the supervision of the Engineer, will be required to stop work until the failure is repaired.

Periodically throughout the work, whenever the Engineer deems it necessary, the sediment that has been deposited against the controls will be removed to ensure that the controls are working properly.

SECTION 8: Inspection Schedule

During construction the erosion and sedimentation controls will be inspected daily. Once the Contractor is selected, an on site inspector will be selected to work closely with the Engineer to insure that all erosion and sedimentation controls are in place and working properly. An Inspection Form is included.

Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan

Wayland – Loker Recreation Area

Inspection Form

Inspected By: _____ Date: _____ Time: _____

YES	NO	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM
			Do any erosion/siltation control measures require repair or clean out to maintain adequate function?
			Is there any evidence that sediment is leaving the site and entering the wetlands?
			Are any temporary soil stockpiles or construction materials located in non-approved areas?
			Are on-site construction traffic routes, parking, and storage of equipment and supplies located in areas not specifically designed for them?

Specific location, current weather conditions, and action to be taken:

Other Comments:

Pending the actions noted above I certify that the site is in compliance with the Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Attachment H - Operations and Maintenance Plan

Attachment H –
Long-Term Operation and Maintenance Plan

1.0 Introduction

The following document has been written to comply with the stormwater guidelines set forth by the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP). The intent of these guidelines is to encourage Low Impact Development techniques to improve the quality of the stormwater runoff. These techniques, also known as Best Management Practices (BMPs) collect, store, and treat the runoff before discharging to adjacent environmental resources.

2.0 Purpose

This Operation and Maintenance Plan (O&M Plan) is intended to provide a mechanism for the consistent inspection and maintenance of each BMP installed on the project site. Included in this O&M Plan is a description of each BMP type and an inspection form for each BMP. The Town of Wayland is the owner and operator of the system and is responsible for its upkeep and maintenance.

This work will be funded on an annual basis through the town's operating budget. The estimated budget to maintain these BMPs utilizing the Municipal Services Department workforce and equipment is approximately \$2,000 per year. This budget assumes that Town equipment will be utilized and no additional equipment rental is required.

In the event the Town sells the property, it is the Town's responsibility to transfer this plan as well as the past three years of operation and maintenance records to the new property owner.

3.0 BMP Description and Locations

3.1 Street Sweeping

Street sweeping consists of using a street sweeping machine to clean impervious areas of accumulated sediment, debris, and trash at parking areas.

3.2 Deep Sump Catch Basins

Deep sump catch basins will be located throughout the site and used as pre-treatment before entering the stormwater detention/infiltration basin. The deep sump catch basins are designed to remove trash, debris, and coarse sediment from the stormwater runoff.

3.4 Stormwater Infiltration Chambers

There is one underground infiltration chamber field in the facility that will receive stormwater. A stormwater infiltration chamber field will be built beneath the

parking lot area of the site. This structure also significantly mitigates TSS and provides for stormwater detention to mitigate peak discharges from the site.

4.0 Inspection, Maintenance Checklist and Schedule

4.1 Street Sweeping

Street sweeping shall be performed on the proposed parking lot areas at least twice per year, primarily in the spring and fall. Street sweeping shall be performed using an appropriate street sweeping machine.

In the event of contamination by a spill or other means, all street sweeping cleanings must be evaluated in accordance with the Hazardous Waste Regulations, 310 CMR 30.000 and handled as hazardous waste.

In the absence of evidence of contamination, street sweeping cleanings may be taken to a landfill or other facility permitted by MassDEP to accept Solid Waste without any prior approval by MassDEP. Please note that current MassDEP regulations prevent landfills from accepting materials that contain free-draining liquids. Also see attached operations and maintenance standards (reproduced from the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook) at the end of this section

4.2 Deep Sump Catch Basins

Inspect and/or clean catch basin at least four times per year and at the end of foliage and snow removal seasons. Sediments must be removed whenever the depth of deposits is greater than or equal to one half the depth from the bottom of the invert of the lowest pipe in the basin. The catch basin and oil-grit separators should be cleaned a minimum of four times per year regardless of the amount of sediment in the basin. Catch basins shall be cleaned with clamshell buckets or vacuum trucks.

In the event of contamination by a spill or other means, all cleanings must be evaluated in accordance with the Hazardous Waste Regulations, 310 CMR 30.000 and handled as hazardous waste.

In the absence of evidence of contamination, catch basin cleanings may be taken to a landfill or other facility permitted by MassDEP to accept Solid Waste without any prior approval by MassDEP. Please note that current MassDEP regulations prevent landfills from accepting materials that contain free-draining liquids. Also see attached operations and maintenance standards (reproduced from the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook) at the end of this section

4.3 Stormwater Infiltration Chambers

The stormwater infiltration chamber field shall be inspected every six months during the first year, and annually thereafter. All accumulated sediment and debris in the isolation row(s) shall be removed using water jetting and vacuum truck equipment as described in manufacturer literature for the chamber system.

4.4 Inspections and Record Keeping

- An inspection form should be filled out each and every time maintenance work is performed.
- A binder should be kept by the owner that contains all of the completed inspection forms and any other related materials.
- A review of all Operation & Maintenance actions should take place annually to ensure that these Stormwater BMPs are being taken care of in the manner illustrated in this Operation & Maintenance Plan.
- All operation and maintenance log forms for the last three years, at a minimum, shall be kept on site at the owner.
- The inspection and maintenance schedule may be refined in the future based on the findings and results of this operation and maintenance program or policy.

5.0 **Public Safety Features**

Underground stormwater system measures are protected from access via manhole covers and grates.

6.0 **Stormwater Management System Owner/Responsible Party**

Town of Wayland
41 Cochituate Road
Wayland, MA 01778

This operation and Maintenance Plan will be recorded with the registry of deeds so that current and future owners are aware of the requirement for proper operation and maintenance of the onsite stormwater system.

Town of Wayland
Loker Recreation Area
Permanent BMP Inspection Checklist

Street Sweeping

Frequency: Monthly, primarily in the spring and fall.

Location: Parking Lots and Driveways

Inspected By: _____ Date: _____

Observations: _____

Actions Taken: _____

Instructions: Sweep parking lot using street sweeping machine. All trash, debris, and sediments should be disposed of in accordance with local, state, and federal regulations.

Deep Sump Catch Basins

Frequency: Inspect and clean deep sump catch basins in March, June, September and December.

Structure Number: _____

Inspected By: _____ Date: _____

Observations: _____

Actions Taken: _____

Instructions: Clean units four times per year or whenever the depth of the deposits is greater than or equal to one half the depth from the bottom of the invert to the lowest pipe in the structure.

Stormwater Detention/Infiltration Chambers

Frequency: The detention/infiltration chambers should be inspected every six months during the first year and annually thereafter.

Structure No.: _____

Inspected By: _____ Date: _____

Observations: _____

Actions Taken: _____

Instructions: Inspect isolation rows. If visible sediment deposition has occurred, insert reverse water jet into isolation row via access manhole and jet sediment backward into manhole. Remove sediment with vacuum truck and dispose of sediment as required.

Attachment I - Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement

Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement

Section I – Purpose/Intent

The purpose of this document is to provide for the health, safety, and general welfare of the citizens of Wayland, Massachusetts through the regulation of non-stormwater discharges into existing outstanding resource areas near the Wayland Public Works Facility to the maximum extent practicable, as required by federal and state law. This document establishes methods for controlling the introduction of pollutants into existing outstanding resource areas to comply with requirements of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit process.

Section II - Definitions

For the purposes of this statement, the following shall mean:

Best Management Practices (BMPs): Schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, general good housekeeping practices, pollution prevention and educational practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants directly or indirectly to stormwater, receiving waters, or stormwater conveyance systems. BMPs also include treatment practices, operating procedures, and practices to control site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or water disposal, or drainage from raw materials storage.

Clean Water Act: The federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C § 1251 et seq.), and any subsequent amendments thereto.

Construction Activity: Activities subject to the Massachusetts Erosion and Sedimentation Control Act or NPDES Construction Permits. Such activities include but are not limited to clearing and grubbing, grading, excavating, and demolition.

Hazardous Materials: Any material, including any substance, waste, or combination thereof, which because of its quantity, concentration, or physical, chemical, or infectious characteristics may cause, or significantly contribute to, a substantial present or potential hazard to human health, safety, property, or the environment when improperly treated, stored, transported, disposed of, or otherwise managed.

Illegal Connection: An illegal connection is defined as either of the following:

- a. Any pipe, open channel, drain or conveyance, whether on the surface or subsurface, which allows an illicit discharge to enter the outstanding resource area including but not limited to any conveyances which allow any non-stormwater discharge including sewage, process wastewater, and wash water, regardless of whether said drain or connection has been previously allowed, permitted, or approved by an authorized enforcement agency; or
- b. Any pipe, open channel, drain or conveyance connected to the Town of Wayland storm water treatment system which has not been documented in plans, maps, or equivalent records and approved by an authorized enforcement agency.

Illicit Discharge: Any direct or indirect non-stormwater discharge to the Town of Wayland stormwater treatment system, except as exempted in Section II of this ordinance.

Industrial Activity: Activities subject to NPDES Industrial Permits as defined in 40CFR, Section 122.26 (b) (14).

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Stormwater Discharge Permit: A permit issued by MassDEP under authority delegated pursuant to 33 USC § 1342 (b) that authorizes the discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States, whether the permit is applicable on an individual, group, or general area-wide basis.

Town of Wayland Stormwater Treatment System: Any facility, owned or maintained by the town, designed or used for collecting and/or conveying stormwater, including but not limited to roads with drainage systems, Town of Wayland streets, curbs, gutters, inlets, catch basins, piped storm drains, pumping facilities, infiltration, retention and detention basins, natural and man-made or altered drainage channels, reservoirs, and other drainage structures.

Non-Stormwater Discharge: Any discharge to the storm drain system that is not composed entirely of stormwater.

Person: Any individual, association, organization, partnership, firm, joint venture, public or private corporation, trust, estate, commission, board, public or private institution, utility, cooperative, city, county or other political subdivision of the State, interstate body, or any other legal entity.

Pollutant: Anything which causes or contributes to pollution. Pollutants may include, but are not limited to: paints, varnishes, and solvents; petroleum hydrocarbons; automotive fluids; cooking grease; detergents (biodegradable or otherwise); degreasers; cleaning chemicals; non-hazardous liquid and solid wastes; refuse, rubbish, garbage, litter, or other discarded or abandoned objects and accumulations, so that same may cause or contribute to pollution; floatables; pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers; liquid and solid wastes; sewage, fecal coliform and pathogens; dissolved and particulate metals; animal wastes; wastes and residues that result from constructing a building or structure; concrete and cement; and noxious or offensive matter of any kind.

Pollution: Contamination or other alteration of any water's physical, chemical, or biological properties by addition of any constituent including but not limited to a change in temperature, taste, color, turbidity, or odor of such waters, or the discharge of any liquid, gaseous, solid, radioactive, or other substance into any such waters as will or is likely to create a nuisance or render such waters harmful, detrimental, or injurious to the public health, safety, welfare, or environment, or to domestic, commercial, industrial, agricultural, recreational, or other legitimate beneficial uses, or to livestock, wild animals, birds, fish or other aquatic life.

Premises: Any building, lot, parcel of land, or portion of land whether improved or unimproved including adjacent sidewalks and parking strips.

Stormwater: Any surface flow, runoff, and drainage consisting entirely of water from any form of natural precipitation, and resulting from such precipitation.

Wastewater: Any water or other liquid discharged from a facility, that has been used, as for washing, flushing, or in a manufacturing process, and so contains waste products.

Section III - Prohibitions

Prohibition of Illicit Discharges:

No person shall throw, drain, or otherwise discharge, cause or allow others under its control to throw, drain, or otherwise discharge into the Town of Wayland stormwater treatment system or watercourses any materials, including but not limited to, any pollutants or waters containing any pollutants, other than stormwater. The commencement, conduct or continuance of any illicit discharge to the storm drain system is prohibited except as described as follows:

1. Water line flushing performed by a government agency, other potable water sources, landscape irrigation or lawn watering, diverted stream flows, rising ground water, ground water infiltration to storm drains, uncontaminated pumped ground water, foundation or footing drains (not including active groundwater dewatering systems), crawl space pumps, air conditioning condensation, springs, natural riparian habitat or wetland flows, and any other water source not containing pollutants;
2. Discharges or flows from fire fighting, and other discharges specified in writing by the Town of Wayland as being necessary to protect public health and safety;
3. Dye testing is an allowable discharge, but requires a verbal notification to the Town of Wayland prior to the time of the test;
4. Any non-stormwater discharge permitted under an NPDES permit, waiver, or waste discharge order issued to the discharger and administered under the authority of the Federal Environmental Protection Agency, provided that the discharger is in full compliance with all requirements of the permit, waiver, or order and other applicable laws and regulations, and provided that written approval has been granted for a discharge to the Town of Wayland stormwater treatment system.

Section IV - Industrial or Construction Activity Discharges

Any person subject to an industrial or construction activity NPDES stormwater discharge permit shall comply with all provisions of such permit. Proof of compliance with said permit may be required in a form acceptable to the Town of Wayland Department of Public Works prior to allowing discharges to the Wayland stormwater treatment system.


Section V - Notification of Spills and Accidental Discharges

Notwithstanding other requirements of law, as soon as any person responsible for a facility, activity or operation, or responsible for emergency response for a facility, activity or operation has information of any known or suspected release of pollutants or non-stormwater discharges from that facility, activity, or operation which are resulting or may result in illicit discharges or pollutants discharging into stormwater, the Town of Londmeadow stormwater treatment system, State Waters, or Waters of the U.S., said person shall take all necessary steps to ensure the discovery, containment, and cleanup of such release so as to minimize the effects of the discharge. In the event of such a release of hazardous materials, said person shall immediately notify emergency response agencies of the occurrence via emergency dispatch services. In the event of a release of non-hazardous materials, said person shall notify the Town of Wayland Department Public Works in person or by phone no later than the next business day, including the nature, quantity and time of occurrence of the discharge. Notifications in person or by phone shall be confirmed by written notice, via certified mail return receipt requested addressed to the Town of Wayland Department of Public Works within three (3) business days of the initial notice. If the discharge of prohibited materials emanates from a commercial or industrial establishment, the owner or operator of such establishment shall also retain an on-site written record of the discharge and the actions taken to prevent its recurrence. Such records shall be retained for at least three years.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties hereto have executed copies of this Agreement on the _____ day of _____, _____.

Town of Wayland

**APPENDIX D:
Project Maps**




Path: \\wse03.local\WSE\Projects\MA\Wayland High School Athletic Facilities\GIS\Loker Field\Figure 1 - Locus.mxd User: GasparA Saved: 7/5/2018 1:25:35 PM Opened: 7/5/2018 1:26:15 PM



FIGURE 1
Loker Conservation & Recreation Area
Wayland, Massachusetts


Locus Map

 Work Area



Weston & Sampson

- Work Area
- Perennial Stream
- - - Intermittent Stream
- * NHESP Certified Vernal Pools
- ▣ DEP Wetlands
- NHESP Priority Habitats of Rare Species
- NHESP Estimated Habitats of Rare Wildlife
- ACECs
- 100-Year Flood Zone



Path: \\lwe03.local\WSE\Projects\MA\Wayland MA\Wayland High School Athletic Facilities\GIS\Loker Field\Figure 2 - Env Receptor.mxd User: GasparA Saved: 7/5/2018 1:22:03 PM Opened: 7/5/2018 3:07:37 PM

FIGURE 2
Loker Conservation & Recreation Area
Wayland, Massachusetts
ENVIRONMENTAL RECEPTORS

200 0 200

 Scale in Feet

Weston Sampson™

National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



42°19'45.43"N



USGS The National Map. Orthoimagery. Data refreshed October 2017.



71°20'15.25"W

42°19'18.83"N

Legend

SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS

- Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE) Zone A, V, X
- With BFE or Depth Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR
- Regulatory Floodway

OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD

- 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile Zone X
- Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone X
- Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes. Zone X
- Area with Flood Risk due to Levee Zone D

OTHER AREAS

- Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X
- Effective LOMRs
- Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard Zone B

GENERAL STRUCTURES

- Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer
- Levee, Dike, or Floodwall

OTHER FEATURES

- Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance Water Surface Elevation
- Coastal Transect
- Base Flood Elevation Line (BFE)
- Limit of Study
- Jurisdiction Boundary
- Coastal Transect Baseline
- Profile Baseline
- Hydrographic Feature

MAP PANELS

- Digital Data Available
- No Digital Data Available
- Unmapped

The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location.

This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards.

The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 7/6/2018 at 12:41:29 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time.

This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes.

APPENDIX E:
Contract Specifications

SECTION 01562

DUST CONTROL

PART 1 - GENERAL

1.01 DESCRIPTION:

This section of the specification covers the control of dust via calcium chloride and water, complete.

PART 2 - PRODUCTS

2.01 CALCIUM CHLORIDE:

- A. Calcium chloride shall conform to the requirements of AASHTO-M 144, Type I or Type II and Specification for Calcium Chloride, ASTM D98. The calcium chloride shall be packaged in moisture proof bags or in airtight drums with the manufacturer, name of product, net weight, and percentage of calcium chloride guaranteed by the manufacturer legibly marked on each container.
- B. Calcium chloride failing to meet the requirements of the aforementioned specifications or that which has become caked or sticky in shipment, may be rejected by the Engineer.

2.02 WATER:

- A. Water shall not be brackish and shall be free from oil, acid, and injurious alkali or vegetable matter.

PART 3 - EXECUTION

3.01 APPLICATION:

- A. Calcium chloride shall be applied when ordered by the Engineer and only in areas which will not be adversely affected by the application. See Section 01570, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION.
- B. Calcium chloride shall be uniformly applied at the rate of 1-1/2 pounds per square yard or at any other rate as required by the Engineer. Application shall be by means of a

mechanical spreader, or other approved methods. The number and frequency of applications shall be determined by the Engineer.

- C. Water may be sprinkler applied with equipment including a tank with gauge-equipped pressure pump and a nozzle-equipped spray bar.
- D. Water shall be dispersed through the nozzle under a minimum pressure of 20 pounds per square inch, gauge pressure.

END OF SECTION

Document1291

SECTION 01570

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

PART 1 – GENERAL

1.01 DESCRIPTION:

- A. The work covered by this section of the specifications consists of furnishing all labor, materials, tools and equipment and performing all work required for the prevention of environmental pollution during and as a result of construction operations under this contract.
- B. The requirements set forth in this section of the specifications apply to construction in and adjacent to wetlands, unless otherwise specifically stated.
- C. All work under this Contract shall be in accordance with the Conservation Commissions' Orders of Conditions as well as any conditional requirements applied.
- D. Prior to commencement of work, the Contractor shall meet with representatives of the Engineer to develop mutual understandings relative to compliance of the environmental protection program.

1.02 SUBMITTALS:

- A. The Contractor shall submit for approval six sets of details and literature fully describing environmental protection methods to be employed in carrying out construction activities within 100 feet of wetlands or across areas designated as wetlands.

PART 2 - PRODUCTS

2.01 STRAW BALES:

- A. Straw bales shall consist of certified seed free stems of agricultural grain and cereal crops and shall be free of grasses and legumes. Standard bales shall be 14-inches high, 18- inches wide and 36- to 40-inches long tied with polypropylene twine and weigh within 5 percent of 7 lbs. per cubic ft.

2.02 CATCH BASIN PROTECTION:

- A. To trap sediment and to prevent sediment from clogging drainage systems, catch basin protection in the form of a siltation sack (Siltsock as manufactured by ACF Environmental, Inc. or approved equal) shall be provided as approved by the Engineer.

2.03 COMPOST FILTER TUBES:

- A. Silt socks shall be a tubular filter sock of mesh fabric. The fabric will have openings of between 1/8" to 1/4" diameter. The mesh material will either photo degrade within one

year or be made of nylon with a life expectancy of 24 months. The sock shall be filled with a mix of composted leaf mulch, bark mulch and wood chips that have been composted for at least one year. The sock will have a minimum diameter of 12-inches.

PART 3- EXECUTION

3.01 NOTIFICATION AND STOPPAGE OF WORK:

- A. The Engineer will notify the Contractor in writing of any non-compliance with the provisions of the Order of Conditions. The Contractor shall, after receipt of such notice, immediately take corrective action. Such notice, when delivered to the Contractor or his authorized representative at the site of the work, shall be deemed sufficient for the purpose. If the Contractor fails to act promptly, the Owner may order stoppage of all or part of the work through the Engineer until satisfactory corrective action has been taken. No claim for an extension of time or for excess costs or damage incurred by the Contractor as a result of time lost due to any stop work orders shall be made unless it was later determined that the Contractor was in compliance.

3.02 AREA OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY:

- A. Insofar as possible, the Contractor shall confine his construction activities to those areas defined by the plans and specifications. All land resources within the project boundaries and outside the limits of permanent work performed under this contract shall be preserved in their present condition or be restored to a condition after completion of construction at least equal to that which existed prior to work under this contract.

3.03 PROTECTION OF WATER RESOURCES:

- A. The Contractor shall not pollute streams, lakes or reservoirs with fuels, oils, bitumens, calcium chloride, acids or other harmful materials. It is the Contractor's responsibility to comply with all applicable Federal, State, County and Municipal laws regarding pollution of rivers and streams.
- B. Special measures should be taken to insure against spillage of any pollutants into public waters.

3.04 CONSTRUCTION IN AREAS DESIGNATED AS WETLANDS ON THE DRAWINGS:

- A. Insofar as possible, the Contractor shall make every effort to minimize disturbance within areas designated as wetlands or within 100-feet of wetland resource areas.
- B. The Contractor shall perform his work in such a way that these areas are left in the condition existing prior to construction.

3.05 PROTECTING AND MINIMIZING EXPOSED AREAS:

- A. The Contractor shall limit the area of land which is exposed and free from vegetation during construction. In areas where the period of exposure will be greater than two (2) months, temporary vegetation, mulching or other protective measures shall be provided

as specified.

- B. The Contractor shall take account of the conditions of the soil where temporary cover crop will be used to insure that materials used for temporary vegetation are adaptive to the sediment control. Materials to be used for temporary vegetation shall be approved by the Engineer.

3.06 LOCATION OF STORAGE AREAS:

- A. The location of the Contractor's storage areas for equipment and/or materials shall be upon cleared portions of the job site or areas to be cleared as a part of this project, and shall require written approval of the Engineer. Plans showing storage facilities for equipment and materials shall be submitted for approval of the Engineer.
- B. No excavated materials or materials used in backfill operations shall be deposited within a minimum distance of one hundred (100) feet of any watercourse or any drainage facility. Adequate measures for erosion and sediment control such as the placement of straw wattles around the downstream perimeter of stockpiles shall be employed to protect any downstream areas from siltation.
- C. There shall be no storage of equipment or materials in areas designated as wetlands.
- D. The Engineer may designate a particular area or areas where the Contractor may store materials used in his operations.

3.07 PROTECTION OF LANDSCAPE:

- A. The Contractor shall not deface, injure, or destroy trees or shrubs nor remove or cut them without written authority from the Owner. No ropes, cables, or guys shall be fastened to or attached to any existing nearby trees for anchorages unless specifically authorized by the Engineer. Excavating machinery and cranes shall be of suitable type and be operated with care to prevent injury to trees which are not to be removed, particularly overhanging branches and limbs. The Contractor shall, in any event, be responsible for any damage resulting from such use.
- B. Branches, limbs, and roots shall not be cut except by permission of the Engineer. All cutting shall be smoothly and neatly done without splitting or crushing. When there is unavoidable injury to branches, limbs and trunks of trees, the injured portions shall be neatly trimmed and covered with an application of grafting wax or tree healing paint as directed.
- C. Where, in the opinion of the Engineer, trees may possibly be defaced, bruised, injured, or otherwise damaged by the Contractor's equipment or by his blasting or other operations, the Engineer may require the Contractor to adequately protect such trees by placing boards, planks, poles or fencing around them. Any trees or landscape feature scarred or damaged by the Contractor's equipment or operations shall be restored as nearly as possible to its original condition at the expense of the Contractor. The Engineer will decide what method of restoration shall be used, and whether damaged trees shall be treated and healed or removed and disposed of.

- D. Cultivated hedges, shrubs, and plants which could be injured by the Contractor's operations shall be protected by suitable means or shall be dug up, balled and temporarily replanted and maintained. After construction operations have been substantially completed, they shall be replanted in their original positions and cared for until growth is re-established. If cultivated hedges, shrubs, and plants are injured to such a degree as to affect their growth or diminish their beauty or usefulness, they shall be replaced by items of a kind and quality at least equal to that existing at the start of the work.

3.08 CLEARING AND GRUBBING:

- A. The Contractor shall clear and grub only on the Owner's land or the Owner's easements, and only the area required for construction operations, as approved by the Engineer.

3.09 DISCHARGE OF DEWATERING OPERATIONS:

- A. Any water that is pumped and discharged from the trench and/or excavation as part of the Contractor's water handling shall be filtered by an approved method prior to its discharge into a receiving water or drainage system.
- B. Under no circumstances shall the Contractor discharge water to the areas designated as wetlands. When constructing in a wetlands area, the Contractor shall discharge water from dewatering operations directly to the nearest drainage system, stream, or waterway after filtering by an approved method.
- C. The pumped water shall be filtered through filter fabric and baled straw, a vegetative filter strip or a vegetated channel to trap sediment occurring as a result of the construction operations. The vegetated channel shall be constructed such that the discharge flow rate shall not exceed a velocity of more than 1 foot per second. Accumulated sediment shall be cleared from the channel periodically.

3.10 DUST CONTROL:

- A. During the progress of the work, the Contractor shall conduct his operations and maintain the area of his activities, including sweeping and sprinkling of streets as necessary, to minimize creation and dispersion of dust. If the Engineer decides it is necessary to use calcium chloride for more effective dust control, the Contractor shall furnish and spread the material, as directed.
- B. Calcium Chloride shall not be used for dust control within a drainage basin or in the vicinity of any source of potable water.

3.11 BALED STRAW:

- A. To trap sediment during any dewatering process, baled straw shall be used where shown on the drawings. Care shall be taken to keep the bales from breaking apart. All deposited sediment shall be removed periodically. Straw bales shall not be placed within a waterway during construction.

3.12 CATCH BASIN PROTECTION:

- A. Catch basin protection shall be used for every catch basin, shown on the plans or as required by the Engineer, to trap sediment and prevent it from clogging drainage systems and entering wetlands. Siltation sacks shall be securely installed under the catch basin grate. Care shall be taken to keep the siltation sacks from breaking apart or clogging. All deposited sediment shall be removed periodically and at times prior to predicted precipitation to allow free drainage flow. Prior to working in areas where catch basins are to be protected, each catch basin sump shall be cleaned of all debris and protected. The contractor shall properly dispose of all debris at no additional cost to the Owner.

3.13 COMPOST FILTER TUBES:

- A. The silt socks will be staked in the ground using wooden stakes driven at 4-foot intervals. The wooden stakes will be placed at a minimum depth of 24-inches into the ground.

END OF SECTION

SECTION 01740

CLEANING UP

PART 1 - GENERAL

1.01 DESCRIPTION:

The Contractor must employ at all times during the progress of its work adequate cleanup measures and safety precautions to prevent injuries to persons or damage to property. The Contractor shall immediately, upon request by the Engineer provide adequate material, equipment and labor to cleanup and make safe any and all areas deemed necessary by the Engineer.

PART 2 - PRODUCTS

Not applicable

PART 3 - EXECUTION

3.01 DAILY CLEANUP:

- A. The Contractor shall clean up, at least daily, all refuse, rubbish, scrap and surplus material, debris and unneeded construction equipment resulting from the construction operations and sweep the area. The site of the work and the adjacent areas affected thereby shall at all times present a neat, orderly and workmanlike appearance.
- B. Upon written notification by the Engineer, the Contractor shall within 24 hours clean up those areas, which in the Engineer's opinion are in violation of this section and the above referenced sections of the specifications.
- C. If in the opinion of the Engineer, the referenced areas are not satisfactorily cleaned up, all other work on the project shall stop until the cleanup is satisfactory.

3.02 MATERIAL OR DEBRIS IN DRAINAGE FACILITIES:

- A. Where material or debris has washed or flowed into or has been placed in existing watercourses, ditches, gutters, drains, pipes, structures, such material or debris shall be entirely removed and satisfactorily disposed of during progress of the work, and

the ditches, channels, drains, pipes, structures, and work shall, upon completion of the work, be left in a clean and neat condition.

3.03 REMOVAL OF TEMPORARY BUILDINGS, STRUCTURES AND EQUIPMENT:

- A. On or before completion of the work, the Contractor shall, unless otherwise specifically required or permitted in writing, tear down and remove all temporary buildings and structures it built; shall remove all temporary works, tools and machinery or other construction equipment it furnished; shall remove all rubbish from any grounds which it has occupied; shall remove silt fences and hay bales used for trapping sediment; and shall leave the roads and all parts of the property and adjacent property affected by its operations in a neat and satisfactory condition.

3.04 RESTORATION OF DAMAGED PROPERTY:

- A. The Contractor shall restore or replace, when and as required, any property damaged by its work, equipment or employees, to a condition at least equal to that existing immediately prior to the beginning of operations. To this end the Contractor shall do as required all necessary highway or driveway, walk and landscaping work. Materials, equipment, and methods for such restoration shall be as approved by the Engineer.

3.05 FINAL CLEANUP:

- A. Before acceptance by the Owner, the Contractor shall perform a final cleanup to bring the construction site to its original or specified condition. This cleanup shall include removing all trash and debris off of the premises. Before acceptance, the Engineer shall approve the condition of the site.

END OF SECTION

\\Wse03.local\WSE\Projects\MA\Wayland MA\Wayland High School Athletic Facilities\Permitting\Con Comm\NOI - HS 2018\Appendix E Specs\SECTION 01740-Cleaning Up.docx

SECTION 02677

WETLANDS PROTECTION AND REPLICATION

PART 1 - GENERAL

1.01 WORK INCLUDED:

This section consists of providing all plants, labor, equipment, materials, tools, and required professional services in connection with the protection, replication, and provision of specific mitigation measures to minimize and compensate for impacts to existing wetland areas.

1.02 RELATED WORK:

- A. Section 01570, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
- B. Section 02930, TREES, PLANTS, AND GROUND COVERS

1.03 QUALITY ASSURANCE:

- A. This Contract requires construction adjacent to environmentally sensitive resource areas including flood plains and wetlands. The Wetlands Protection Act ("Act") G.L. Chapter 131 Sec. 40 governs work in these areas and the Contractor shall be required to comply with this and all other applicable Federal, State and local statutes, regulations, and ordinances, and with the Order of Conditions issued by the Conservation Commission.
- B. The Contract Drawings show the extent of the Bordering Vegetated Wetlands (BVW) and Buffer Zone (BZ). Work within the BVW or BZ shall also comply with the requirements of this section.

PART 2 - PRODUCTS

2.01 BACKFILL:

Loam and Organic Mixture - This section describes the specification for preparing a loam and organic mixture to be used as suitable backfill within the wetlands restoration and enhancement areas.

1. Loam shall be a natural, fertile, friable soil, typical of productive soils in the vicinity. Loam shall be free of admixture of subsoil and foreign matter or objects (gravel, roots, debris) larger than 2-inches in diameter.

2. Loam shall be uncontaminated and free of toxic substances or any materials harmful to plant growth, regeneration or reproduction. The pH of the loam shall range between 6.0 and 8.0.
3. Peat (if used) shall be supplied from an authorized peat supplier or nursery. Peat shall have an organic content ranging from 75 to 100%. Peat shall be uncontaminated and free of toxic substances or any materials harmful to plant growth, regeneration or reproduction.
4. The loam and organic mixture shall be mixed onsite to achieve a 5% organic content. This will be determined through laboratory analysis or organic content by the loss of weight by ignition of oven-dried samples. Test samples shall be oven-dried to a constant weight at a temperature of 230 degrees F. The final pH of the loam-peat mixture shall range from 5.8 to 8.0.

2.02 FERTILIZER:

Fertilizer shall be 10-6-8 controlled release, commercial grade granular free flowing, and uniform in composition and shall conform to applicable state and federal regulations. Fertilizer shall be delivered in manufacturer's standard container printed within manufacturer's name, material, weight, and guaranteed analysis.

2.03 MOISTURE ENHANCER:

A suitable moisture enhancer containing at least 99% Copolymer Acrylamide Acrylate shall be obtained and used for each planted shrub and sapling. This moisture enhancer shall be SuperSorb-C, TerraSorb or approved equal.

2.04 MULCH:

- A. Hay Mulch - Hay mulch shall consist of mowed and properly cured grass, clover and other acceptable plants. Hay mulch shall be free of weeds, twigs, debris or other deleterious material.
- B. Straw Mulch - Straw mulch shall consist of stalks or stems of grain after threshing.
- C. Wood Fiber Mulch - Wood fiber mulch shall consist of wood fiber produced from clean, whole, uncooked wood, formed into resilient bundles having a high degree of internal friction and shall be dry when delivered to the project.

2.05 PROPAGULES:

- A. The wetlands restoration and enhancement areas shall be vegetated with indigenous wetlands shrubs, saplings, and emergent species. Individual species to be planted are indicated on the final design plans.

- B. Propagules shall be nursery or plantation stock and shall be supplied from a bonded source. Nursery stock shall conform to the requirements and recommendations of American National Standards Institute (ANSI) Z60.1.
- C. Plants, propagules or cultivars other than those listed in this section will not be accepted unless specifically approved by the wetlands restoration specialist and accepted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- D. Propagules shall be dug and prepared for shipment in a manner that will not cause significant damage to branches, roots, shape and future growth and development after planting.
- E. Balled and burlapped plants shall have ball sizes and ratios conforming to ANSI Z60.1. Plants shall be balled with firm, natural balls of soil. Balled and burlapped plants shall be wrapped firmly with burlap, strong cloth, or plastic and tied.
- F. Planting stock shall be well-branched and well-formed, sound, vigorous, healthy, and free from disease, sun-scald, windburn, abrasion and harmful insect eggs and shall have healthy normal and unbroken root systems.
- G. Plants shall have been grown under climactic conditions similar to those in the vicinity of the site. Plants budding into leaf or having soft growth shall be sprayed with an antidesiccant at the nursery prior to delivery.
- H. Sapling minimum and maximum heights are as follows: a minimum of 3 feet and a maximum of 5 feet.
- I. Shrub minimum and maximum heights are as follows: a minimum of 18-inches and a maximum of 36-inches.
- J. Emergent propagules shall be rootstock.

2.06 HYDROSEED:

- A. Hydroseed shall be supplied by an authorized hydroseed contractor. The Hydroseed mixture shall include annual grasses and seed stock from *Juncus spp.* and *Carex spp.* The wetlands restoration specialist shall approve the final hydroseed mixture.

2.07 WATER:

Water shall not contain elements toxic to plant life.

PART 3 - EXECUTION

3.01 GENERAL:

- A. Every effort shall be made to use existing wetland species. At the discretion of the Engineer, the Contractor may, at his option, dig up, store and maintain existing wetland species trees, shrubs and plants from the excavation area for use in the replication area. Trees shall be a minimum of 1-inch caliper and shrubs shall be a minimum of 24-inches in spread or height. All plants shall be vigorous and well formed specimens.
- B. All plant materials dug for this purpose shall be dug by hand, hydraulic tree spade specifically designed for this purpose or other suitable equipment of sufficient size to remove an adequate rootball.
- C. American Association of Nurserymen, Inc., American Standard for Nursery Stock (latest edition) for each species. For hand dug plants, a suitable burlap or other wrap or container shall be provided to keep the rootball intact.
- D. All plants dug for reuse shall be immediately moved to a protected storage area approved by the Engineer. Plants shall be set plumb on grade or in prepared holes and guyed as necessary. The area or holes shall be backfilled with suitable topsoil to cover rootballs entirely and mulched to prevent erosion. All stored vegetation shall be maintained in a damp condition by regular watering. Contractor shall utilize all cultural measures necessary for survival of collected plants.
- E. When work has been completed, stockpiled plants shall be replanted in prepared pits in locations in the replication area designated on the Contract Drawings. Planting, backfilling, fertilizing, staking, mulching, watering and all other cultural methods, including season for planting, maintenance and warranties shall be as per Section 02930.
- F. Costs for digging, moving, storage, maintenance and transplanting shall be considered part of the wetland replication item.
- G. In order to protect the wetlands from siltation caused by excavation in the replication area and by roadway construction, a silt fence and a continuous line of staked hay bales shall be placed as detailed in the Contract Drawings. Hay bales and silt fence are specified in Section 01570.
- H. The organic, top layer of wetlands soils (generally, the top 12-inches) contains the rootstock and seeds for many wetland plant species. As excavation in the wetlands areas commences, the Contractor shall separate the top 12-inch layer of wetland soils (topsoil) within the delineated wetland areas (flagged wetlands) from other soil types and stockpile the wetland soils within an upland area adjacent to the replication area. At no time will stockpiling of excavated soils within wetland areas

be allowed. The wetland soil shall be carefully maintained in a wet condition by adequate watering and shall be protected by installing a siltation fence around the entire stockpiled area. Stockpiles shall be completely covered with a filter fabric and whenever possible, located in the shade.

- I. Suitable soil which is excavated, not including the top layer referred to in the paragraph above, shall be carefully removed for use as subgrade material beneath wetland topsoil and if it is not immediately used, shall be stored in a designated stockpile area, to be reused. All soils to be reused shall be carefully stockpiled and protected with appropriate drainage and erosion control.
- J. Once the replication area has been excavated, backfilling of the excavation with wetland soil can occur. Prior to the spreading of the wetland soil, the subsoil within the replication area shall be inspected and approved by the Engineer. The elevation and slope of the backfilled subgrade are critical elements in assuring proper replacement of wetlands soils and the function of the wetland. When backfilled with the soil discussed in the paragraph above, elevation and slopes of backfilled areas shall be consistent with the Contract Drawings minus 1-foot to allow for replacement of wetlands (BVW) soils.

3.02 WETLANDS (BVW) SOILS:

- A. Wetlands topsoil shall be deposited to a minimum depth of twelve (12) inches. Wetlands topsoil shall be deposited so as to minimize travel and subsequent compression of the underlying material and the replaced wetland topsoil. In the event that the Contractor fails to remove and stockpile sufficient wetlands topsoil to cover the replication area, or in the event sufficient wetlands topsoil is not present, the Contractor shall provide, at no additional cost to the Owner, replacement wetlands topsoil. Replacement wetlands topsoil, if required, shall be provided by a licensed nursery and shall be similar in composition, texture, fertility, and as described in Section 2.01 BACKFILL. The final grading of the replacement wetlands topsoil shall be completed so as to result in no discontinuities in elevation upon removal of any siltation barrier or erosion control materials.
- B. Upon completion of final grading, the surface of the new wetlands topsoil shall be shallowly harrowed (depth 3-inches), prior to planting.
- C. Upon completion of grading, a final condition survey of the wetlands restoration and enhancement areas shall be performed by a licensed surveyor. Elevations shall be checked in numerous random locations, and shall be within 0.1 feet of the final planned surface elevation. Areas that do not meet the 0.1 foot criteria shall be regraded.

3.03 PLANTING SCHEDULE:

- A. Spring planting of saplings and shrubs shall occur between 30 April and 15 June. Fall planting shall occur as dormant planting between 15 September and 30 October for saplings, and 15 September and 15 November for shrubs. If special conditions warrant a variance from the above planting schedules or conditions, and if in concurrence with the wetlands restoration specialist, the above dates can be modified only if recommended by the nursery and if all warranties still apply.
- B. Planting and hydroseeding shall not occur when the ground is frozen, snow covered or in an unsuitable condition for planting.
- C. All saplings, shrubs and emergent propagules shall be planted in the wetlands restoration and enhancement areas in accordance with a planting plan and schedule as indicated on the Final Plans. All saplings and shrub mixtures (within the wetlands restoration and enhancement areas) shall be planted randomly as indicated on the Final Plans. Sapling and shrub mixtures shall be planted randomly under the direction of the wetlands restoration specialist, with all planting locations no closer than 10 feet on center. Saplings and shrubs shall not be planted within ponds or standing water areas. No machinery or vehicles shall be allowed within the existing adjacent wetlands. Soil disturbances shall be kept to the minimum necessary to accommodate planting. Any extra soil (from pits) shall be removed from the wetland.
- D. All balled and burlapped and container grown plants shall be handled and moved only by the root ball or container.
- E. Pits for planting shall be dug to produce vertical sides and flat bottoms. The depth of pits shall be 6-inches deeper than the root ball. The diameter of the pits shall allow a minimum distance between the ball and the sides of the hole of 6-inches for shrubs and 10-inches for saplings. The bottom 4-inches of the pit shall be loosened with a shovel prior to planting.
- F. Saplings and shrubs shall be set plumb and manually held in position until sufficient soil has been firmly placed around roots or ball. Saplings and shrubs shall be set at the same depth at which they were grown in the nursery or container.
- G. Balled and burlapped stock shall be backfilled with soil to approximately half the depth of the ball and watered. Burlap and tying materials shall be carefully removed or folded back at the recommendation of the nursery. Plastic wrap shall be completely removed before placement of backfill. The remainder of backfill shall be tamped and watered.
- H. Emergent plantings shall be planted by hand in random locations under the direction of the wetlands restoration specialist in locations designated by the Final Plans. Plantings shall be planted no closer than 2 feet on center.

- I. Guying and staking of saplings shall only be required on taller individuals (5 feet), if recommended by the nursery.

3.04 HYDROSEEDING:

Hydroseeding shall accomplish seeding, fertilizing and mulching. Hydroseeded areas shall be seeded at a rate of 400 pounds per acre. Hydroseed application shall be conducted between 15 April and 15 June or 15 September to 30 October, or as recommended by the hydroseed contractor.

3.05 FERTILIZER APPLICATION:

Saplings and trees shall be fertilized at a rate of 0.25 pound of fertilizer per plant, or as recommended by the nursery. Fertilizer shall be worked 2- to 3-inches into the soil.

3.06 MOISTURE ENHANCER:

The moisture enhancer specified in Section 2.03 of this specification shall be applied to each planted shrub and sapling at a rate of 8 ounces per propagule and shall be broadcast around the root ball 3- to 4-inches below the surface.

3.07 MULCH:

Saplings and shrubs shall be mulched to a depth of 2-inches around the base of the pit, at the discretion of the wetlands restoration specialist.

3.08 WATERING:

All saplings and shrubs shall be watered by flooding the backfilled hole within the same working day of planting. Additional soil shall be added around each plant as required to compensate for settling.

3.09 PROTECTION:

Upon completion of construction activities within the wetlands restoration and enhancement areas, barricades or snow fencing shall be erected along upland areas adjacent to the wetland to prevent unauthorized access.

3.10 REPLANTING OF WETLANDS VEGETATION IN THE REPLICATION AREA:

- A. In all wetlands, replication of the disturbed areas shall require replanting with indigenous wetland species. The Contractor shall have the option of digging, storing, and replanting existing trees, shrubs and groundcover and resspreading

stockpiled wetlands soil from the reservoir excavation area or, alternatively, providing and planting new wetland species at no additional cost to the Owner. The intent of this Section is to insure that at least 75 percent of the surface area of all disturbed wetlands is reestablished with indigenous wetland plant species within two growing seasons of their planting in accordance with the Massachusetts DEP Wetlands Protection Act Regulations. The growing season for wetlands revegetation areas shall be April 15 to October 15. Attention is called to the fact that wetlands to be replicated within the project site have been identified as shrub-scrub or shrub-sapling swamps. The wetland planting zones are schematically shown on the plans. Purple Loosestrife and Phragmites species shall not be planted in any wetland. If after 180 growing season days it is evident in the opinion of the Engineer that it is unlikely that the 75 percent reestablishment requirement will be achieved, the Contractor shall supplement the plantings as necessary to achieve the required coverage at no additional cost to the Owner. If at the end of two growing seasons, 75 percent reestablishment has not been achieved, the Contractor shall provide and plant additional new plant material to achieve 75 percent reestablishment at no additional cost to the Owner.

- B. Wetland species are divided into planting groups (designated below) according to their moisture requirements during the growing season. Plantings are done at specified elevations based on the assumed mean water table. (These elevations to be adjusted by the Engineer based on the mean water table as determined during one growing season April 15 to October 15).
- C. Wetland plantings shall be performed as designated on the Contract plans.
- D. On average, for each 100 square feet of replication area, provide and plant a total of 2 shrubs, and for each 625 square feet of replication area to be revegetated, provide and plant one tree. Shrubs and trees will be spaced according to the Contract Plans.
- E. New trees and shrubs shall be balled and burlapped or container grown Nursery Stock.
- F. New trees shall be 1- to 1-1/2-inch caliper minimum. All plants selected for replanting shall be of the size specified on the Contract Drawings.
- G. New shrubs shall be 24-inches in spread, minimum.
- H. For each 100 square feet of replication area to be revegetated with replacement soil, provide and plant a total of 45 plants. New plants shall be a minimum size of 1-3/4-inch peat potted nursery stock, dormant rhizome, dormant tuber, dormant bulb, or bare root plant, as appropriate for the species and planting season. Plants shall be spaced according to Contract Plans.

- I. All planting shall be supervised by a licensed nurseryman, qualified to do this work. At least four weeks prior to any wetland planting, the Contractor shall submit details of proposed planting methods, plant layout, and personnel qualifications for approval by the Engineer.
- J. Wetland planting materials, operations, maintenance, inspection, and preliminary acceptance shall be as specified in Section 02930. Warranty and final inspection of all wetland plantings shall be a minimum of one year from the date of preliminary acceptance.
- K. Maintenance shall be provided until final acceptance. Final acceptance shall be obtained as stipulated in the attached Order of Conditions.

3.11 EROSION CONTROL SEEDING FOR WETLANDS:

- A. After wetland soil is respread, no further preparation for seeding is required or allowed. No fertilizer, limestone, superphosphate or other amendment shall be added to wetland soils. Seed mixture and application rates for this work shall follow the contract plans.
- B. A wetland seed mixture containing a wide variety of seeds native to New England and which do not include any invasive plant species prohibited in the latest edition of the "Performance Guidelines and Supplemental Information on the Checklist for Review of Mitigation Plan", published by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers New England Division. Application rates shall be one pound per 5000 square feet when used in an understory seeding and two pounds per 5000 square feet when used in a wet meadow seeding.
- C. Where required by the Engineer, for reasons of excessive soil moisture, the wetland seed mixture shall be modified by the addition of an approved portion by weight of Winter Rye seed to provide soil stabilization cover in the fall.

3.12 WORK IN THE BUFFER ZONE (BZ):

- A. When any work occurs in the Buffer Zone (BZ) within 100 feet of bordering vegetated wetlands (BVW), certain measures, as indicated on the Contract Drawings, shall be taken to protect the integrity of the wetlands.
- B. A siltation barrier consisting of a continuous row of staked hay bales and a silt fence shall be placed between the BVW and the work area to prevent soil materials from entering the BVW from the BZ as shown on the Contract Drawings. This siltation barrier shall be inspected and maintained on a daily basis. Hay bales and silt fence are specified in Section 01570.

- C. In general, storage of equipment or materials in BVW or BZ areas shall not be permitted. Storage of oil products or the repairing of vehicles and/or maintenance operations shall not be permitted in the BVW or BZ areas. Should the Engineer deem that the Contractor's activities are unnecessarily detrimental to the wetlands, the Engineer reserves the right to order the Contractor to immediately cease all activities on-site until the situation is resolved to the satisfaction of the Engineer.

END OF SECTION

Document1262

APPENDIX F:
Abutters List / Notice to Abutters

Notification to Abutters
Under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act

In accordance with the second paragraph of Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 131,
Section 40, you are hereby notified of the following:

- A. The name of the **Applicant** is Town of Wayland
- B. The Applicant has filed a Notice of Intent with the Wayland Conservation Commission for permission to remove, fill, dredge, or alter an Area Subject to Protection (Wetland Resource Area and/or Buffer Zone) Under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act (General Laws Chapter 131, Section 40).
- C. The **address** of the lot where the activity is proposed: 412 Commonwealth Road, Wayland, MA 01788 Map: 49 Lot: 064B
- D. The **proposed activity** is: Development of a public multi-purpose athletic playing field at the parcel designated for recreation with associated lighting, access parking and trail heads.
-
- D. A **Public Hearing** regarding this Notice of Intent will be held on:
 Thursday, March 19, 2020 at 7:00 p.m. at Town Building. (41 Cochituate Road, Wayland, MA 01778)
 Information regarding the date, time, and place of the public hearing may be obtained from the applicant or the Wayland Conservation Commission (check website).
- E. Copies of the Notice of Intent may be examined at **THE WAYLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION OFFICE** at Wayland Town Building between the hours of 8:00 a.m. - 7:00 p.m. on Mondays, 8:00 a.m. - 4:00 p.m. Tuesday – Thursday, and 8:00 a.m. -12:30 p.m. on Fridays. For more information, call: 508-358-6339
- F. Copies of the Notice of Intent may be obtained from either:
 The Applicant, or the Applicant's representative Town of Wayland-Recreation Department, by calling this telephone number: (508) 358-3660 between the hours of 8:00am - 4:00pm on the following days of the week: Monday through Thursday.

Note: Public Hearing Notice, including its date, time, and place, will be published at least 5 days in advance in the **Wayland Town Crier or MetroWest Daily News** (at the applicant's expense).

Note: You also may contact the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) for more information about this application or the Wetlands Protection Act. To contact DEP (205B Lowell Street, Wilmington, MA 01887), call (978) 694-3200

Since you are receiving this notice, you may have wetland or riverfront resource areas on your property.
Therefore, construction, cutting, clearing, or grading may require a permit. For clarification or for more information, call the Conservation office 508-358-3669 or visit our web site:
[http://www.wayland.ma.us/Pages/WaylandMA Conservation/index](http://www.wayland.ma.us/Pages/WaylandMA%20Conservation/index)



Town of Wayland
Assessing Department
 41 COCHITUATE ROAD
 WAYLAND MASSACHUSETTS 01778
 www.wayland.ma.us TEL. 508-358-3788

OFFICE STAFF
 Ellen M. Brideau, MAA, Director of Assessing
 Don Clarke, MAA, Assistant Assessor
 Jessica Marchant, MAA, Administrative Assessor
 Savitri Ramgoolam, Department Assistant

BOARD OF ASSESSORS
 Susan M. Rufo, Chair
 Jayson Brodie, Vice Chair
 Zachariah L. Ventress

RECEIVED
 2020 MAR -3 PM 2:44
 WAYLAND ASSESSORS
 BOARD OF

Certification of Abutters

Date of request 3/3/2020

Please plan your submission accordingly. The Assessors' office has 10 business days to certify an abutters list Per MGL Ch. 66, S.10

Address to be certified 412 Commonwealth Rd Parcel ID 49/064B
(Map/Lot)

Owner's Name TOW
(PLEASE PRINT)

Owner's Mailing Address 41 Cochituate Rd Wayland MA 01778

Name of Applicant K. BRENNAN Telephone: 6824
(PLEASE PRINT)

41 Cochituate Rd Wayland MA 01778
Mailing Address of Applicant City/Town State Zip

Signature of Applicant [Signature]

Reason for List (check one) Conservation Health Planning Zoning

****Please check with the Board/Commission for their guidelines regarding the number of feet required for notification. Each Board/Commission has its own regulations for their abutters listing. There's no fee for certification, however the list/s of abutters must be provided by the person or company requesting certification. Please submit by mail, in person or fax to 508 358 0061.**

For use by Assessors

This is to certify that at the time of the last assessment for taxation made by the Town of Wayland, the names and addresses are the assessed owners to these parcels.

Certified By: Matt Lampf Date: 3-3-2020

CC: Conservation Health Planning Zoning RECREATION ADMINISTRATION

47



100 foot Abutters List Report

Wayland, MA
March 03, 2020

RECEIVED
2020 MAR -3 PM 2:45
WAYLAND
COMMITTEE ASSOCIATION

Subject Property:

Parcel Number: 49-064B
CAMA Number: 49-064B
Property Address: 412 COMMONWEALTH RD

Mailing Address: TOWN OF WAYLAND
41 COCHITUATE RD
WAYLAND, MA 01778

Abutters:

Parcel Number: 48-093 CAMA Number: 48-093 Property Address: 26 RICE RD	Mailing Address: RICE ROAD DEVELOPMENT, LLC 275 PLEASANT ST WATERTOWN, MA 02472	✓
Parcel Number: 48-098 CAMA Number: 48-098 Property Address: 396 COMMONWEALTH RD	Mailing Address: TOWN OF WAYLAND CONSERVATION 41 COCHITUATE ROAD WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-025A Property Address: 100 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: SKOLNICK NANCY F TRUSTEE NANCY SKOLNICK TRUST U/D/T 100 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-025B Property Address: 101 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: FISHMAN MARJORIE 101 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-025C Property Address: 102 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: FERDINAND JENNIFER 102 WILLOW BROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-026A Property Address: 103 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: FANGER STEPHANIE 103 WILLOW BROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-026B Property Address: 104 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: DIAZ SYLVIA C 104 WILLOW BROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-026C Property Address: 105 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: TORRES JUAN C PO BOX 7814 PONCE, PR 00732	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-026D Property Address: 106 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: FILIPE LEONOR M HINCKLEY LOUIS E T/E 106 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-027A Property Address: 107 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: KALOUST KIMBERLY KALOUST FIORELLA T/E 107 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓



www.cai-tech.com

3/3/2020

Data shown on this report is provided for planning and informational purposes only. The municipality and CAI Technologies are not responsible for any use for other purposes or misuse or misrepresentation of this report.

Page 1 of 4



100 foot Abutters List Report

Wayland, MA
March 03, 2020

Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-027B Property Address: 108 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: CLIFFORD REBECCA J 108 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-027C Property Address: 109 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: GUMATAY ROMAN F II GUMATAY BRENDA J 109 WILLOW BROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-027D Property Address: 110 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: WEISMAN LAURENCE & ONEILL MARY F TRUSTEE ONEILL WEISMAN FAMILY TRUST 110 WILLOW BROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-028A Property Address: 111 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: CHUANG SHIH-MIN 111 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-028B Property Address: 112 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: NEWBERG MARLENE D 112 WILLOW BROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-029A Property Address: 200 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: FRANKLIN CAROL 200 WILLOW BROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-029B Property Address: 201 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: HATCH THEODORE F JR TRUSTEE THEODORE F HATCH JR TRUST 201 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-029C Property Address: 202 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: PAYNE BURTON S JR PAYNE FELICIA F T/E 202 WILLOW BROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-029D Property Address: 203 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: SAX CAROL A CHUBOY & SAX JOHN TRUSTEES CAROL ANN CHUBOY SAX TRUST 203 WILLOW BROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-030A Property Address: 204 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: MENACHEM MARSHALL MENACHEM MARJORIE H T/E 204 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-030B Property Address: 205 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: RUGGIERE LISA A 205 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-030C Property Address: 206 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: SLEEPER MARTIN E 206 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778	✓



www.cai-tech.com

3/3/2020

Data shown on this report is provided for planning and informational purposes only. The municipality and CAI Technologies are not responsible for any use for other purposes or misuse or misrepresentation of this report.


Page 2 of 4



100 foot Abutters List Report

Wayland, MA
March 03, 2020

Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-030D Property Address: 207 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: UVEGES GEORGE UVEGES V RENEE 207 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-031A Property Address: 208 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: MARSHALL DAVID F MARSHALL PATRICIA A 208 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-031B Property Address: 209 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: DEANGELIS STEVEN L MAHONEY KERRY L 209 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-031C Property Address: 210 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: GIBBONS JOSEPH N WYNNE STEVEN M 210 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-031D Property Address: 211 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: KHROMOVA SVETLANA 211 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-032A Property Address: 212 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: SYLVETSKY AMY GOODMAN 212 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-032B Property Address: 213 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: JENKINS-CRITIDES JENNIFER 213 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-032C Property Address: 214 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: COHEN ALAN B COHEN HELAINE H T/E 214 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-033A Property Address: 300 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: COHEN HOWARD A 300 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-033B Property Address: 301 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: FILIPE URANIA M TRUSTEE THE URANIA M FILIPE LIVING TRUST 301 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-034A Property Address: 302 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: TIERNEY JOSEPH W JR LANGLEY CHRISTINE T/E 302 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-034B Property Address: 303 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: DEITCHMAN SHEILA R 303 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓



www.cai-tech.com

Data shown on this report is provided for planning and informational purposes only. The municipality and CAI Technologies are not responsible for any use for other purposes or misuse or misrepresentation of this report.



100 foot Abutters List Report

Wayland, MA
March 03, 2020

Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-034C Property Address: 304 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: GLENN DAVID T GLENN KIM M T/E 304 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-034D Property Address: 305 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: GHATTAS RAMY ROBERT 305 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 E. KELLIHER, JR. ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-035A Property Address: 306 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: SAVEL BARBARA A 306 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-035B Property Address: 307 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: SALVUCCI PAUL SALVUCCI JUDITH A T/E 307 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-035C Property Address: 308 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: HSIE CHANG-ER 308 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-035D Property Address: 309 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: MEHLMAN ELLEN F MEHLMAN JONATHAN P T/E 309 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-036A Property Address: 310 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: SWARTZ JODI L CENTURY BANK & TRUST CO/CONSUMER LENDING 400 MYSTIC AVE MEDFORD, MA 02155 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-036B Property Address: 311 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: AGNES EILEEN D 311 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-036C Property Address: 312 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: REILLY NORBERTA J & THOMAS J TRUSTEES NORBETTA J. REILLY 1998 REVOCABLE TRUST 312 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-036D Property Address: 313 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: ROSSMAN NANCY ROSSMAN RICHARD T/E 313 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-037A Property Address: 314 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: LILIENTHAL JANET L 314 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓
Parcel Number: 53-00WB CAMA Number: 53-037B Property Address: 315 WILLOWBROOK DR	Mailing Address: HINDERHOFER KATHRYN M & JOSEPH J TRUSTEE KATHRYN M HINDERHOFER REVOCABLE TRUST 315 WILLOWBROOK DR WAYLAND, MA 01778 ✓




www.cai-tech.com

3/3/2020

Data shown on this report is provided for planning and informational purposes only. The municipality and CAI Technologies are not responsible for any use for other purposes or misuse or misrepresentation of this report.

MA 92
3-3-2020
Page 4 of 4



NATICK



0 foot Abutters List Report

Wayland, MA
March 04, 2020

Subject Property:

Parcel Number: 49-064B
CAMA Number: 49-064B
Property Address: 412 COMMONWEALTH RD

Mailing Address: TOWN OF WAYLAND
41 COCHITUATE RD
WAYLAND, MA 01778

Abutters:

Parcel Number: 48-098
CAMA Number: 48-098
Property Address: 396 COMMONWEALTH RD

Mailing Address: TOWN OF WAYLAND CONSERVATION
41 COCHITUATE ROAD
WAYLAND, MA 01778



www.cai-tech.com

Data shown on this report is provided for planning and informational purposes only. The municipality and CAI Technologies are not responsible for any use for other purposes or misuse or misrepresentation of this report.

3/4/2020

Page 1 of 1

AGNES EILEEN D
311 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

FILIFE LEONOR M
HINCKLEY LOUIS E T/E
106 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

HSIE CHANG-ER
308 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

CHUANG SHIH-MIN
111 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

FILIFE URANIA M TRUSTEE
THE URANIA M FILIFE LIVIN
301 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

JENKINS-CRITIDES JENNIFER
213 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

CLIFFORD REBECCA J
108 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

FISHMAN MARJORIE
101 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

KALOUST KIMBERLY
KALOUST FIORELLA T/E
107 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

COHEN ALAN B
COHEN HELAINE H T/E
214 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

FRANKLIN CAROL
200 WILLOW BROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

KHROMOVA SVETLANA
211 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

COHEN HOWARD A
300 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

GHATTAS RAMY
305 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

LILIENTHAL JANET L
314 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

DEANGELIS STEVEN L
MAHONEY KERRY L
209 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

GIBBONS JOSEPH N
WYNNE STEVEN M
210 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

MARSHALL DAVID F
MARSHALL PATRICIA A
208 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

DEITCHMAN SHEILA R
303 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

GLENN DAVID T
GLENN KIM M T/E
304 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

MEHLMAN ELLEN F
MEHLMAN JONATHAN P T/E
309 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

DIAZ SYLVIA C
104 WILLOW BROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

GUMATAY ROMAN F II
GUMATAY BRENDA J
109 WILLOW BROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

MENACHEM MARSHALL
MENACHEM MARJORIE H T/E
204 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

FANGER STEPHANIE
103 WILLOW BROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

HATCH THEODORE F JR TRUS
THEODORE F HATCH JR TRUST
201 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

NEWBERG MARLENE D
112 WILLOW BROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

FERDINAND JENNIFER
102 WILLOW BROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

HINDERHOFER KATHRYN M & J
KATHRYN M HINDERHOFER REV
315 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

PAYNE BURTON S JR
PAYNE FELICIA F T/E
202 WILLOW BROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

REILLY NORBERTA J & THOMA
NORBETTA J. REILLY 1998 R
312 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

SYLVETSKY AMY GOODMAN
212 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

RICE ROAD DEVELOPMENT, LL
275 PLEASANT ST
WATERTOWN, MA 02472

TIERNEY JOSEPH W JR
LANGLEY CHRISTINE T/E
302 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

ROSSMAN NANCY
ROSSMAN RICHARD T/E
313 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

TORRES JUAN C
PO BOX 7814
PONCE, PR 00732

RUGGIERE LISA A
205 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

TOWN OF WAYLAND
CONSERVATION
41 COCHITUATE ROAD
WAYLAND, MA 01778

SALVUCCI PAUL
SALVUCCI JUDITH A T/E
307 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

UVEGES GEORGE
UVEGES V RENEE
207 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

SAVEL BARBARA A
306 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

WEISMAN LAURENCE & ONEILL
ONEILL WEISMAN FAMILY TRU
110 WILLOW BROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

SAX CAROL A CHUBOY & SAX
CAROL ANN CHUBOY SAX TRUS
203 WILLOW BROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

SKOLNICK NANCY F TRUSTEE
NANCY SKOLNICK TRUST U/D/
100 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

SLEEPER MARTIN E
206 WILLOWBROOK DR
WAYLAND, MA 01778

SWARTZ JODI L
CENTURY BANK & TRUST CO/C
400 MYSTIC AVE
MEDFORD, MA 02155

**APPENDIX G:
Wetlands Memorandum**

MEMORANDUM

TO: Cassidy Chroust
FROM: Mel Higgins, PWS
DATE: April 23, 2018
SUBJECT: Wetland Delineation
Loker Conservation and Recreation Area
Wayland, Massachusetts

On April 13, 2018, the presence of wetland resources was investigated on at the Loker Conservation and Recreation Area, located at 410 Commonwealth Road in Waymouth, MA.

Wetland resource areas including top of bank of three small ponds and top of bank of two intermittent streams were identified and flagged in the field using pink flagging by a Weston & Sampson employee who is trained in the wetland delineation process using the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) manual *"Delineating Bordering Vegetated Wetlands Under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act"* and the US Army Corps of Engineers' *Wetland Delineation Manual*. The location and flag numbering system can be seen on the attached field map.

Site Hydrology

The upgradient-most surface water body is a small pond to the north of the parking spaces, noted as "Pond 3", below. This pond is dammed at its southern extent, near the parking spaces. Water flows over the small stop blocks and through a culvert which runs beneath the parking spaces/access road. Upon exiting the culvert, the water flows in a southerly direction through an intermittent stream and into a small pond named "Pond 1", below. Water from Pond 1 exits to the east, through a culvert below the access road, and outfalls into "Pond 2", mentioned below. There did not appear to be an exit for the surface water at Pond 2.

A further description of these wetland resource areas is presented, below.

Pond 1 (Top of Bank)

The first of three small ponds delineated at the site is located west of the site access road, just north of Commonwealth Road. Flags left in the field indicating top of bank were TOB-A1 through TOB-A15. The top of bank was identified as the first natural break in slope, and often had a near vertical slope. Bordering vegetated wetlands (BVW) were not noted upgradient of the top of bank.

Pond 2 (Top of Bank)

The second of three small ponds delineated at the site is located east of the site access road, just north of Commonwealth Road. Flags left in the field indicating top of bank were TOB-B1 through TOB-B21. The top of bank was identified as the first natural break in slope, and often had a near vertical slope. Bordering vegetated wetlands (BVW) were not noted upgradient of the top of bank.

Pond 3 (Top of Bank)

The third of three small ponds delineated at the site is located north of the parking spaces at the site. Flags left in the field indicating top of bank were TOC-C1 through TOB-C18. The top of bank was identified as the first natural break in slope, and often had a near vertical slope. Bordering vegetated wetlands (BVW) were not noted upgradient of the top of bank.

Intermittent Stream Banks


Two intermittent streams were identified downgradient from Pond 3 which can convey water from Pond 3 to Pond 1. The first intermittent stream originated from the northern access road culvert and flows in a southerly direction until it joins with the Pond 1 bank. At the time of the site visit, water was flowing in this intermittent stream. The eastern edge of the intermittent stream bank was flagged in the field with flags ISB-A1 through ISB-A6. The top of bank was identified as the first natural break in slope, and often had a near vertical slope.

The second intermittent stream also originated from the northern culvert, and flows in a westerly direction, eventually changing course and heading in a southerly direction until it intersects with the northern bank of Pond 1. At the time of this field effort, there was no surface water flowing in this intermittent stream. The northern, and then western, bank of this second intermittent stream was flagged, leaving flags ISB-A1 through ISB-A15 in the field. The top of bank was identified as the first natural break in slope, and often had a near vertical slope.

Attached please find a field map showing the wetland limits flagged in the field with associated wetland flag numbers.

\\wse03.local\WSE\Projects\MA\Wayland MA\Loker Field Traffic_2180076.C\wetland delineation\wetlands delin Wayland - Loker.docx


- Work Area
- Perennial Stream
- - - Intermittent Stream
- DEP Wetlands



Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community

FIGURE 1
Loker Conservation and Recreation Area
Wayland, Massachusetts

WETLANDS FIELD MAP



Path: \\wse03\local\WSE\Depts\Water\ERMAP\GIS - Constraints Mapping\Wayland\Loker Park and Rec\Wetlands Field map_Loker.mxd User: higginsm Saved: 4/12/2018 4:15:30 PM Opened: 4/12/2018 4:17:50 PM

**APPENDIX H:
Tree Memorandum**

MEMORANDUM

TO: Brandon Kunkle, RLA - Project Manager

FROM: Daniel Biggs, RLA, ISA

DATE: July 17, 2018

SUBJECT: Town of Wayland - Loker Conservation and Recreation Area Tree Assessment

Per your request, June 19th-22nd, Weston & Sampson staff completed an assessment of the existing forested areas at the Loker Conservation and Recreation Area, per Town of Wayland Chapter 193 Stormwater Management and Land Disturbance by-laws and Town of Wayland Conservation Commission Chapter 194 (D-768) Wetlands and Water Resources by-law. The Loker Conservation and Recreation Area is currently utilized as a passive recreation area with patrons utilizing existing trails which are partly in the recreation area and primarily throughout the greater conservation area lands. The project area currently consists of a mowed grass area on the western portion of the site, areas with remnants of pavement from the site's previous use as a Dow Chemical research facility and is bordered by volunteer species and established woodlands. Three ponds with associated wetlands exist on the northern and southern ends of the site.

Three wooded areas adjacent to wetlands were assessed between the 30' and 100' wetland buffer boundaries. Trees between the edge of wetland and 30' wetland buffer were not assessed because there will be no disturbance within this buffer. Upland area trees within the area of proposed disturbance were also included in this assessment.

The tree inventory and assessment identified species, size (DBH), condition, and rating per the *Guide for Plant Appraisal* (9th edition, 2000) authored by the *Council of Tree & Landscape Appraisers*, and *Tree Species Rating Guide for New England* authored by the *New England Chapter of the International Society of Arboriculture* (2nd edition). Forest stand limits within the proposed areas of disturbance were approximate, measured with a handheld GPS with an accuracy range of 2'-5' under heavy leaf cover. The inventory was conducted during the summer; therefore, the identification of Oak species should be considered approximate—common identifiers (buds/acorns) were not present. Trees greater than 4" diameter breast height (DBH) were assessed, and trees smaller than 4" DBH were noted but not included as part of this study. An herbaceous species inventory was not conducted.

Existing Forest Composition:

The forest areas within the inventory limits consist of a Laurentian Mixed Forest type, a transitional mixed forest between the continent's two biggest forest types: coniferous boreal forest and the eastern deciduous forest. Much of the forest appears to be in a steady state of succession, and the canopy has gradually transitioned from a conifer-dominated landscape to that of a mixed broadleaf-conifer type. The overstory species mosaic is composed of a mix of deciduous and coniferous trees. Evergreen species predominately consist of Eastern White Pine, Red Pine, and Eastern Red Cedar, which are common pioneer species found after disturbance. These conifers are mixed with broadleaf trees in varying abundance on the site—primarily composed of Oaks (Red, Black), Red Maple, Cherry (less frequent), Hickory, and Beech. The dominant canopy species ranged in size from 4" to 33.9". The understory primarily consisted of Serviceberry, Cherry, Glossy Buckthorn, and Alder. Moderate invasive and shrubby species exist within the study area and are primarily located on the fringe/ edges of the forest where disturbance has occurred. The exception to this rule is the Glossy Buckthorn, which can be found distributed throughout the forest area. A uniform groundcover of herbaceous material was present at the time of the assessment. A complete inventory of trees within the study area can be found in the following documents: Attachment A – Wetland Buffer Areas and Attachment B – Upland Areas.


FAQ 5. *How many trees will be cut down?*

Approximately 45 trees in the wetlands area are planned to be removed and require replacement, and an additional 268 in upland areas will be removed. The design includes a replacement planting plan that will replant 221 new trees and shrubs.

	POOR CONDITION	XS <6"	SM 6"-12"	MED 12"-24"	LRG 24"+	TOTAL
1 LOKER						
2 UPLANDS	46	71	122	86	10	335
3 WETLANDS	2	9	15	26	4	56
4	48	80	137	112	14	391

In order to build a field a number of trees will need to be removed. The project requires the removal approximately 45 trees in the wetlands area. These trees are required to be replaced one-for-one by the Conservation permits. An additional 268 trees will be removed from the upland area. The Loker recreation area has sat vacant since 2000, from the aerial view you can see the already cleared areas where the Dow Chemical building once stood, the surrounding area is heavily wooded. To mitigate for the loss of trees at the site, the design includes a proposed tree replacement planting plan that will replant 221 new trees and shrubs. The tree inventory was conducted in the summer of 2018, these counts are considered approximate today.

1. [Aerial View Overlay](#) (shows wooded areas, with some developed / paved areas)
2. Proposed Replacement Planting Plan, [Option 5](#)



Town of Wayland - Loker Conservation and Recreation Area

Attachment A: Tree Inventory/ Assessment - Wetland Buffer Areas

GENUS	SPECIES	DIAMETER BREAST HEIGHT (DBH) - INCHES	CONDITION	RATING	Replacement Count Per Guidelines
Quercus	velutina	4	good	90-100	1
Quercus	alba	4	good	90-100	1
Acer	rubrum	4.1	good	70-80	1
Quercus	rubra	4.7	good	50-60	1
Acer	rubrum	5.1	good	50-60	1
Fagus	grandifolia	5.6	good	90-100	1
Carya	ovata	6.2	good	70-80	2
Quercus	rubra	6.2	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	6.5	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	6.8	good	90-100	2
Pinus	resinosa	7.8	good	50-60	2
Pinus	resinosa	8.4	good	50-60	2
Pinus	resinosa	8.5	good	50-60	2
Pinus	strobus	9.9	good	80-90	2
Quercus	rubra	10.5	good	90-100	2
Pinus	resinosa	10.7	good	50-60	2
Quercus	rubra	11.7	good	90-100	2
Pinus	strobus	12.1	good	80-90	3
Quercus	rubra	12.3	good	90-100	3
Pinus	strobus	12.6	good	80-90	3
Pinus	resinosa	12.7	good	50-60	3
Pinus	strobus	12.7	good	80-90	3
Quercus	rubra	13.5	good	90-100	3
Pinus	resinosa	16	good	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	16	good	80-90	3
Quercus	rubra	16.7	good	90-100	3
Quercus	alba	16.8	good	90-100	3
Pinus	strobus	18.5	good	80-90	5
Pinus	strobus	18.6	good	80-90	5
Pinus	strobus	18.8	good	80-90	5
Pinus	strobus	20.1	good	80-90	5
Pinus	strobus	21	good	80-90	5
Pinus	strobus	24.8	good	80-90	5
Quercus	velutina	25.7	good	90-100	7
Pinus	strobus	30.6	good	80-90	7
Pinus	strobus	33.2	good	80-90	7
Malus	spp.	4.5,3,2	good	60-70	1
Quercus	velutina	4.2	fair	90-100	1
Acer	rubrum	4.5	fair	70-80	1
Quercus	rubra	6.4	fair	90-100	2
Pinus	resinosa	10.9	fair	50-60	2
Pinus	resinosa	11.7	fair	50-60	2
Pinus	strobus	11.9	fair	80-90	2
Pinus	resinosa	13.7	fair	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	13.8	fair	50-60	3
Juniperus	virginiana	13.8	fair	60-70	3

Town of Wayland - Loker Conservation and Recreation Area

Attachment A: Tree Inventory/ Assessment - Wetland Buffer Areas

GENUS	SPECIES	DIAMETER BREAST HEIGHT (DBH) - INCHES	CONDITION	RATING	Replacement Count Per Guidelines
Acer	rubrum	14.5	fair	70-80	3
Quercus	rubra	15.1	fair	90-100	3
Pinus	resinosa	15.1	fair	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	16	fair	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	16.1	fair	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	16.3	fair	50-60	3
Quercus	rubra	17.9	fair	90-100	3
Pinus	strobus	21.1	fair	80-90	5
Pinus	resinosa	6.7	poor	50-60	2
Pinus	resinosa	9.7	poor	50-60	2

Total Number of Trees Removed: 56

Total Number of Replacement Trees Per Guidelines: 159

Town of Wayland - Loker Conservation and Recreation Area

Attachment B: Tree Inventory/ Assessment - Wetland Upland Areas

GENUS	SPECIES	DIAMETER BREST HEIGHT (DBH)-INCHES	CONDITION	RATING	Replacement Count Per Guidelines
Quercus	alba	4	good	90-100	1
Quercus	velutina	4	good	90-100	1
Pinus	strobus	4.2	good	80-90	1
Quercus	rubra	4.2	good	90-100	1
Acer	rubrum	4.2	good	70-80	1
Quercus	rubra	4.4	good	90-100	1
Pinus	strobus	4.4	good	80-90	1
Quercus	rubra	4.5	good	90-100	1
Quercus	rubra	4.5	good	90-100	1
Acer	platanoides	4.5	good	60-70	1
Quercus	alba	4.6	good	90-100	1
Quercus	alba	4.6	good	90-100	1
Quercus	alba	4.7	good	90-100	1
Quercus	velutina	4.8	good	90-100	1
Quercus	alba	4.8	good	90-100	1
Quercus	rubra	4.9	good	90-100	1
Quercus	alba	4.9	good	90-100	1
Pinus	strobus	4.9	good	80-90	1
Quercus	rubra	5	good	90-100	1
Carya	ovata	5	good	80-90	1
Quercus	rubra	5.1	good	90-100	1
Populus	grandifolia	5.1	good	20-30	1
Quercus	velutina	5.2	good	90-100	1
Pinus	strobus	5.2	good	80-90	1
Acer	rubrum	5.2	good	70-80	1
Quercus	rubra	5.3	good	90-100	1
Quercus	alba	5.3	good	90-100	1
Pinus	strobus	5.3	good	80-90	1
Pinus	strobus	5.4	good	80-90	1
Ulmus	americana	5.4	good	20-30	1
Fraxinus	pensylvanica	5.4	good	70-80	1
Quercus	velutina	5.4	good	90-100	1
Quercus	rubra	5.5	good	90-100	1
Quercus	rubra	5.5	good	90-100	1
Pinus	strobus	5.6	good	80-90	1
Pinus	strobus	5.7	good	80-90	1
Ulmus	americana	5.8	good	20-30	1
Pinus	strobus	5.8	good	80-90	1
Quercus	rubra	6.1	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	6.1	good	90-100	2
Quercus	alba	6.1	good	90-100	2
Quercus	alba	6.2	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	6.3	good	90-100	2
Ulmus	americana	6.4	good	70-80	2
Pinus	strobus	6.4	good	80-90	2
Quercus	velutina	6.4	good	90-100	2

Town of Wayland - Loker Conservation and Recreation Area
Attachment B: Tree Inventory/ Assessment - Wetland Upland Areas

GENUS	SPECIES	DIAMETER BREST HEIGHT (DBH)-INCHES	CONDITION	RATING	Replacement Count Per Guidelines
Quercus	alba	6.5	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	6.6	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	6.8	good	90-100	2
Pinus	rubra	7.1	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	7.1	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	7.2	good	90-100	2
Pinus	strobus	7.3	good	80-90	2
Quercus	rubra	7.4	good	90-100	2
Carya	ovata	7.5	good	80-90	2
Quercus	rubra	7.5	good	90-100	2
Pinus	strobus	7.5	good	80-90	2
Quercus	alba	7.5	good	90-100	2
Quercus	velutina	7.5	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	7.6	good	90-100	2
Quercus	velutina	7.7	good	90-100	2
Quercus	alba	7.7	good	90-100	2
Quercus	alba	7.7	good	90-100	2
Quercus	velutina	7.8	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	7.9	good	90-100	2
Acer	rubrum	7.9	good	70-80	2
Quercus	rubra	8	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	8.1	good	90-100	2
Quercus	alba	8.2	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	8.4	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	8.4	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	8.5	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	8.5	good	90-100	2
Carya	ovata	8.9	good	80-90	2
Quercus	alba	9	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	9.1	good	90-100	2
Quercus	alba	9.3	good	90-100	2
Pinus	resinosa	9.3	good	50-60	2
Carya	ovata	9.6	good	80-90	2
Quercus	rubra	9.7	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	9.8	good	90-100	2
Quercus	velutina	9.9	good	90-100	2
Pinus	strobus	10.1	good	80-90	2
Quercus	rubra	10.1	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	10.3	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	10.3	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	10.3	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	10.4	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	10.5	good	90-100	2
Pinus	resinosa	10.5	good	50-60	2
Quercus	rubra	10.6	good	90-100	2
Carya	cordiformis	10.8	good	70-80	2

Town of Wayland - Loker Conservation and Recreation Area

Attachment B: Tree Inventory/ Assessment - Wetland Upland Areas

GENUS	SPECIES	DIAMETER BREAST HEIGHT (DBH)-INCHES	CONDITION	RATING	Replacement Count Per Guidelines
Quercus	velutina	10.9	good	90-100	2
Pinus	strobus	11.1	good	80-90	2
Quercus	rubra	11.1	good	90-100	2
Acer	rubrum	11.1	good	70-80	2
Quercus	rubra	11.2	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	11.3	good	90-100	2
Acer	rubrum	11.4	good	70-80	2
Pinus	resinosa	11.8	good	50-60	2
Quercus	rubra	12.1	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	12.2	good	90-100	2
Quercus	velutina	12.6	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	12.9	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	12.9	good	90-100	2
Acer	platanoides	13	good	60-70	2
Quercus	rubra	13	good	90-100	2
Pinus	resinosa	13.1	good	50-60	2
Pinus	strobus	13.4	good	80-90	2
Quercus	velutina	13.5	good	90-100	2
Pinus	strobus	13.6	good	80-90	2
Quercus	velutina	13.6	good	90-100	2
Pinus	strobus	13.9	good	80-90	2
Quercus	rubra	14	good	90-100	2
Quercus	velutina	14.1	good	90-100	2
Pinus	resinosa	14.1	good	50-60	2
Juniperus	virginiana	14.2	good	60-70	2
Quercus	velutina	14.2	good	90-100	2
Pinus	strobus	14.5	good	80-90	2
Pinus	strobus	15.3	good	80-90	2
Quercus	rubra	15.4	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	15.5	good	90-100	2
Acer	rubrum	15.6	good	70-80	2
Pinus	resinosa	16.2	good	50-60	2
Pinus	strobus	16.3	good	80-90	2
Quercus	rubra	16.3	good	90-100	2
Pinus	strobus	16.3	good	80-90	2
Quercus	rubra	16.6	good	90-100	2
Pinus	strobus	16.7	good	80-90	2
Quercus	rubra	17.3	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	17.3	good	90-100	2
Pinus	strobus	17.7	good	80-90	2
Quercus	rubra	17.7	good	90-100	2
Pinus	resinosa	17.7	good	50-60	2
Populus	deltoides	18.1	good	30-40	2
Pinus	strobus	18.1	good	80-90	2
Pinus	strobus	19.1	good	80-90	2
Quercus	rubra	19.3	good	90-100	2

Town of Wayland - Loker Conservation and Recreation Area

Attachment B: Tree Inventory/ Assessment - Wetland Upland Areas

GENUS	SPECIES	DIAMETER BREAST HEIGHT (DBH)-INCHES	CONDITION	RATING	Replacement Count Per Guidelines
Pinus	strobus	19.4	good	80-90	2
Pinus	strobus	19.4	good	80-90	2
Tsuga	canadensis	20.1	good	60-70	2
Pinus	strobus	20.1	good	80-90	2
Pinus	strobus	20.1	good	80-90	2
Quercus	rubra	20.5	good	90-100	2
Pinus	strobus	20.5	good	80-90	2
Pinus	strobus	20.9	good	80-90	2
Quercus	velutina	21.1	good	90-100	2
Pinus	strobus	22	good	80-90	2
Quercus	rubra	22	good	90-100	2
Quercus	velutina	22.8	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	24.2	good	90-101	2
Pinus	strobus	24.5	good	80-90	2
Pinus	strobus	25.9	good	80-90	2
Pinus	strobus	26.6	good	80-90	2
Pinus	strobus	27.5	good	80-90	2
Pinus	strobus	31	good	80-90	2
Pinus	strobus	33.9	good	80-90	2
Pinus	strobus	51	good	80-90	2
Quercus	alba	10.8,11.8	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	11.1,8.1	good	90-100	2
Acer	rubrum	11.1/7/5.2	good	70-80	2
Tsuga	canadensis	11.6,11.2	good	60-70	2
Quercus	rubra	12.1,16.3	good	90-100	2
Quercus	velutina	12/9.5	good	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	13.4,17.8	good	90-100	2
Populus	grandifolia	4.5/3.7	good	20-30	2
Quercus	rubra	5,7	good	90-100	2
Malus	spp.	5.1,2.6	good	60-70	2
Acer	platanoides	7.3,8	good	60-70	2
Acer	rubrum	7.6,9.5	good	70-80	2
Quercus	rubra	4	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	4.1	fair	90-100	2
Acer	rubrum	4.2	fair	70-80	2
Malus	spp.	4.2	fair	60-70	2
Pinus	strobus	4.2	fair	80-90	2
Quercus	rubra	4.3	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	4.3	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	4.3	fair	90-100	2
Frangulus	alnus	4.3	fair	not listed	2
Quercus	rubra	4.3	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	4.4	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	4.5	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	4.7	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	4.7	fair	90-100	2

Town of Wayland - Loker Conservation and Recreation Area

Attachment B: Tree Inventory/ Assessment - Wetland Upland Areas

GENUS	SPECIES	DIAMETER BREAST HEIGHT (DBH)-INCHES	CONDITION	RATING	Replacement Count Per Guidelines
Quercus	alba	4.7	fair	90-100	2
Rhamnus	cathartica	4.9	fair	not listed	2
Quercus	rubra	5.1	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	velutina	5.2	fair	90-100	2
Juniperus	virginiana	5.2	fair	60-70	2
Quercus	rubra	5.3	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	5.6	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	5.9	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	6	fair	90-100	2
Juniperus	virginiana	6.1	fair	60-70	2
Quercus	rubra	6.2	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	6.4	fair	90-100	2
Fraxinus	pensylvanica	6.4	fair	70-80	2
Quercus	rubra	6.4	fair	90-100	2
Juniperus	virginiana	6.4	fair	60-70	2
Pinus	strobus	6.5	fair	80-90	2
Pinus	strobus	7	fair	80-90	2
Quercus	rubra	7.1	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	7.1	fair	90-100	2
Pinus	resinosa	7.3	fair	50-60	2
Quercus	rubra	7.3	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	7.5	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	7.5	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	7.9	fair	90-100	2
Pinus	resinosa	8	fair	50-60	2
Quercus	rubra	8.2	fair	90-100	2
Pinus	resinosa	8.2	fair	50-60	2
Quercus	alba	8.5	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	8.6	fair	90-100	2
Juniperus	virginiana	8.6	fair	60-70	2
Juniperus	virginiana	8.7	fair	60-70	2
Quercus	rubra	8.7	fair	90-100	2
Acer	rubrum	8.7	fair	70-80	2
Quercus	rubra	8.8	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	9.1	fair	90-100	2
Pinus	resinosa	9.2	fair	50-60	2
Quercus	rubra	9.3	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	9.4	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	9.5	fair	90-100	2
Juniperus	virginiana	9.6	fair	60-70	2
Pinus	resinosa	9.7	fair	50-60	2
Juniperus	virginiana	9.8	fair	60-70	2
Pinus	resinosa	9.9	fair	50-60	2
Pinus	resinosa	10	fair	50-60	2
Quercus	alba	10.3	fair	90-100	2
Pinus	strobus	10.3	fair	80-90	2

Town of Wayland - Loker Conservation and Recreation Area

Attachment B: Tree Inventory/ Assessment - Wetland Upland Areas

GENUS	SPECIES	DIAMETER BREST HEIGHT (DBH)-INCHES	CONDITION	RATING	Replacement Count Per Guidelines
Pinus	resinosa	10.5	fair	50-60	2
Quercus	rubra	10.7	fair	90-100	2
Juniperus	virginiana	10.8	fair	60-70	2
Pinus	resinosa	11	fair	50-60	2
Pinus	resinosa	11.1	fair	50-60	2
Pinus	resinosa	11.2	fair	50-60	2
Quercus	rubra	11.4	fair	90-100	2
Pinus	resinosa	11.7	fair	50-60	2
Pinus	resinosa	11.7	fair	50-60	2
Quercus	rubra	12	fair	90-100	3
Pinus	resinosa	12.1	fair	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	12.2	fair	50-60	3
Quercus	velutina	12.3	fair	90-100	3
Pinus	resinosa	12.7	fair	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	13	fair	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	13.1	fair	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	13.1	fair	50-60	3
Quercus	rubra	13.2	fair	90-100	3
Pinus	resinosa	13.2	fair	50-60	3
Quercus	rubra	13.3	fair	90-100	3
Pinus	resinosa	14.2	fair	50-60	3
Quercus	rubra	14.7	fair	90-100	3
Quercus	alba	14.9	fair	90-100	3
Quercus	velutina	14.9	fair	90-100	3
Pinus	resinosa	15	fair	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	15.3	fair	50-60	3
Quercus	rubra	15.3	fair	90-100	3
Pinus	resinosa	16.2	fair	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	16.3	fair	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	16.3	fair	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	16.8	fair	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	16.9	fair	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	17.2	fair	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	17.4	fair	50-60	3
Ulmus	spp.	17.5	fair	20-30	3
Quercus	rubra	17.9	fair	90-100	3
Quercus	rubra	17.9	fair	90-100	3
Quercus	velutina	18.3	fair	90-100	5
Quercus	rubra	18.5	fair	90-100	5
Pinus	resinosa	20.4	fair	50-60	5
Pinus	resinosa	21.5	fair	50-60	5
Juniperus	virginiana	23.5	fair	60-70	5
Pinus	strobus	28.4	fair	80-90	7
Quercus	rubra	28.4	fair	90-100	7
Quercus	rubra	10.1,4	fair	90-100	2
Juniperus	virginiana	11.1,9.2	fair	60-70	2

Town of Wayland - Loker Conservation and Recreation Area

Attachment B: Tree Inventory/ Assessment - Wetland Upland Areas

GENUS	SPECIES	DIAMETER BREAST HEIGHT (DBH)-INCHES	CONDITION	RATING	Replacement Count Per Guidelines
Pinus	resinosa	11.6,11.7	fair	50-60	2
Quercus	rubra	14.9,15.6	fair	90-100	3
Quer	alba	3.9/4.1	fair	90-100	1
Quercus	rubra	4,3,3,3,3,4	fair	90-100	1
Quercus	rubra	4.4,3.5	fair	90-100	1
Quercus	velutina	4.9,3.3	fair	90-100	1
Juniperus	virginiana	4.9,5,3,6,2,5,9	fair	60-70	2
Juniperus	virginiana	5.8,4,3,3,2.6	fair	60-70	1
Acer	rubra	6,3	fair	90-100	2
Malus	spp.	6.4,5.6,6.7	fair	60-70	2
Juniperus	virginiana	7.5,8.3,7.5	fair	60-70	2
Juniperus	virginiana	9.6,7,13.1	fair	60-70	2
Quercus	rubra	9.8, 5.4	fair	90-100	2
Quercus	rubra	4	poor	90-100	1
Quercus	alba	4	poor	90-100	1
Quercus	rubra	4.2	poor	90-100	1
Quercus	spp.	4.2	poor	90-100	1
Quercus	rubra	4.3	poor	90-100	1
Juniperus	virginiana	5	poor	60-70	1
Quercus	alba	5.1	poor	90-100	1
Malus	spp.	5.1	poor	60-70	1
Juniperus	virginiana	5.5	poor	60-70	1
Quercus	alba	5.7	poor	90-100	1
Pinus	resinosa	6.1	poor	50-60	2
Ulmus	spp.	7.1	poor	20-30	2
Pinus	resinosa	7.3	poor	50-60	2
Juniperus	virginiana	7.4	poor	60-70	2
Pinus	resinosa	8.2	poor	50-60	2
Quercus	rubra	8.5	poor	90-100	2
Prunus	serotina	8.5	poor	40-50	2
Quercus	alba	9	poor	90-100	2
Pinus	resinosa	9.3	poor	50-60	2
Pinus	resinosa	9.4	poor	50-60	2
Juniperus	virginiana	9.6	poor	60-70	2
Pinus	resinosa	9.7	poor	50-60	2
Pinus	strobus	9.8	poor	80-90	2
Pinus	resinosa	10.3	poor	50-60	2
Pinus	resinosa	10.5	poor	50-60	2
Pinus	resinosa	10.5	poor	50-60	2
Pinus	resinosa	10.9	poor	50-60	2
Pinus	resinosa	11.2	poor	50-60	2
Pinus	resinosa	11.2	poor	50-60	2
Pinus	resinosa	11.4	poor	50-60	2
Juniperus	virginiana	12.8	poor	60-70	3
Pinus	resinosa	13.2	poor	50-60	3
Carya	cordiformis	13.4	poor	70-80	3

Town of Wayland - Loker Conservation and Recreation Area

Attachment B: Tree Inventory/ Assessment - Wetland Upland Areas

GENUS	SPECIES	DIAMETER BREAST HEIGHT (DBH)-INCHES	CONDITION	RATING	Replacement Count Per Guidelines
Pinus	resinosa	14	poor	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	14.1	poor	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	14.2	poor	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	15.2	poor	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	15.3	poor	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	15.5	poor	50-60	3
Pinus	resinosa	18.6	poor	50-60	5
Pinus	resinosa	19.5	poor	50-60	5
Malus	spp.	4.1,3.5,3	poor	60-70	1
Juniperus	virginiana	4.4,5.1	poor	60-70	1
Juniperus	virginiana	5.2,4.1	poor	60-70	1
Prunus	spp.	6.5,3.9,5.5,4.3	poor	40-50	2
Juniperus	virginiana	9.5,5,4.8	poor	60-70	2

Total Number of Trees Removed: 335

Total Number of Replacement Trees Per Guidelines: 683

APPENDIX I:
Design Team Response to Conservation

Wayland- Loker Conservation and Recreation Area
WSE Project No. 2180076.C.2

August 16, 2018

Wayland Conservation Commission
41 Cochituate Road
Wayland, MA 01778

Re: *Response to Comments*
Loker Conservation and Recreation Area NOI
Wayland, MA

Dear Members of the Commission:

On August 3, 2018, the Wayland Conservation Commission held a public meeting to discuss the Loker Conservation and Recreation Area Notice of Intent (NOI) submittal. This letter is in response to questions/comments posed by the Conservation Commission and received via email prior to the meeting and received during the meeting itself. Each comment is provided below, with a response.

Comment 1: Commission's plan as discussed at last meeting was to have site visit before considering Loker application. What happened to/when is site visit?

Weston & Sampson Response: The site visit occurred on August 1, 2018.

Comment 2: Why is there only an NOI and Chapter 193 application but no Chapter 194 application? On p. 1 of NOI submittal Weston & Sampson states that what is submitted complies with Wayland requirements? Commission requires a completed/signed c 194 application.

Weston & Sampson Response: The Chapter 194 application has been provided.

Comment 3: At June 23? site visit with Weston and Sampson, Gene said he would stake conservation land closest to field. He also said he would look into previous dumping by Dow in area of expanded parking lot. Will/has this been done?

Weston & Sampson Response: The limits of the field were flagged as they relate to the adjacent Conservation Land prior to the June 23, 2018 site visit. Weston & Sampson also investigated the previous dumping areas by Dow (located outside the work area) and performed an Environmental Assessment Report dated April 4, 2018 which noted there are apparent no conflicts or impacts as it relates to the proposed project.

Comment 4: How does this wetland delineation compare with previous one?

Weston & Sampson Response: Weston & Sampson is not in possession of the previous wetland delineation plans or reports for this site. We are assuming the Conservation Commission will be comparing the wetland delineation boundaries to determine any variations between current delineations and prior delineations completed by others.

Comment 5: Re this wetland delineation should the Commission determine whether the streams are intermittent as stated or perennial? Would the project be affected if the stream(s) are perennial under the bylaw? (If there's a

bylaw application there are required procedures under c 194 for the Applicant to rebut the presumption that the stream is perennial.) (page 192 of submittal)

Weston & Sampson Response: The underground culvert system that serves as an outlet from North Pond and daylights in the uphill gradient of South Pond is greater than 200 feet in length. Per 310 CMR 10.58(2) "Where a river runs through a culvert more than 200 feet in length, the riverfront area stops at a perpendicular line at the upstream end of the culvert and resumes at the downstream end." As such, this waterbody within the culvert does not have a 200-foot riverfront area associated with it.

Comment 6: These boring reports are labelled WHS Athletic Facilities as are some of the plans! This needs to be corrected (pages 43-59 of submittal). Loker and the WHS are obviously separate projects.

Weston & Sampson Response: The boring report has been updated to reference the Loker project. An updated report has been provided in Appendix.

Comment 7: Are borings acceptable if done in March?

Weston & Sampson Response: Yes.

Comment 8: What does auger refusal due to possible bedrock (granite) at various depths - starting at 4.8' (TP-4) - mean for groundwater/stormwater? Isn't this why drilling private irrigation well at Loker was contraindicated?

Weston & Sampson Response: Proposed improvements are anticipated to be above the identified auger refusal depths. The stormwater system design below the field and parking area considered and accounted for the refusal depths.

The athletic field has an expansive stormwater collection system located below the field itself with an engineered drainage stone stormwater storage "zone", including perforated lateral flat drains and a perforated perimeter collection pipe system. The purpose of the drainage stone and subsurface drains and pipes is to collect and retain stormwater that infiltrates through the field surface, depending on the size of the storm event prior to infiltrating in a natural manner into the subsurface materials below the field. The lateral drains and collector pipe serve as a controlled "relief valve" to the natural infiltration of the stormwater should the stormwater not be allowed to infiltrate due to refusal. The lateral drains and collector pipes will allow for the water to be collected within the stone drainage area with a controlled release at an adjacent outlet structure.

The proposed stormwater design below the parking area is a chamber system that serves as an underground stormwater retention system. The chamber system will collect and hold the water of a stormwater event while infiltrating into the natural ground surface below. Should the storm event exceed the capacity of the chambers or there be refusal that does not allow for natural infiltration, there is an outlet from the chamber system that will divert the stormwater into a drain manhole and the overall piped stormwater system.

Comment 9: What is "grassed/landscaped areas" (on one plan in blue) cutting through 50' Conservation land from Rice Rd? Also, on permitting plans although plans do not indicate its conservation land. This intrusion onto/through conservation land needs to be clarified.

Weston & Sampson Response: The work identified as grassed/landscaped areas on the plans, particularly within the 50' Conservation Area adjacent to Rice Road represents the ground area to be restored following the removal of the existing asphalt drive. The Permitting Plans identify the Conservation Land 50' Buffer Zone on Sheet L1.00 with the label "LIMITS OF PROPERTY DESIGNATED FOR RECREATIONAL USE".

Comment 10: The "Town" will be responsible for implementing Stormwater O&M (Attachment F) - who specifically? Is it "Municipal Services Department" - DPW? - noted in Attachment H, O&M Plan? Or Ben Keefe, NOI Applicant?

Weston & Sampson Response: The Town of Wayland DPW is responsible for implementing the Stormwater O&M Plan as they maintain the Loker property currently.

Comment 11: "Salt" or calcium chloride for de-icing? (Attachment F)

Weston & Sampson Response: As related to the O&M plan of the paved and parking areas, Weston & Sampson has been made aware that the Town of Wayland DPW currently does not maintain the Loker property in the winter months which includes any time following a snow fall event. Therefore, the expectation is that there will not be a need for "salt" or calcium chloride for de-icing. The anticipated recreational activity schedule aligns with this expectation as no activities will be programmed during winter months typically. Weston & Sampson has updated Attachment F accordingly.

Comment 12: Under what conditions can engineer approve work in undisturbed wetland area (Attachment G, Section 2.1)?

Weston & Sampson Response: No unauthorized work in the wetland resource area will be allowed without specific approval from the Conservation Commission.

Comment 13: Allowing storage of excavated materials within buffer - 50' of wetlands? (Attachment G, Section 2.4)

Weston & Sampson Response: Should it be necessary to store excavated materials between the 30 foot No Disturb Zone (NDZ) and the 100' wetland buffer zone, approved erosion controls methods (i.e. compost filter tubes or approved equal) will be put in place to protect resource areas and all storage areas will be fully restored to conditions called for on the plans.

Comment 14: Daily monitoring SHALL not SHOULD be conducted (Attachment G, Section 2.7).

Weston & Sampson Response: Attachment G, Section 2.7 the word should has been changed to shall.

Comment 15: Regular fueling and service of the equipment SHALL NOT be performed...Repair of equipment SHALL NOT be allowed in any event within 100' of wetlands (Attachment G, Section 2.10).

Weston & Sampson Response: Attachment G, Section 2.7 has been amended to include: "Regular fueling and service of the equipment shall not be performed."

Comment 16: Sediment SHALL be removed pursuant to DEP guidelines not whenever "Engineer deems it necessary" (Attachment G, Section 7).

Weston & Sampson Response: Attachment G, Section 7 has been amended to indicate that "sediment shall be removed pursuant of DEP guidelines".

Comment 17: Is street sweeping (Attachment H, Section 4.1) "monthly" or "primarily in the spring and fall" (page 1 of checklist)?

Weston & Sampson Response: Street sweeping is primarily on a spring and fall season schedule per the Town of Wayland DPW.

Comment 18: Chambers SHALL not SHOULD be inspected every six months (page 3 of checklist)

Weston & Sampson Response: Page 3 of checklist has been amended to reflect the word "SHALL" in lieu of "SHOULD".

Comment 19: Part 3-EXECUTION, Section 3.04, page 01570-2, Contractor SHALL NOT not SHALL MAKE EVERY EFFORT to minimize disturbance... (page 152 of submittal)

Weston & Sampson Response: Part 3-EXECUTION, Section 3.04, page 01570-2 has been amended to include the word "SHALL" minimize disturbance.

Comment 20: Part 3-EXECUTION, Section 3.07, page 01570-3, if damaged trees are removed will they be replaced? (page 153 of submittal)

Weston & Sampson Response: Any tree that is damaged and that is not intended to be removed as part of the construction of the project will be replaced at the contractor's own expense.

Comment 21: How will use of calcium chloride for dust control be restricted in resource areas?

Weston & Sampson Response: Calcium chloride use shall not be allowed for dust control within restricted resource areas.

Comment 22: Part 2, section 2.02 FERTILIZER, page 02677-2, is 10-6-8 fertilizer appropriate near resource areas? (page 159 of submittal)

Weston & Sampson Response: Part 2, Section 2.02 FERTILIZER, Page 02677-2 has been deleted from the O&M manual as the seeding of any "lawn" or grassed areas within the project area will be an approved wetland seed mix and it will therefor be recommended that no fertilizer be used. We have noted that the project area falls within the Charles River Watershed and no fertilizer is applied under current conditions.

Comment 23: Part 3, section 3.03 PLANTING SCHEDULE page 02677-6, there is required tree caliper in replanting schedule NOT saplings (page 163 of submittal). Also 310 F (page 165 of submittal).

Weston & Sampson Response: Any tree replacement or planting shall meet the Town of Wayland Conservation Commission's requirements included within Chapter 193 and Chapter 194. The Town of Wayland Recreation Department is seeking relief on the total number of trees that may be required by the Town bylaws.

Comment 24: Part 3, section 3.06 PLANTING SCHEDULE page 02677-6, what is the "moisture enhancer"? (page 164 of submittal).

Weston & Sampson Response: Moisture enhancer is organic material suitable within planting areas to assist in promoting growth of new plant material. Natural moisture enhancers include organic compost, peat moss, humus and manure.

Comment 25: Attachment A: Inventory -Buffer Area -Pursuant to Commission replacement schedule, tree removal of 24" and greater dbh trees is TBD by Commission (note 1 pinus strobus at 24.8" is in this category in addition to others noted). Are 7 new trees/1 removed tree of this size reasonable as proposed? (pages 9 and 181 of submittal).

Weston & Sampson Response: The quantity of 7 new trees is an assumption made by Weston & Sampson for any tree over 24-inches in diameter as the previous quantity required for the tree caliper less than 24" DBH is 5 new trees. This topic is open for discussion.

Comment 26: How was (inconsistent) proposed replacement of trees in Attachment B: Inventory-Wetland Upland Areas arrived at? (page 183-190 of submittal).

Weston & Sampson Response: Weston & Sampson, using the Town's guidelines for tree replacement and quality as determined by our certified arborist, followed similar guidelines and assumptions as described above in Comment 25. This topic is open for discussion and final decision by the Wayland Conservation Commission.

Comment 27: How high is proposed segmental block retaining wall around portions of field?

Weston & Sampson Response: The segmental block wall varied in height from a flush (0'-0") height to a maximum of two and half feet (2'-6").

Comment 28: How much fill is required for field construction?

Weston & Sampson Response: We anticipate a net cut (export) of material of 449 C.Y and anticipate this volume to remain on site for general infill. This overage is within an acceptable assumption volume as we anticipate having to fill voids from tree removal and the removal of other materials such as ledge or organic materials not suitable for construction purposes below pavements.

We thank you for your consideration of these matters.

Very truly yours,
WESTON & SAMPSON



Brandon Kunkel, RLA
Team Leader

Attachments

Revised Loker Stormwater Report
Revised Specification Section 01570, Environmental Protection

**APPENDIX J:
Site Plans**



TOWN OF WAYLAND

IMPROVEMENTS TO LOKER CONSERVATION AND RECREATION AREA


PREPARED BY:

Weston & Sampson
DESIGN STUDIO
85 DEVONSHIRE STREET, 3RD FLOOR
BOSTON, MA 02109
BRANDON KUNKEL
(617) 412-4480


TOWN OF WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS

TOWN BUILDING
41 COCHITUATE RD
WAYLAND, MA 01778
BEV KEENE
PUBLIC BUILDING DIRECTOR
(508) 358-3786

Locus Map



LOKER CONSERVATION AND RECREATION AREA



LOKER CONSERVATION AND RECREATION AREA
410 COMMONWEALTH RD, WAYLAND, MA 01778

BIDDING DOCUMENTS
IFB #19-1054

FEBRUARY 28, 2019
Prepared By

Weston & Sampson

85 Devonshire St, 3rd Floor Boston, MA 02109
(617) 412-4480 (630) Sampson
www.westonandsampson.com

SHEET INDEX

L000.....	COVER SHEET
L001.....	GENERAL NOTES
L100.....	OVERALL EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN
L101-L102.....	EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN ENLARGEMENT
L200.....	OVERALL SITE PREPARATION AND DEMOLITION PLAN
L300-L302.....	OVERALL SITE PLAN
L300-L302.....	MATERIALS PLAN ENLARGEMENT
L400.....	OVERALL LAYOUT PLAN
L401-L402.....	LAYOUT PLAN ENLARGEMENT
L500.....	GENERAL GRADING, DRAINAGE AND UTILITIES PLAN
L501-L502.....	GENERAL GRADING AND UTILITIES PLAN ENLARGEMENT
L600.....	OVERALL PLANTING AND UTILITIES PLAN ENLARGEMENT
L700-L708.....	PLANTING PLAN ENLARGEMENT
E000.....	CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
E100.....	ELECTRICAL LEGEND, ABBREVIATIONS, AND GENERAL NOTES
E200.....	ELECTRICAL SITE PLAN
E300.....	ELECTRICAL ONE-LINE AND SCHEDULES
E300.....	ELECTRICAL DETAILS


ADD ALTERNATE NO. 1

UNDER ADD ALTERNATE NO. 1 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL THE SPECIFIED SPORTS LIGHTING POLES, FIXTURES, WIRING AND RELATED APPURTENANCES NEEDED TO HAVE A COMPLETE AND FULLY OPERATIONAL SYSTEM. WORK UNDER THE BASE BID SHALL INCLUDE THE INSTALLATION OF AN "EMPTY" SPORTS LIGHTING SYSTEM TO INCLUDE LIGHT POLE FOOTINGS/FOUNDATIONS, UNDERGROUND CONDUITS, HAND HOLES, PULL STRINGS AND ALL OTHER ELECTRICAL AND LIGHTING SYSTEM COMPONENTS THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT SITE AS INDICATED ON THE PLANS AND AS SPECIFIED.

ADD ALTERNATE NO. 2


UNDER ADD ALTERNATE NO. 2 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONSTRUCT THE DESIGNATED CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE STAIR AND HANDRAILS AS INDICATED ON THE PLANS AND AS SPECIFIED.





LEGEND


--- LIMIT OF WORK	--- BODICUT	○ SANITARY MANHOLE (SM)
--- PROPERTY LINE	--- STORM SEWER LINE	○ STORM MANHOLE (SM)
--- START OF PROPERTY RECORDATION USE	--- WATER SEWER LINE	○ CULVERT (C)
--- EDGE OF WOODS	--- DRAINAGE	○ METAL PISTON/LASSO BOLL
○ DISCONTINUED TREE	--- DRAINAGE	○ ELECTRIC MANHOLE (EM)
○ CONIFEROUS TREE	--- SOILWORKS LINE	○ UNDERPASS
○ SHED	--- RISE CONTROL LINE	○ TELEPHONE MANHOLE (TM)
○ UTILITY POLE	--- LOW PRESSURE SEWER LINE	○ MAJOR CONTROL LINE
○ LIGHT POLE	--- SEWER LINE	○ WETLAND DRAINAGE LINE
○ WIRE	--- TELEPHONE LINE	○ WETLAND UTILITY FOR SUPPLY
○ WATER SPLITTER	--- MONUMENT	○ 20" WIRE DRAINAGE EXHIBIT
○ GAS VALVE	--- MONUMENT	○ TOP OF BANK
	--- MONUMENT	○ INFILTRATION STRUCTURE
	--- MONUMENT	○ BOUND LOCATION
	--- MONUMENT	○ CANAL
	--- MONUMENT	○ TEST PIT LOCATION



<p>Project: IMPROVEMENTS TO LOWER CONSERVATION AND RESTORATION</p> <p>Location: WATLAND, MAINTING</p> <p>410 COMMONWEALTH RD. WATLAND, MAINTING</p>	<p>Weston Sampson</p> <p>10 Devonshire Street 021 271 South Station, MA 02115 www.westonsampson.com</p>	<p>Contractor:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Revision</th> <th>No.</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Description</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Revision	No.	Date	Description																																													<p>Scale: AS SHOWN</p> <p>Date: FEBRUARY 26, 2018</p> <p>Drawn By: GDC, ETR</p> <p>Reviewed By: BAK</p> <p>Approved By: ETR</p> <p>W&S Project No: 218003</p> <p>W&S P&A No:</p>	<p>Sheet No.:</p> <p>Sheet Name:</p> <p>Overall Existing Conditions Plan</p> <p>Scale: 1" = 40'</p> <p>Sheet Number:</p> <p>L1.00</p>
Revision	No.	Date	Description																																																	

LEGEND

	UTILITY OF WORK		GAS VALVE
	PROPERTY LINE		WATER VALVE
	LINE OF PROPERTY		ADJACENT
	RESIDENTIAL USE		NON-IVY ROW HOOD
	EDGE OF WOODS		MANHOLE SPACE
	DECIDUOUS TREE		EASEMENT
	CONIFEROUS TREE		STORM SEWER LINE
	SHRUB		SANITARY SEWER LINE
	SIGN		WATER LINE
	UTILITY POLE		GAS LINE
	LIGHT POLE		SIGNAL WIRE LINE
	HYDRANT		CABLE LINE
	WATER STORAGE		FIBER OPTIC LINE
			LOW PRESSURE ELECTRIC LINE
			ELECTRIC LINE
			TELEPHONE LINE
			SANITARY MANHOLE (SMH)
			STORM MANHOLE (SMH)
			CATCH BASIN (CB)
			AERIAL OVERHEAD ROAD
			ELECTRIC MANHOLE (EMH)
			UNKNOWN MANHOLE
			TELEPHONE MANHOLE (TMH)
			MANHOLE COVER
			MANHOLE COVER WITH NO MANHOLE
			27" DIA. DRAINAGE CATCH PIT
			BORING LOCATION
			TEST PIT LOCATION
			TOP OF BANK
			PRESSENT OR STREAM BANK



Project: IMPROVEMENTS TO AND RECONSTRUCTION OF WATLAND MA DITTS

410 COMMONWEALTH ST.
WATLAND, MA 01779

Vector **Sampson**

All Deposits Made
241 River Street
01742 Southwick, MA 01097
www.vector-sampson.com

Contract No.:

Revision	No.	Date	Description

Standard Plan

BIDDING DOCUMENTS

Scale: AS SHOWN

Date: FEBRUARY 26, 2013

Drawn By: GLE D'V

Reviewed By: GMS

Approved By: ESB

VMS Project No. 21000

VMS File No.

Drawing Title: EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN ENLARGEMENT



Sheet Number: L1.01



Copyright © 2013 Vector-Sampson


LEGEND

- UNIT WORK
- PROPERTY LINE
- UNIT OF PROPERTY
- DISCOUNTED FOR
- RESERVATIONAL USE
- MARCHLINE
- ROAD DIMENSION
- TYP DIMENSION
- TYP APPROPRIATION

4" = 100' CENTER POINT



 <p style="text-align: center;">PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS TO LANDS AND RESERVATION AREA WINDY HILLS DRIVE</p>	<p>Westerly Sampson</p> <p>33 Devonshire Street Westerly, RI 02891 617.412.4400 www.westerlysampson.com</p>	<p>ISSUED FOR:</p>  <p>ISSUED FOR:</p>	<p>BIDDING DOCUMENTS</p> <p>DATE: AS SHOWN</p> <p>DRAWN BY: RESUMOUR/2018</p> <p>CHECKED BY: CSC/07P</p> <p>APPROVED BY: BSE</p> <p>DATE: 1/15/18</p> <p>PROJECT NO: 210079</p> <p>ISSUE NO: 1</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Revision</th> <th>No.</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Description</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Revision	No.	Date	Description																	<p>Sheet Number:</p> <p style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">L4.02</p>
Revision	No.	Date	Description																						



LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- LIMIT OF PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT USE
- LIMIT OF WORK
- EXISTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE
- EXISTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE SEE PLAN SHEET
- EXISTING CONTOUR
- EXISTING SPOT ELEVATION
- PROPOSED CONTOUR
- PROPOSED SLOPE
- PROPOSED SPOT ELEVATION
- PROPOSED MANHOLE
- OPEN DRAIN
- CATCH BASIN
- MANHOLE
- DRAINAGE MANHOLE
- EXISTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE
- EXISTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE SEE PLAN SHEET
- EXISTING CONTOUR
- EXISTING SPOT ELEVATION
- PROPOSED CONTOUR
- PROPOSED SLOPE
- PROPOSED SPOT ELEVATION
- PROPOSED MANHOLE
- OPEN DRAIN
- CATCH BASIN
- MANHOLE
- DRAINAGE MANHOLE
- EXISTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE
- EXISTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE SEE PLAN SHEET
- EXISTING CONTOUR
- EXISTING SPOT ELEVATION
- PROPOSED CONTOUR
- PROPOSED SLOPE
- PROPOSED SPOT ELEVATION
- PROPOSED MANHOLE
- OPEN DRAIN
- CATCH BASIN
- MANHOLE
- DRAINAGE MANHOLE


SCALE: 1" = 40'



	<p>Westcott Sampson</p> <p>410 COMMONWEALTH RD. WANNAMAN, MD 20778</p> <p>30 Dunbarville Street 911 212 2000, 800 422 0200 WWW.WESTCOTT-SAMPSON.COM</p>	<p>APPROVED FOR SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND</p>	<p>APPROVED FOR SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND</p>
<p>15.00</p>	<p>OVERALL GRADING, DRAINAGE & UTILITY PLANS</p>	<p>CHANGING TITLE</p>	<p>CHANGING TITLE</p>
<p>Sheet Number</p>	<p>Scale: AS SHOWN</p> <p>Date: FEBRUARY 14, 2018</p> <p>Drawn By: GSC/OPF</p> <p>Reviewed By: BMS</p> <p>Approved By: BMS</p> <p>DATE PLOTTED: 02/13/2018</p> <p>WSS File No:</p>	<p>Project No:</p> <p>No. Date Description</p>	<p>Revision:</p> <p>No. Date Description</p>
<p>BIDDING DOCUMENTS</p>	<p>WESTCOTT-SAMPSON</p>	<p>WESTCOTT-SAMPSON</p>	<p>WESTCOTT-SAMPSON</p>

LEGEND

---	PROPERTY LINE	⊙	CURBSON
---	LIMIT OF PROPERTY OCCUPIED FOR RESIDENTIAL USE	⊙	PAC WEL DRAIN
---	12" HDPE PERFORATED DRAINAGE	⊙	PROPOSED MANHOLE
---	12" HDPE PERFORATED DRAINAGE	⊙	HOPE SOLID DRAIN PIPE QUARTER WARD
---	12" HDPE PERFORATED DRAINAGE	⊙	SMALL LINE
---	12" HDPE PERFORATED DRAINAGE	⊙	2" W.M.K.
---	12" HDPE PERFORATED DRAINAGE	⊙	PROPOSED TOOTH BARRIAGE
---	12" HDPE PERFORATED DRAINAGE	⊙	HOPE POINT
---	12" HDPE PERFORATED DRAINAGE	⊙	TOP OF WALL
---	12" HDPE PERFORATED DRAINAGE	⊙	BOTTOM OF WALL
---	12" HDPE PERFORATED DRAINAGE	⊙	TOP OF STEP
---	12" HDPE PERFORATED DRAINAGE	⊙	BOTTOM OF STEP
---	12" HDPE PERFORATED DRAINAGE	⊙	SEGMENTAL BLOCK RETAINING WALL
---	12" HDPE PERFORATED DRAINAGE	⊙	PROPOSED SLOPE ELEVATION
---	12" HDPE PERFORATED DRAINAGE	⊙	PROPOSED SLOPE ELEVATION



Weston Sampson
 4100 KINGSWATER
 WASHINGTON, MD 20787

Attest: My Commission Expires 11/11/2017
 4100 KINGSWATER
 WASHINGTON, MD 20787
 www.westonsampson.com

REVISIONS

No.	Date	Description

ISSUED FOR
 RECORD DOCUMENTS

SCALE
 AS SHOWN

DATE
 FEBRUARY 26, 2018

DRAWN BY
 G.C.D.

CHECKED BY
 D.M.

APPROVED BY
 E.S.B.

VMS PROJECT NO.
 18000

PROJECT
 IMPROVEMENTS TO
 LANDSCAPE AND
 RESIDENTIAL USE


SCALE
 1" = 20' 0"

Sheet Number
L5.01

PLANTING CODE	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
101	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
102	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
103	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
104	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
105	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
106	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
107	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
108	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
109	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
110	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
111	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
112	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
113	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
114	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
115	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
116	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
117	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
118	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
119	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY
120	PLANTING NAME	PLANTING SIZE	PLANTING QUANTITY

LEGEND:

- PROPERTY LINE
- LOT OF WORK
- WETLAND DEMARCATION
- WETLAND BUFFER
- COMBINATION SEED LIX
- GRASS SEED LIX
- PROPOSED TREES
- PROPOSED SHRUBS
- THRESHOLD OF DISTURBANCE THRESHOLD TO BSWM










IMPROVEMENTS TO
 LAND AND RECREATION AREA
 410 COLUMBIA TERRACE,
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20007

Western Sampson's
 22 Depewville Station
 301-472-6550 • 301-472-6551
 www.westernsampsons.com


Revision	No.	Date	Description

BIDDING DOCUMENTS
 Scale: AS SHOWN
 Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2018
 Drawn by: GSC, JPT
 Checked by: DMK
 Approved by: BSB
 1433 Parkville Avenue
 WASH. DC, DC 20007

Drawing Title:
OVERALL PLANTING PLAN
 Sheet Number:
L6.00



Project: IMPROVEMENTS TO LOWER CONSERVATION AND RESTORATION WALKWAY, ILM 0178




Weston Sampson
65 Dearborn Street
617-242-8200
www.westonsampson.com

Revision	No.	Date	Description


Drawn By: RESHMI, 7/25/19
Checked By: BDK
Approved By: BDK
Title: Paved Area - Streets
Date: 7/25/19

Scale: AS SHOWN
Title: CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
Project: IMPROVEMENTS TO LOWER CONSERVATION AND RESTORATION WALKWAY, ILM 0178


Sheet Number: L7.01
Drawing Title: CONSTRUCTION DETAILS




1 BITUMINOUS CONCRETE AT LAWN
SCALE 1/8"




2 PEDESTRIAN BITUMINOUS CONCRETE PAVEMENT
SCALE 1/8"




3 VEHICULAR BITUMINOUS CONCRETE PAVEMENT
SCALE 1/8"




4 BITUMINOUS CONCRETE PAVEMENT - TOP COURSE OVERLAY
SCALE 1/8"




5 VERTICAL GRANITE CURB
SCALE 1/8"




6 CONCRETE PAVEMENT
SCALE 1/8"




7 CURB RAMP WITH DETECTABLE WARNING MAT
SCALE 1/8"




8 STORMWATER CHAMBER CROSS SECTION
SCALE 1/8"



9 STORMWATER CHAMBER ISOLATION ROW
SCALE 1/8"



10 STORMWATER CHAMBER INSPECTION PORT
SCALE 1/8"



11 ROADWAY EDGE
SCALE 1/8"



Robert J. Sampson
Professional Engineer
No. 15727
State of Maryland
Mechanical Engineering

4100 CAMDEN LANDING
WANTON, MD 21791

Project: IMPROVEMENTS TO LAND AND RECREATION AREA

Drawings: 1.00

Scale: AS SHOWN

Date: FEBRUARY 24, 2018

Drawn By: CSC, DPC

Reviewed By: DPC

Approved By: BJB

VAS Project No: 21009

VAS File No:

Revision	No.	Date	Description



Robert J. Sampson
Professional Engineer
No. 15727
State of Maryland
Mechanical Engineering

4100 CAMDEN LANDING
WANTON, MD 21791

Project: IMPROVEMENTS TO LAND AND RECREATION AREA

Drawings: 1.00

Scale: AS SHOWN

Date: FEBRUARY 24, 2018

Drawn By: CSC, DPC

Reviewed By: DPC

Approved By: BJB

VAS Project No: 21009

VAS File No:

CONSTRUCTION DETAILS

Sheet Number: L7.02



APPROVED FOR
LOWER CONSTRUCTION
AND REVISION LAYER

Andrew J. Westcott
Professional Engineer
License No. 4100
State of Maryland

Westcott Sarapoc
44 Dumbarton Street
3rd Floor, Suite 304, Office
671-412-0205, 600 SULLY DRIVE
ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21403

Reference No.	Description

Tracked For:

BIDDING DOCUMENTS

Scale: AS SHOWN

Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2018

Drawn By: CJC, JYS

Reviewed By: BAK






Approved By: BMS

MS&P Project No: 20079

MS&P File No:

Drawing Title:
CONSTRUCTION
DETAILS

Sheet Number:
L7.06



1 CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE STAIRS
SECTIONS

3 WOOD SLAT SCREEN FENCE
SECTIONS

2 VEHICULAR WOOD GUARDRAIL
SECTIONS



4100 S.W. 11TH AVENUE
MIAMI, FL 33155
305.444.4444

Western **Sonnet**
41 Parkland Drive
Orlando, Florida 32837
407.417.4400 3000 American
Avenue, Suite 200, Orlando,
Florida 32837

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION

Scale: AS SHOWN
Date: FEBRUARY 24, 2015
Drawn By: DMJ
Checked By: RAJ
Approved By: RAJ

Project No.: 15-0309
Sheet No.: 15-0309-01








BIDDING DOCUMENTS

Scale: AS SHOWN
Date: FEBRUARY 24, 2015
Drawn By: DMJ
Checked By: RAJ
Approved By: RAJ

Project No.: 15-0309
Sheet No.: 15-0309-01

Drawing Title:
**ELECTRICAL
DETAILS**







Sheet Number:
E3.00



**APPENDIX K:
PFAS Memo and Infill Materials Chart**

Below is a table describing the various infill options considered by the Town:

Synthetic Turf Field Infill Options

	Infill Type	Life Span	Maintenance	Irrigation System	Estimated Infill Cost - HIGH SCHOOL (90,620 s.f.)	Estimated Infill Cost - LOKER (75,250 s.f.)	Annual Maintenance Cost
	Crumb Rubber Infill	Life of the carpet	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Grooming per 100 hours - Decomaction every 3-4 years - Top dressing every 2-3 years - Annual G-MAX monitoring 	Not required	---	---	\$5,000 - \$10,000 (Plus 300 hours of labor)
	EPDM Infill	8-10 years	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Grooming per 100 hours - Decomaction every 3-4 years - Top dressing every 2-3 years - Annual G-MAX monitoring 	Not required	+ \$181,240	+ \$150,500	\$5,000 - \$10,000 (Plus 300 hours of labor)
	Coated Silica Sand Infill	16 year maximum	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Grooming per 100 hours - Top dressing every 2-3 years - Annual G-MAX monitoring 	Not required	+ \$181,240	+ \$150,500	\$5,000 - \$10,000 (Plus 300 hours of labor)
	Cork & Coconut Fibers (GreenPlay)	8 years	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Grooming per 100 hours of play - Replace 10% of infill every 2-3 years - Decomaction 2 times a year - Annual G-MAX Monitoring - Monitor moisture content twice a week 	\$40,000.00	+ \$181,240	+ \$150,500	\$12,000 - \$18,000 (Does not include cost of water. 12,000 gallons twice a week is the recommended average)
	Coconut Husk, Rice Husk and Cork (Infill-Pro Geo)	8 years	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Grooming per 100 hours of play - Replace 10% of infill every 2-3 years - Decomaction 2 times a year - Annual G-MAX Monitoring - Monitor moisture content twice a week 	\$40,000.00	+ \$181,240	+ \$150,500	\$14,000 - \$20,000 (Does not include cost of water. 12,000 gallons twice a week is the recommended average)
	Walnut Shells (SafeShell)	Life of carpet	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Grooming per 100 hours - Decomaction every 3-4 years - Top dressing every 2-3 years - Annual G-MAX monitoring 	Not required	+ \$158,585	+ \$131,688	\$5,000 - \$10,000 (Plus 300 hours of labor)

A question was raised regarding whether artificial turf fields may pose greater danger of severe burns and heat illness during summer weather since field surface temperatures are higher on artificial turf fields; surface temperatures can reach as high as 200 degrees Fahrenheit. Even in New England, turf surface temperatures can get hot. We've seen turf surface readings in Wayland as high as 168 degrees on July 3, 2018. (The natural grass was 125 degrees that day). The Recreation Department, summer camps and school athletics all have protocols in place to keep users off hot fields (grass or turf). Existing Operating Procedures that have been in effect since the first artificial turf field was installed at the Wayland High School Stadium 12 years ago continue to help keep users safe during Heat Warnings and Heat Advisories.








Figure 1.1 Cross-section of a typical synthetic turf field configuration

Infill Type	Examples	Material Description	Facts and Advantages	Disadvantages	Life Span	Maintenance	End of Life Cycle	Cost (S.F. conventional application)	Irrigation System	Estimated RL-33 Field Synthetic Turf Cost (Risk Events = \$0.020/LU) (Excludes labor, equipment, etc.)	Annual Maintenance Cost
 <p>Cork (PureFill)</p>	<p>1. Santa Flavia Palermo, Italy 2. C. C. Canineo, Italy 3. Signal Iduna Park Dortmund, Germany</p>	<p>Cork infill is a natural infill that is 100% environment friendly and non-toxic. It is naturally resistant to mold and fungus. These fibers unique organic structure allows for the water vapor synthetic grass an amazing touch of naturalness.</p>	<p>Cork is considered an sustainable and recyclable material. It has a functional connection to its natural structure which will reduce the surface temperature significantly. The subern component of cork is antimicrobial and antiallergenic and will not require any special cleaning. No water is required, but a shock pad is necessary.</p>	<p>Moderate resistance, low density allows materials to be used in areas with less charge, may require irrigation to remove static charge, and limited availability.</p>	<p>8 years</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Groom after heavy rain - Replace 10% of infill every 2-3 years - Decomposition 3 times a year every 4-5 weeks - Annual G-MAX Monitoring 	<p>Can be used to topdress natural turf fields or recycled directly into the environment.</p>	<p>\$8.25 - \$7.60 (Includes 12.00 Shock Pad)</p>	<p>Not required</p>	<p>\$673,529</p>	<p>\$14,000 - \$20,000</p>
 <p>Coconut Fibers (GreenFill)</p>	<p>1. Google Corporate Campus Soccer Field, CA 2. Penn State University High School and Middle School Fields, NY</p>	<p>Coconut fibers allows for clean water runoff, is 100% recyclable and is naturally resistant to mold and fungus. These fibers unique organic structure allows for the water vapor synthetic grass an amazing touch of naturalness.</p>	<p>Coconut fibers are layered with sand and a shock pad to provide a stable surface that prevent fill shifts and fibers. Naturally cooler because the composition of the fibers. The infill system will require irrigation and annual top dressing and decompaction.</p>	<p>Requires irrigation to avoid hardening, requires annual top dressing and decompaction. Requires irrigation to avoid hardening, requires annual top dressing and decompaction. Increased cost per square foot, and higher maintenance costs.</p>	<p>8 years</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Grooming per 100 hours of play - Replace 10% of infill every 2-3 years - Decomposition 2 times a year - Annual G-MAX Monitoring - Monitor moisture content twice a week 	<p>Can be used to topdress natural turf fields or recycled directly into the environment.</p>	<p>\$8.75 - \$7.00 (Includes 12.00 Shock Pad)</p>	<p>\$40,000.00</p>	<p>\$698,675</p>	<p>\$12,000 - \$18,000 (Does not include cost of labor, equipment, etc. plus a week or less recommended warranty)</p>
 <p>Coconut Husk, Rice Husk and Cork (Mini-Pro One)</p>	<p>1. The Plessneren School, MA 2. Virginia Soccer Training Center, VA 3. Virginia Park, CA</p>	<p>The infill natural infill is composed by selected organic fibers that guarantee better technical and sportive performance, provides better conditions for athletes, the environment and safety. Provides a natural grass-like look.</p>	<p>Excellent UV resistance. Due to the fibre nature and the fibre origin, it has a high UV resistance making it ideal for outdoor use. The infill can be recycled for agricultural use therefore when the artificial turf comes to the end of its life, it is easy and economical to remove.</p>	<p>Requires irrigation to avoid hardening, requires annual top dressing and decompaction. Increased cost per square foot, and higher maintenance costs.</p>	<p>8 years</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Grooming per 100 hours of play - Replace 10% of infill every 2-3 years - Decomposition 2 times a year - Annual G-MAX Monitoring - Monitor moisture content twice a week 	<p>Can be used to topdress natural turf fields or recycled directly into the environment.</p>	<p>\$8.75 - \$7.00 (Includes 12.00 Shock Pad)</p>	<p>\$40,000.00</p>	<p>\$698,635</p>	<p>\$14,000 - \$20,000 (Does not include cost of labor, equipment, etc. plus a week or less recommended warranty)</p>
 <p>Walnut Shells (Safeshell)</p>	<p>1. Baseball Field, Cincinnati, OH</p>	<p>Safeshell is made of 100% USA grown walnut shells. Safeshell is formed up with a leading biotechnology firm to develop a unique infill that is naturally resistant to mold and fungus. These fibers unique organic structure allows for the water vapor synthetic grass an amazing touch of naturalness.</p>	<p>Safeshell exceeds at evaporative cooling. Safeshell absorbs water with minimal expansion and then releases it back into the air, keeping the surface from heating up too quickly. Even dry, Safeshell stays cooler than other rubber infills. Safeshell is made from more than 100% recycled rubber. Safeshell has been around for a little over two years so there is limited installation and long term use history.</p>	<p>Safeshell is made from walnut shells because of its unique structure. The shells are made from the husks of walnuts. The shells have been around for a little over two years so there is limited installation and long term use history.</p>	<p>Life of carpet</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Grooming per 100 hours - Decomposition every 2-4 years - Top dressing every 2-3 years - Annual G-MAX Monitoring 	<p>Can be used to topdress natural turf fields or recycled directly into the environment.</p>	<p>\$8.50 - \$6.75 (Includes 12.00 Shock Pad)</p>	<p>Not required</p>	<p>\$695,164</p>	<p>\$5,000 - \$10,000 (plus 100 hours of labor)</p>

**APPENDIX L:
LSP Opinions (4)**

October 29, 2019 (revised 11/21/19)

Wayland Board of Selectmen
41 Cochituate Road
Wayland, MA 01778

**Re: Preliminary Document Review Findings
Former Dow Chemical Property
412 Commonwealth Road, Wayland MA
Release Tracking Number (RTN) 3-3866
CMG ID 2019-131**

Dear Selectmen:

CMG Environmental, Inc. (CMG) has completed our initial review of publicly-available documentation on the former Dow Chemical property located at 412 Commonwealth Road (Route 30) in Wayland, Massachusetts (the Site) as found on the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) webpage for RTN 3-3866 (<https://eeaonline.eea.state.ma.us/EEA/fileviewer/Rtn.aspx?rtn=3-0003866>). This letter presents the findings of our initial review.

There are 36 scanned .pdf files available for download on this webpage, the majority of which are individual reports submitted to DEP between September 1992 and March 2000. In addition, the 'Correspondence File' for RTN 3-3866 contains 1,762 pages of scanned documents that DEP obtained, dating between July 1961 and March 2000. Due to time constraints and the large body of available documentation, CMG limited our initial review to the following reports:

- 9/20/95 "Reference Doses and MCP Risk-Based Soil Standards for Selected Organotin and Organomercury Compounds" prepared by the Gradient Corporation (Gradient) of Cambridge, Massachusetts;
- 3/31/99 "Phase II Comprehensive Site Assessment, Former Dow Chemical facility" (volume I of IV) prepared by Ransom Environmental Consultants, Inc. (Ransom) of Newburyport, Massachusetts;
- 1/10/00 "Phase II Comprehensive Site Assessment Addendum and Errata Sheet, Former Dow Chemical Facility" prepared by Ransom;
- 2/25/00 "Method 3 Risk Characterization, Former Dow Chemical Facility" (M3RC) prepared by Gradient; and
- 3/30/00 "Response Action Outcome (RAO) Statement, Former Dow Chemical Facility" prepared by Ransom.

CMG also reviewed online DEP information for RTN 3-3866.

DEP STATUS

DEP first listed the Site as a “Reserved Site” (Case #3-3866) in July 1992. During transition to the ‘new’ MCP (Massachusetts Contingency Plan, 310 CMR 40.0000 – first promulgated in its current form on 10/31/93), DEP listed the Site as a “Confirmed Disposal Site” effective July 15, 1993 and cited both the Dow Chemical Company and NED Wayland Realty Trust (NED, property owner at that time) as Potentially Responsible Parties (PRPs).

The Grass Roots Group (a/k/a Dow Neighbors, Inc.) petitioned DEP to name the Site a Public Involvement Plan (PIP) site on March 15, 1993 and DEP designated RTN 3-3866 as a PIP site on March 26, 1993.

NED submitted ‘Waiver of Approvals’ application to DEP in July 1993 but DEP denied this application and classified RTN 2-3866 as a ‘Priority Site’ in April 1994. As such the Site transitioned to Tier 1A status (meaning all response actions conducted at the Site were under direct DEP oversight). DEP expressed concern regarding the potential presence of specialty chemicals at the Site not identifiable through standard environmental analyses, and a lack of information regarding past chemical usage at the Site. DEP and Dow executed a Tier 1A Permit for RTN 2-3866 effective November 18, 1994.

Environmental Science Services, Inc. of Providence, Rhode Island submitted a Release Abatement Measure (RAM) Plan to DEP in May 1994 on behalf of NED. Ransom conducted RAM activities at the Site between October 1994 and January 1998 to investigate four areas of environmental concern at the Site (upper septic system area, underground storage tank [UST] area, burn bucket & fire training area, and shallow glass disposal area). RAM activities included:

- Seismic refraction study to determine bedrock contours;
- Removal of a 1,000-gallon No. 2 fuel oil UST and a 6,000-gallon No. 4 fuel oil UST, with collection of post-removal soil samples;
- Advancement of 14 soil borings throughout the Site, with completion of 7 of these as groundwater monitoring wells (at least 13 other monitoring wells existed at the Site as of 1999, previously installed by others);
- Excavation of test pits in the burn and glass disposal areas;
- Laboratory analysis of soil, groundwater, sediment, and surface water samples via EPA Methods 8140, 8150, 8260 & 8270, along with testing for 38 metals/elements and mass spectrophotometry library searches of the organic analyses (8260 & 8270) to identify specialty chemicals;
- Removal of the concrete ‘burn pad’ from the solvent burning/fire training area and approximately 200 cubic yards of impacted soil for proper disposal, with collection of post-excavation confirmatory samples;
- Removal of approximately 4 cubic yards of soil from the glass disposal area (along with broken and intact vials, bottles, and other laboratory glassware) for transport to the Dow facility in Midland MI for disposal by high-temperature incineration;
- Removal of an additional 200 cubic yards of soil from the glass disposal area, with collection of post-excavation confirmatory samples; and

- Collection of soil samples to determine background concentrations for Site contaminants of concern.

Ransom prepared documentation to reclassify the Site, which Dow submitted in October 1997. DEP allowed reclassification of the Site to Tier IC effective June 15, 1998.

Ransom prepared a Phase II Comprehensive Site Assessment report for the Site, which Dow submitted to DEP on March 31, 1999. Ransom also prepared a Phase II Addendum and errata sheet, which Dow submitted to DEP on January 10, 2000. Gradient prepared a Site-specific M3RC, which Dow submitted to DEP on February 25, 2000. Finally, Ransom prepared a Class A-2 RAO Statement based on the RAM & Phase II investigations and the Gradient M3RC, which DOW submitted to DEP on March 30, 2000 to close out RTN 3-3866.

PROPERTY OWNERSHIP

South Middlesex County Registry of Deeds records indicate the following ownership of the Site:

PROPERTY OWNERSHIP

DATE OF TRANSFER	SITE OWNER	REFERENCE
May 9, 2000	Town of Wayland	Land Court Certificate 218188 (L.C. Book 1221, Page 38) Registry Book 31387, page 167
February 10, 1995	The Dow Chemical Company	Land Court Certificate 201533 (L.C. Book 1137, Page 183) Registry Book 25175, Page 174
March 2, 1989	NED Wayland Realty Trust (Stephen R. Karp and Steven S. Fischman, Trustees)	Land Court Certificate 184889 (L.C. Book 1054, Page 139) Registry Book 19677, Page 325
October 17, 1962	The Dow Chemical Company	Land Court Certificate 111719 (L.C. Book 688, Page 169) Registry Book 10146, Page 486
March 14, 1958	Estate of Leonard Anzivino	Registry Book 9114, Page 83

Thus DEP information for the Site generally identifies both Dow and NED as PRPs until February 1995 but only Dow from then through May 2000. Any current or future DEP submittals for the Site would need to reference both Dow and the Town of Wayland as PRPs.

HUMAN HEALTH RISK

Ransom's March 2000 RAO Statement for RTN 3-3866 relies on Gradient's February 2000 M3RC to demonstrate 'No Significant Risk' to health, safety, public welfare, or the environment. Gradient used standard risk assessment methodologies to estimate numeric values of excess lifetime cancer risk (ELCR) and hazard index (HI) for ten different reasonably foreseeable human receptors. For the two most at-risk receptors ('hypothetical future resident' and 'community gardener') they derived separate HI values for four discrete areas at the Site and ELCR values for three of these areas. They then compared the estimated ELCR and HI values to the 'significant risk' numeric thresholds set forth by DEP (1×10^{-5} for ELCR and 1 for HI).

The estimated ELCR values Gradient derived for potential human receptors range from 1×10^{-10} (1 in 10 billion) to 1×10^{-6} (1 in 1 million), all of which are substantially lower than the DEP

standard of 1×10^{-5} (1 in 100,000). The estimated HI values Gradient derived for potential human receptors range from 0.0001 to 0.1, all of which are substantially lower than the DEP standard of "one." Furthermore, these estimated ELCR and HI values are almost certainly overstated because Gradient followed the standard risk assessment procedure of assuming that human receptors would accrue all (or nearly all) of their health risk from exposure to Site contaminants (e.g., a resident would remain at the Site 24/7 for 30 years and a community gardener would consume all their vegetables from what they grew at the Site during the 6-month growing season).

Gradient's 'hypothetical future resident' and 'community gardener' potential receptors provide conservative exposure estimates for potential future recreational use of the Site. Their assumptions of human exposure for these two potential receptors was as follows:

- Resident – Exposure duration 30 years (ages 1 through 31); on-Site 24 hours/day, 7 days/week, 350 days/year; direct exposure to Site soil 5 days/week for 7 months/year (260 days/year); consumption of produce grown on-Site 350 days/year.
- Community Gardener – Adult, direct contact exposure to soil duration 2 hours/day, 3 days/week, 5 months/year (130 hours/year) for 30 years; consumption of produce grown on-Site 350 days/year.

Gradient did not consider use of the Site for recreational purposes (soccer field) and resulting exposures to child or adolescent players, adult coaching staff, or children or adult spectators. However, CMG opines that potential soccer players, coaches, and spectators would not be exposed to as much Site contamination as the hypothetical future resident and community gardener receptors would be. Therefore, we conclude that Gradient's determination of 'No Significant Risk' would also be valid for future recreational soccer field use of the Site.

OTHER CONCERNS

CMG's primary concern regarding environmental assessment of the Site was the storage, use, and synthesis of specialty chemicals by Dow, in particular if there were any such chemicals released at the Site which standard laboratory analyses would not detect. Evidently many others had the same concern, and DEP requested that Dow provide a list of specialty chemicals used or stored at the Site in at least three separate 'Request for Information' letters (sent in January 1992, September 1992, and February 1994). Dow provided a list of 178 such chemicals to DEP in June 1994, and this list was used to develop the scope of work for RAM and Phase II investigation of the Site from October 1994 through January 1998.


Among the specialty chemicals reported by Dow are 12 organotin compounds and 4 organomercury compounds. Gradient developed Reference Dose values for the three most toxic organotin compounds (trimethyltin, triphenyltin & tributyltin oxide) and the two most toxic organomercury compounds (methylmercury & phenylmercury). DEP retained Dr. David K. Ryan of UMass/Lowell to assist them in reviewing the organometals information presented by Gradient on behalf of Dow. Gradient incorporated comments provided by DEP in their final M3RC of the Site. Ransom submitted samples for specialized organotin and organomercury analyses during RAM and Phase II investigation of the Site. The only detection was of 4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{Kg}$ triphenyltin in a sample collected from Test Pit 2 (in the glass disposal area) on November 13, 1996. This value is substantially below the 1.9 mg/Kg (1,900 $\mu\text{g}/\text{Kg}$) S-1 soil standard Gradient derived for triphenyltin. CMG concludes that Ransom conducted sufficient investigation to determine there was no significant release of organotin or organomercury compounds at the Site.

ADDITIONAL REVIEW

CMG is able to conduct additional review of documents pertaining to the Site either available from the DEP webpage for RTN 3-3866 or at the Wayland Board of Health PIP repository for the Site. Please advise if you have specific questions you would like us to address through such additional research.

Please contact the undersigned with any questions regarding the information presented in this letter, or if CMG can be otherwise be of assistance to you.

Sincerely,
CMG ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.



Benson R. Gould, LSP, LEP
Principal

2019-131\Preliminary Findings (11-21-19).doc



February 10, 2020

Questions from a Citizen and CMG responses:

- 1) Has he reviewed the Loker field project plans to get an understanding of the excavation that will be done in the septic system leach field area.*

Briefly. The northerly half of the proposed soccer field is in about the same location as the "Upper Septic System Area" as identified by Ransom Environmental Consultants, Inc. and the southeast corner of the proposed soccer field is within their "Underground Storage Tank/Lower Septic System Area," both focuses of Ransom's RAM investigations conducted between October 1994 and January 1998. Data obtained during these investigations was part of the data set which Gradient Corporation used in conducting their Method 3 risk characterization. That means that barring any major surprises uncovered during excavation for the Loker field project, there would be no significant change to the risk characterization conclusions.

- 2) Has he reviewed the testimony of the former Dow employees to understand what they did with hazardous waste at the site.*

No. Dow conducted interviews of "27 former Dow employees and local Wayland residents" and provided that information in a letter to DEP dated April 29, 1994. The 4/29/94 letter is not a separate document in DEP files for RTN 3-3866. It may be buried within the 1,762 pages of documents compiled into DEP's "Correspondence File" but it is not one of the dozens of flagged items in this file. It is possible that the Town's information repository at the Health Department office might contain the 4/29/94 letter, but the Board of Selectmen have not tasked CMG to research that information as yet.

Dow also provided a written response to DEP dated June 9, 1994 (which is a separate document in DEP files), which CMG has reviewed. That (summary) document makes it clear that Dow employees segregated "reaction wastes from lab experiments" for off-Site disposal (initially by "an outside contractor," and later "solely to Dow's Midland, Michigan permitted waste disposal facility").

- 3) Is there any information in the cleanup record that indicates why the septic system leach field was left in place. For example, did they test for hazardous wastes and determined there were none left or did they believe that the soil cap was sufficient to make the area safe.*

Ransom's soil sampling did not identify significant metals contamination within the Upper Septic System Area (nothing exceeding RCS-1 standards), and virtually no organic contaminants (see Section 6.2.1.2 [p.21] and Tables 2 & 3 of 3/31/99 Phase II CSA Report).


- 4) *Did he review the Weston and Sampson test results from samples they took from the bore holes used to determine the depth of the ledge. Was there enough sampling in the leach field area to assure that no hazardous wastes will emerge when the leach field is excavated.*

11/5/88

GZA advanced borings GZ-1 (refusal at 17') and GZ-2 (refusal at 8') within the footprint of the proposed soccer field. Anderson-Nichols also advanced boring AN-6 (refusal at 7') and Environmental Science advanced boring PW-2 (refusal at 9'3") within this footprint. 7/10/91
3/30/93

Ransom advanced borings B101 (8' no refusal), B102 (refusal at 6'9"), B103 (8' no refusal), B104 (7'6" no refusal), B105 (6' weathered rock), B106 (6' no refusal), B107 (6' no refusal), B108 (6' no refusal) B111/MW101 (competent rock at 20', well drilled to 29') & B112/MW102 (competent rock at 19', well drilled to 30') within the footprint of the proposed soccer field and B109 (4' no refusal) & B110 (2' no refusal) just west of it. They submitted 14 samples from borings B101 through B110 for laboratory analyses. This seems sufficient analysis to adequately characterize subsurface conditions within the "Upper Septic System Area."

10/30/94 to 12/6/94



Upper Septic System Area

Proposed Soccer Field

FORMER LABORATORY BUILDING

Underground Storage Tank/
Lower Septic System Area

NORTH POND

PARKING LOT

FORMER COOLING TOWER

LIMITS OF EXCAVATION

DRAIN LINE

FORMER 1,000 GAL FUEL OIL UST

FORMER 6,000 GAL FUEL OIL UST

LOWER SEPTIC SYSTEM

TRANSFORMERS

WEST POND

COMMONWEALTH

(U.S. ROUTE

January 15, 2019

Project 941.01189

Mr. Sarkis Sarkisian, Town Planner
Town of Wayland
41 Cochituate Road
Wayland, Massachusetts 01778

RE: Environmental Conditions
Former Dow Chemical Facility
412 Commonwealth Road
Wayland, Massachusetts

Dear Mr. Sarkisian:

As requested, Ransom Consulting, Inc. (Ransom) has prepared this letter for Town of Wayland regarding the environmental conditions of the above-referenced property (the Site). Ransom understands that the Town plans to re-develop a portion of the Site with an athletic field and associated parking lot.

Between 1994 and 2000 and under the supervision of the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP), Ransom worked with The Dow Chemical Company (Dow) during the performance of preliminary and comprehensive response actions, as defined by the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP) at the Site. The response actions were focused on several potential/suspected areas of contamination at the Site, including two on-site septic systems, a "former burn area", former "shallow disposal/glass disposal area", dredge spoils piles, and two fuel oil underground storage tanks (USTs). Dow also undertook additional voluntary response actions at the Site in response to public comments and a request from the Board of Selectmen; these actions include sampling of environmental media at other portions of the Site as requested by the public. Finally, in 1999, Dow undertook a voluntary facility closure project which included the demolition of the on-site buildings, the closure of the two septic systems, the removal of approximately 13,000 tons of sediments associated with two dredge spoils piles and the restoration of the Site. In March 2000, a Class A-2 Response Action Outcome (RAO) Statement, concluding that a Permanent Solution had been achieved at the Site, was submitted to the MassDEP.

Based on the response actions completed at the Site and the regulatory status of the Site, Ransom does not anticipate that the Site's past use will be an impediment to the proposed redevelopment plan.

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact me at (978) 465-1822.

Sincerely,

 Timothy J. Snay
2019.01.15
11:16:33 -05'00'

Timothy J. Snay, LSP, LEP
Principal, Vice President/Senior Scientist

TJS:ts

MEMORANDUM

TO: Brandon Kunkel, Team Leader, Weston & Sampson

FROM: Daron Kurkjian P.E., Project Manager - EGE, Weston & Sampson
Sean Healey, LSP, Team Leader - EGE, Weston & Sampson

DATE: April 4, 2018

SUBJECT: Proposed Recreation Field – Loker Conservation and Recreation Area
Focused Environmental Records Review & Soil Assessment

We understand that the Town of Wayland is considering redevelopment of portions of the Loker Conservation and Recreation Area in Wayland, Massachusetts (the Site) for recreational purposes. Pursuant to your request, we have performed an environmental records review and soil assessment of areas planned for redevelopment. A summary of our review and subsequent soil assessment is provided below.

ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS REVIEW:

The Site planned for redevelopment is a portion of the Loker Conservation and Recreation area in the Town of Wayland. The area of the Site was previously occupied by a Dow Chemical testing facility which operated at the Site between 1964 and 1988. The Dow Chemical facility was demolished in 1999 to 2000.

The area planned for redevelopment is defined in previous environmental reports as 16-acres of the 32-acre former Dow Chemical property. Several former buildings were previously located on-Site including a main office building and laboratory building, a cooling tower building, a solvent storage shed, a garage and a small shed. The proposed area for athletic field redevelopment is limited to the area of the main office building on the western portion of the Site. Dow's research included testing relative to:

- Inorganic and organo-metallic chemistry related to the synthesis of ceramics and catalyst;
- Organic chemistry/biochemistry related to enzymes and epoxies;
- Synthesis of agricultural and pharmaceutical compounds; and
- Catalyst research related to hydrogen production.

The Site is listed with the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) under Release Tracking Number (RNT) 3-3866. This RTN is associated with environmental investigations conducted at the Site between 1987 and 1994 by Ransom Environmental on behalf of Dow Chemical. In 1993, the Site became a MassDEP Public Involvement Plan (PIP) Site following receipt of a citizen petition. The investigations identified known and suspected areas of subsurface disposal and contamination including:

- 1) The Upper Septic system area, located north of the former laboratory building;
- 2) A former shallow disposal/glass disposal area, located in the vicinity of the former solvent storage shed and former cooling tower building;

- 3) A former burn area, consisting of the burn bucket and concrete pad incineration areas; and
- 4) A former underground storage tank (UST) and Lower Septic system area, located near the southeast corner of the laboratory building.

Of these four areas, the Upper Septic system area is the only area that is proposed to be disturbed by the proposed athletic field construction. The remaining three areas of historic environmental concern are not planned to be disturbed during the proposed athletic field construction.

Remedial actions were performed at the Site in 2000 and included:

- Removal of surface soils from a former fire training area;
- Removal of two dredge spoil piles; and
- UST removal.

None of the above remediation areas are in the areas planned to be disturbed by the proposed athletic field construction.

In 2000, Ransom submitted a Class A-2 Response Action Outcome (RAO) Statement for RTN 3-3866 in support of regulatory closure. The RAO applies to four discrete locations identified above. The RAO is supported by a Method 3 risk characterization for each of the four areas. The RAO and Method 3 concluded that there is No Significant Risk to human health or the environment from residual contaminants at the Site. Weston & Sampson has reviewed the Method 3 risk characterization and based on the data presented the conclusions appear reasonable.

Additional Site closure activities were also conducted in 2000 and included the abatement of asbestos containing material within the building and demolition of building and structures on the Site. According to previous reports, the Upper and Lower Septic tanks were removed. The Upper Septic system distribution system status is unknown and appears to remain in place. No distribution system was listed to be associated with the Lower Septic tank.

In response to a request from the Wayland Board of Health, in 2000 the Massachusetts Department of Public Health's Bureau of Environmental Health Assessment reviewed cancer incidence and potential exposure from the Site. This assessment focused on residents that live in the area of the Site. No statistically significant increased incidence of cancer was found.

Weston & Sampson focused our review within the areas associated with potential Site redevelopment. Based on our preliminary review of the RAO and Method 3 risk characterization (March 2000) and the Facility Closure Report (April 2000), we identified the following concerns:

- Site risk assessment and risk characterization applied only to the four discrete areas of the Site identified above. Of these four areas, the Upper Septic system area is the sole area proposed to be disturbed by the athletic field construction. There was limited historic soil or groundwater data in the areas planned for redevelopment as recreational fields.

The risk characterization did not evaluate a park or recreation field visitor. It did evaluate residential use in three of the four areas, which may be protective of park or recreation field visitors; however, there is no residential use evaluation in certain areas planned for redevelopment. The residential use evaluation is a conservative exposure pathway. In 2004, the

Town of Wayland added deed restrictions to the Site limiting future uses to conservation and/or recreation. Therefore, no residential redevelopment is allowed on the Site.

SOIL ASSESSMENT:

To assess soil conditions in the area of proposed redevelopment, Weston & Sampson collected soil samples from five (5) soil borings, six (6) test pits, and seven (7) surface soil sample locations. Soil assessment was focused on areas of proposed cut and fill and areas where soils are planned to be excavated and relocated on-Site. Soil sample locations were also placed in areas where future field users and spectators will congregate. Results of the soil assessment are detailed below.

Soil Borings:

On March 12, 2018, Weston & Sampson directed the advancement of five (5) soil borings at the Site. See Figure 1 for approximate soil boring locations.

Soil samples were collected at continuous intervals within the borings. Soils encountered generally consisted of brown silty sands with trace gravel. Refusal indicating shallow bedrock ranged from approximately 5 to 8 feet below ground surface (bgs). No odors or staining were observed in soil samples collected from the borings. Soil samples were field screened with a photo-ionization detector (PID) meter to evaluate potential volatile constituents. The PID readings were low and the highest reading was 4.6 parts per million by volume (ppmv).

Weston & Sampson collected and submitted soil samples for off-Site laboratory analysis. Five (5) soil samples (one from each boring) were submitted for volatile organic compounds (VOCs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) 8 metals plus cobalt and/or pesticides and herbicide analysis.

Test Pits and Surface Soil Sampling:

On March 21, 2018, Weston & Sampson directed the advancement of six (6) test pits at the Site. Soil samples were collected from at least two depth intervals from each test pit. Weston & Sampson also collected seven (7) surface soil samples at the Site. Surface soil samples were hand dug and collected to a depth of 12 inches below grade. See Figure 1 for test pit and surface soil sample locations.

The soil samples were field screened with a PID and no evidence of contamination was observed. The highest PID reading from test pits and surface soil samples was 1.5 ppmv.

In the locations of test pits TP-1 and TP-2, remnants of the Upper Septic system, including approximately six-inch diameter clay pipe, were observed. A concrete wall was also identified at the Upper Septic system location.

Five (5) soil samples from the test pits and three (3) soil surface soil samples were submitted for off-Site laboratory for varying analysis including VOCs, semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs), PAHs, RCRA 8 metals plus cobalt and/or pesticides and herbicide analysis. Two test pit soil samples from within or below the Upper Septic distribution system were submitted for SVOCs instead of PAH analysis. SVOC analysis includes PAH and provides a wider range of analytes than PAH analysis. As historical records indicated testing waste was discharged to the Upper Septic system, this wider range of SVOC analytes was selected for these two test pit locations (TP-1 and TP-2).

SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS & SUMMARY:

The soil assessment included investigation within a total of 18 locations at the Site, with 13 soil samples submitted for off-Site laboratory analysis. These sample locations were limited to areas of proposed soil disturbance or congregating areas of users or spectators. See Table 1 and Table 2 for a summary of soil analytical results. As shown in the tables, there were no analytes detected in excess of MassDEP Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP) reportable concentrations (RCs).

In summary, our historical records review and subsurface assessment has found no evidence of residual contamination at the Site. Analyzed soil samples exhibited analyte concentration below RCs. These analytes included VOCs, SVOCs and/or PAHs, RCRA 8 metals plus cobalt and/or pesticides and herbicide analysis. Weston & Sampson's soil assessment of Site areas to be disturbed as part of potential redevelopment do not change the findings of the 2000 Method 3 risk characterization report. Specifically, the 2000 RAO closure report conclusion remains unchanged that "the Site also poses no significant risk of harm to the safety, the environment, and public welfare." Based on these results, no further environmental testing is required or recommended for the pre-design phase of this project.

Remaining Septic System and Foundation:

The area planned for a multi-purpose athletic field is on top of the former Upper Septic field and includes much of the former building footprint. While the Upper Septic system tank was reportedly removed, we observed evidence that the septic system distribution system and a concrete wall remain below grade. We recommend that the Weston & Sampson structural engineering and/or geotechnical team review these subsurface structures and their potential impact to the proposed athletic field construction.

LIMITATIONS:

Based on the multi-acre Site footprint, it is possible that areas of contamination may be outside of analyzed areas. In addition, the area east of the parking location was not assessed as part of our soil assessment. This area included a historic fire training that may have used per- and poly-fluorinated alkylated substances (PFAS), a class of emerging contaminants has been associated with fire training areas. Based on the proposed construction away from fire training areas, assessment of PFAS was not included in this assessment.

This memo was prepared for the use of the Town of Wayland, exclusively. The findings provided by Weston & Sampson in this report are based solely on the information reported in this document. Future investigations, and/or information that was not available to Weston & Sampson at the time of the investigation, may result in a modification of the findings stated in this memo.

Should additional information become available concerning this Site or neighboring properties that could directly impact the Site in the future, that information should be made available to Weston & Sampson for review so that, if necessary, conclusions presented in this report may be modified. The conclusions of this report are based on conditions observed by Weston & Sampson personnel at the time of the investigation, information provided by the Town of Wayland and samples collected and analyzed on the dates shown or stated in this report. This memo has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted engineering and geological practices. No other warranty, express or implied, is made.

ADDITIONAL BACK-UP FROM HISTORIC REPORTS:

Excerpt from March 2000 RAO Report:

Sampling results for each exposure area are summarized in Appendix A. Soil in the Upper Septic System Area is impacted by low concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs). Soil in the Former Burn Area is impacted by residual concentrations of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). Soil in the Former Shallow Disposal Area is impacted by low concentrations of SVOCs including PAHs. Soil in the Former UST Area contains very low concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons. Ground water in the area downgradient of the Former Shallow Disposal Area has been impacted by low concentrations of VOCs, including chlorinated compounds. Pond sediments are impacted by low concentrations of VOCs, SVOCs, PAHs, polychlorinated dibenzodioxins (PCDDs), and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs). Surface water from the ponds contains trace concentrations of bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (an SVOC) and low concentrations of metals.

Excerpt from April 2000 Closure Report, related to backfill:


3.5 Restoration Activities

Following removal the Site buildings, excavation of the two septic systems and sump, and elimination of the two Dredge Spoils Piles as part of the RAM, the Site was restored. An elevation survey was conducted to determine the approximate volume of material required to return all impacted areas to original grade. The baseline survey was compared to a February 1994 site plan provided by the Town of Wayland Assessor's office which depicts the original topography of the area. Approximately 3,500 yards of a loam backfill was used to grade the area formerly occupied by the Site buildings and septic system areas and approximately 12 yards of loam was used to grade the Dredge Soil Piles area. Prior to delivering backfill material, two composite samples of the backfill material were collected and submitted under chain-of-custody to Alpha and analyzed for the following parameters:

1. VOCs with an extended library search;
2. SVOCs with an extended library search; and
3. Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) Metals.

No contaminants were detected above the method detection limits in either of the samples sent for analysis. Copies of the laboratory chemical analysis data sheets are provided in Appendix H.

Weston & Sampson estimates that 12 to 24 inches of backfill are present at the Site.



**FIGURE 1: SITE SKETCH
LOKER CONSERVATION AND
RECREATION AREA**

Table 1
 Summary of Soil Boring Analytical Results
 Loker Conservation and Recreation Area
 Wayland, Massachusetts

Parameter	Reportable Concentrations (RCs)		SAMPLING LOCATION (Depth)				
	RCS-1	RCS-2	B-8 (1-2')	B-9 (2-3')	B-10 (1-2')	B-11 (0-2')	B-12 (2-3')
Sampling Date			3/12/2018				
Metals (mg/kg)							
ARSENIC	20	20	< 2.1	< 1.9	3.9	4.8	3.7
BARIUM	1000	3000	25	21	22	33	27
CADMIUM	70	100	< 0.21	< 0.19	< 0.22	< 0.19	< 0.21
CHROMIUM	100	200	19	16	17	11	17
COBALT	500	5000	5.4	9.7	4.7	7.0	5.8
LEAD	200	600	21	5.5	13	6.1	10
MERCURY	20	30	0.052	< 0.028	0.043	< 0.029	< 0.031
SELENIUM	400	700	< 4.2	< 3.7	< 4.3	< 3.7	< 4.1
SILVER	100	200	< 0.42	< 0.37	< 0.43	< 0.37	< 0.41
Pesticides (mg/kg)							
TOTAL PESTICIDES	~	~	NT	ND	NT	ND	NT
Herbicides (µg/kg)							
TOTAL HERBICIDES	~	~	NT	ND	NT	ND	NT
VOCs 8260C (mg/kg)							
TOTAL VOCs	~	~	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SVOCs 8270D (mg/kg)							
FLUORANTHENE	1000	3000	0.36	< 0.19	< 0.22	< 0.19	< 0.21
PHENANTHRENE	10	1000	0.24	< 0.19	< 0.22	< 0.19	< 0.21
PYRENE	1000	3000	0.30	< 0.19	< 0.22	< 0.19	< 0.21

NOTES:

1. **Bolded** values are detected compounds.
2. ND = Not detected above the lab reporting limits.
3. NT = Not tested.
4. ~ = No Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP) standard available
5. Soil results compared to MCP Reportable Concentrations (RCs).
6. mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram
7. VOCs = volatile organic compounds, SVOCs = semi-volatile organic compounds.
8. RCs taken from the MCP 310 Code of Massachusetts Regulations (CMR) 40.00 dated 4/25/2014.

Table 2
 Summary of Surface Soil (SS) Sample and Test Pit (TP) Soil Sample Analytical Results
 Loker Conservation and Recreation Area
 Wayland, Massachusetts

Parameter	SAMPLING LOCATION									
	Reportable Concentrations (RCs)		(Depth)							
	RCS-1	RCS-2	SS-1 (0-12")	SS-3 (0-12")	SS-6 (0-12")	TP-1 (0-16")	TP-1 (43")	TP-2 (69")	TP-4 (0-16")	TP-5 (12-16")
Sampling Date	March 21, 2018									
SM 2540G (% Wt)	~	~	77.5	51.2	79.3	85.0	95.9	96.0	85.8	87.0
Metals (mg/kg)										
ARSENIC	20	20	<2.1	3.9	4.0	3.9	1.9	<1.7	<1.9	3.4
BARIIUM	1000	3000	12	12	19	17	14	9.4	12	15
CADMIUM	70	100	<0.21	<0.32	0.30	0.30	<0.17	<0.17	<0.19	0.26
CHROMIUM	100	200	6.1	7.5	7.1	6.2	6.4	4.8	4.3	5.3
COBALT	500	5000	<2.1	<3.2	2.2	2.0	<1.7	<1.7	3.4	<1.9
LEAD	200	600	9.6	55	36	26	4.8	5.5	4.5	34
MERCURY	20	30	0.045	0.12	0.040	0.042	0.52	0.95	0.043	<0.029
SELENIUM	400	700	<4.1	<6.4	<4.3	<3.9	<3.5	<3.4	<3.7	<3.8
SILVER	100	200	<0.41	<0.64	<0.43	<0.39	1.6	2.2	<0.37	<0.38
Pesticides (mg/kg)										
4,4'-DDT	6	30	NT	NT	0.072	<0.047	<0.0042	<0.0042	NT	NT
TOTAL PESTICIDES	~	~	NT	NT	0.072	ND	ND	ND	NT	NT
Herbicides (µg/kg)										
TOTAL HERBICIDES	~	~	NT	NT	ND	ND	ND	ND	NT	NT
VOCs 8260C (mg/kg)										
TOTAL VOCs	~	~	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SVOCS 8270D (mg/kg)										
TOTAL SVOCS	~	~	NT	NT	NT	NT	ND	ND	NT	NT
SVOCS PAH Subset 8270D (mg/kg)										
ANTHRACENE	1000	3000	<0.22	<0.33	0.31	<0.20	NT	NT	<0.20	<0.20
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	7	40	<0.22	<0.33	1.6	<0.20	NT	NT	0.82	<0.20
BENZO(A)PYRENE	2	7	<0.22	<0.33	1.8	0.22	NT	NT	0.73	<0.20
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	7	40	<0.22	<0.33	2.6	0.31	NT	NT	0.95	<0.20
BENZO(G,H,I)PERYLENE	1000	3000	<0.22	<0.33	1.3	<0.20	NT	NT	0.53	<0.20
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	70	400	<0.22	<0.33	1.0	<0.20	NT	NT	0.38	<0.20
CHRYSENE	70	400	<0.22	<0.33	2.1	0.26	NT	NT	0.98	<0.20
DIBENZO(A,H)ANTHRACENE	0.7	4	<0.22	<0.33	0.30	<0.20	NT	NT	<0.20	<0.20
FLUORANTHENE	1000	3000	<0.22	<0.33	5.4	0.56	NT	NT	2.0	<0.20
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	7	40	<0.22	<0.33	1.4	<0.20	NT	NT	0.53	<0.20
PHENANTHRENE	10	1000	<0.22	<0.33	3.0	0.27	NT	NT	1.5	<0.20
PYRENE	1000	3000	<0.22	<0.33	4.8	0.55	NT	NT	1.8	<0.20

- NOTES:
- Bolded** values are detected compounds.
 - ND = Not detected above the lab reporting limits.
 - NT = Not tested.
 - ~ = No Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP) standard available
 - Soil results compared to MCP Reportable Concentrations (RCs).
 - mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram
 - VOCs = volatile organic compounds, SVOCS = semi-volatile organic compounds.
 - PAHs = polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.
 - RCs taken from the MCP 310 Code of Massachusetts Regulations (CMR) 40.00 dated 4/25/2014.



5

CDM Camp Dresser & McKee Inc.

consulting
engineering
construction
operations

One Cambridge Place
50 Hampshire Street
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139
Tel: 617 452-6000 Fax: 617 452-6000

April 12, 2000

close to
closing

DRAFT

Mr. Jeff Ritter
Executive Secretary
Wayland Town Offices
41 Cochituate Road
Wayland, MA 01778

Subject: Due Diligence Investigation and Report to the Board of Selectmen of the
Town of Wayland

Dear Mr. Ritter:

CDM is pleased to submit this letter report to the Town of Wayland regarding the Dow property known as the former Dow Chemical Facility located at 412 Commonwealth Road and assigned DEP release tracking number 3-3866. This report is presented in two parts. The first part details our history with the property inclusive of our development of a Phase I Report in 1998 as well as a chronology of document consideration and review in the intervening period of time. The second part is our review of the final or nearly final documents recently submitted by Ransom Environmental.

Part 1. History of CDM Involvement.

Our history at the site started with an independent review of material in 1998. This review culminated in production of a Phase I report outlining our understanding of the site and recommending that the site proceed to the Phase II Comprehensive Site Assessment prior to any land taking or receipt of the site by the Town. In essence, this report recommended that Dow continue at the site through the Phase II comprehensive site assessment process including a risk characterization. Such completion of the risk characterization then clearly demonstrates current and future risks at the site relative to site reuse and allows the Town to consider the site in a completely characterized mode. This approach is much safer than that of an ordinary due diligence report at the Phase I level of site assessment but was certainly warranted in this case given the past use of the site by Dow. From the point of that recommendation, our history of review has consisted of the receipt and reading of the Phase II and RAM documents by our LSP, Mr. William Swanson. In addition to the pertinent documents provided by Dow's consultant, Ransom Environmental and attendance at the public meeting where the draft risk assessment was presented, Mr. Swanson also was provided the comments by the two senior LSP's employed by the site neighbors (NED/Dow Neighbors, Inc.). At key points in the process CDM provided written comments on the documents and copies of these letters are attached to this letter report.

DRAFT

Mr. Jeff Ritter
April 12, 2000
Page 2

Furthermore, our LSP, Mr. Swanson, walked over the site with the neighbors and other interested parties in autumn of 1999 (October 30) to select likely or suspect locations for additional due diligence sampling and analysis. It was determined that this work should be undertaken to provide a reasonable degree of assurance that the site had been fully remediated.

Part 2. Final Report Review.

The key document reviewed is the final Method 3 Risk Assessment Report for the site. The Method 3 approach utilized is the most detailed of the available approaches and uses site specific data and detailed information about the chemicals detected to arrive at an assessment of risk.

One way to view the results of the risk assessment is to go directly to the numbers computed for the cancer and noncancer risks. For cancer risks a total of 14 different possible scenarios were evaluated by Gradient Corp., a team subcontractor to Ransom. The acceptable level of risk according to DEP protocol is 1 additional risk of cancer in a population of 100,000. For conservation land uses the highest risk computed is 1 additional risk of cancer in a population of 1,000,000, ten times lower than or 10% of the allowable or acceptable level for the anticipated uses. Stated another way, the total cancer risk is 1/10 of the allowable risk. For residential scenarios in specific areas of the site where former Dow activities occurred, the highest risk is 6 additional cancer risks in a population of 1,000,000, somewhat lower than the allowable or acceptable level. Stated another way, the total cancer risk is 6/10 or 60% of the allowable risk. For noncancer risks, a total of 16 different possible scenarios were evaluated. The acceptable risk value is 1 and the highest computed value is 0.1, ten times lower than the allowable or 1/10 or 10% of the acceptable level. The numbers utilized in the computations are generally conservative, that is the computations are designed to overestimate risk due to uncertainties in the fundamental research and derivation of the numbers. So, the conclusion is that the site is safe for general use.

In addition, direct physical evidence of healthy biota at the site coupled with the chemical evidence indicate there is no adverse impact from the site to resident biota. These risk calculations were further reduced by the recent release abatement measure (RAM) activities.

In the risk assessment, Gradient dealt with background concentrations of contaminants for polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons, dioxin and metals. Determination of background levels of dioxin in the Boston metropolitan area is problematic and the data that can be used to establish background is limited. Nevertheless, CDM views the dioxin concentrations at the site as residing on the low end of the concentration range of urban sites we have studied or are otherwise familiar with. For polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons and metals, the concentrations appear to be above background and were

DRAFT

Mr. Jeff Ritter
April 12, 2000
Page 3

thus subjected to detailed risk characterization to determine if they indeed resulted in a significant risk. As noted above, there was a determination of "no significant risk".

Our detailed comments appear below regarding review of the Method 3 Risk Characterization Former Dow Chemical Facility (Final) Dated February 25, 2000:

The Method 3 Human Health Risk Characterization was given a complete final review by CDM. Overall, the risk characterization was thorough and complete. The analytical data used to support the risk characterization was representative of site conditions and of sufficient quality to characterize site risks. MADEP protocols were generally followed regarding the development of exposure scenarios, exposure calculations, and the development of exposure point concentrations.

One exception to following MADEP guidance was the use of USEPA soil/skin adherence factors associated with dermal risk. While the use of the EPA values is acceptable, they are less conservative than the MADEP default factors. While the risk characterization may not be as conservative as if the default factors was used, the overall conclusion of "no significant" risk is consistent with the low levels of residual contaminants remaining on-site. The following are comments and observations that do not change the conclusions of the risk characterization:

Section 4.2.3

The fraction of surface soil from contaminated source parameter listed in Table 4-2 should be included in the ingestion equation (4-9).

Section 4.3.1

The sentence "Therefore this scenario assumes that a house is placed directly on the site and no mixing or regarding of the site occurs" is misleading since the subsurface soils are used to calculate EPCs.

Section 4.3.3

The landscaper exposure frequency extending from April through October should be stated as seven months rather than six months.

Table 4-2 Summary of Exposure Input Factors

The Soil/Skin Adherence Factors used in this table are cited as USEPA, 1999, which is Dermal Risk Assessment Interim Guidance. According to the USEPA, this document is not to be cited or quoted at this time, as it has not officially been released to the public. However, according to the USEPA, it is acceptable to cite the original study or studies

DRAFT

Mr. Jeff Ritter
April 12, 2000
Page 4

contained within the document. Hence, while the procedure may not be conservative, the conclusions are not affected.

Appendix A Exposure Point Concentrations

The sample depths should have been included on either the A-1 series of tables or Table A-7.

Level of Completeness of the Assessment.

The assessment of the site is rated by CDM as exhibiting a high level of completeness. In addition to DEP oversight when the site was listed as a Tier 1A site, three independent LSP's have had opportunity to review and comment on the scopes of work as well as the work products. These work products were all provided by Dow and their consultant team led by Ransom Environmental Consultants Inc. There is always opportunity to find fault with such a complex set of procedures as was required to comprehensively assess this site. However, as noted in our remaining final comments, the site has been thoroughly characterized by current standards set for a comprehensive site assessment on a location of this nature.

One key aspect of the site assessment is the "uncertainty analysis" of the risk assessment which appears as Section 7. In most such documents, this portion of the assessment is perfunctory and is given little notice. However, because of the more unusual nature of prior site uses, this section takes on a higher degree of importance at this site. While CDM concurs that the assessment is "likely to overpredict actual site risks" as stated on page 40, we summarize as follows:

In response to the more exotic or unusual nature of the site, the analytical laboratory also provided a list of tentatively identified compounds (TIC's). Usually these compounds are not considered, however, to be more thorough they were included in the assessment. Stated another way, these compounds are those which may appear on the analytical instrument output but are not normally positively identified due to their understood or assumed insignificance in the risk assessment process. In order to quantitatively account for the risk associated with the presence, the compounds were assigned a health impact numerical value based on the known impact of a more commonly identified yet chemically similar compound. This approach is reasonable for dealing with the TIC's and is the only logical way to quantitate the risk. Furthermore, the approach provides an additional degree of conservatism in the overall risk calculations.

A similar likelihood of overestimation is described for the ecological risk assessment in that uncertainty analysis.

CDM Camp Dresser & McKee Inc.

DRAFT

Mr. Jeff Ritter
April 12, 2000
Page 5

With respect to Ransom's Completion Report Release Abatement Measure No. 3 dated March 30, 2000, CDM concurs that a class A-2 response action outcome statement (RAO) may be filed for the site.

Summary.

It is CDM's opinion that the site has been adequately characterized and remediated under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan process. CDM is not aware of any significant impediments or environmental encumbrances on the property and recommends that from an environmental management point of view the Town may proceed with the purchase of the property.


Very truly yours,

CAMP DRESSER & MCKEE INC.

William R. Swanson, P.E., LSP
Vice President

cc: Bruce Haskell

**APPENDIX M:
Vernal Pool Information**



MASSWILDLIFE

DIVISION OF FISHERIES & WILDLIFE

1 Rabbit Hill Road, Westborough, MA 01581
p: (508) 389-6300 | f: (508) 389-7890
MASS.GOV/MASSWILDLIFE

January 24, 2020

Dear Wayland Conservation Commission,

The Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NHESP) has officially certified vernal pools in your town. Please see below for more pool information and links to view the pool location on MassGIS.

Town:	Wayland
CVP # & Pool Name/Report #:	CVP 8123 (<i>Loker Conservation Land Vernal Pool #1</i>) / V1818
Pool coordinates (Lat./Long.):	(42.326582, -71.34228)
Pool Viewer:	OLIVER
Download GIS datalayer:	Certified Vernal Pools

**Note: Updates to the CVP layer are posted monthly.*

The NHESP does not delineate the boundary of a CVP during certification, but rather demarcates the pool's location.

Please contact the DEP Regional Office for the town in which this pool occurs with all regulatory questions (DEP Regional Offices and Vernal pool Liaisons can be determined by visiting the NHESP Vernal Pool website at <https://www.mass.gov/service-details/vernal-pool-protection>). We encourage you to contact the NHESP with questions relating to the biological characteristics of vernal pools or the certification program.

Thank you for your concern and assistance with vernal pool conservation in your town.
Sincerely,

Everose Schlüter, Ph.D.
Assistant Director

MASSWILDLIFE

Linda,

I surveyed the pond yesterday, using polarized glasses for optimum viewing. I observed two (2) spotted salamander (*Ambystoma maculatum*) egg masses, plus a small number of spermatophores (presumably also from spotted salamanders), but no other evidence of breeding by vernal pool "obligate" species (photos attached). The maximum depth of the pond was approximately 45". I did not observe any fish, but the pond appears deep enough and may be permanently flooded, which would allow it to support fish. Based on my observations, the pond did not contain the necessary "biological" evidence (five or more egg masses) - despite the presence of spermatophores - to be certified as a vernal pool in accordance with the "Guidelines for the Certification of Vernal Pool Habitat." (NHESP, March 2009). A follow-up survey in the next 1-2 weeks would provide more certainty of whether additional egg masses were present but not detected by my survey.

Regarding the outlet to the pond, the Guidelines state that a pool must have "no permanently flowing outlet." The outlet was flowing during my survey, however if this outlet dries up during the year then the pond would meet the required "physical" criteria as a vernal pool.

-Dan

Dan Wells, Goddard Consulting, LLC

Photos Taken 4/10/19 - Pond at 412 Commonwealth Road, Wayland



Photo 1 - Spotted salamander egg mass (1 of 2 observed).

Dan Wells, Goddard Consulting, LLC
Photos Taken 4/10/19 - Pond at 412 Commonwealth Road, Wayland




Photo 2 - Spotted salamander egg mass (2 of 2 observed).


Dan Wells, Goddard Consulting, LLC
Photos Taken 4/10/19 - Pond at 412 Commonwealth Road, Wayland



Photo 3 - Spotted salamander spermatophores.

MATTHEW R. BURNE

80 Cross Street
Malden, MA 02148
mattburne@gmail.com



Linda Hansen, Administrator
Wayland Conservation Commission
41 Cochituate Road
Wayland, MA 01778

April 11, 2019

RE: Vernal Pool Evaluation, Loker Conservation and Recreation Area

Dear Ms. Hansen,

On Monday, 4/8/19, I was asked to conduct an evaluation of a potential vernal pool habitat located on the Loker Conservation and Recreation Area in the Town of Wayland on behalf of the Wayland Conservation Commission. I visited the site, along with Ryan Brown, Department Assistant, on Wednesday, 4/10/19, and I am pleased to provide you with the results of that evaluation.

Physical Description

The wetland in question is identified as a Potential Vernal Pool in the MassGIS database, and is shown on the aerial photo to the right. The pond is somewhat large; approximately 150 by 200 feet with a maximum depth estimated at 3.5 feet. It has a bottom characterized by deep muck and vegetation but is stony in portions of the basin. In the summer, I'd anticipate that the pool is well vegetated throughout. Without direct knowledge of the site, it's difficult to know whether this ever dries out, but I anticipate that it rarely, if ever dries completely.



Pond identified on aerial photograph at Loker Conservation and Recreation Area. This is identified as a Potential Vernal Pool in the MassGIS database.

The pool margin is entirely forested, with trees over-reaching the water around its perimeter. In the western quadrant there is dense growth of mixed aquatic shrubs and hummocks with small trees. The north margin of the pool is fairly shallow and thinly vegetated with shrubs and small trees.

There is a man-made structure containing a flowing outlet, from which water flows to the south.

The pond is immediately adjacent to open field and parking to the south, and the viaduct to the north. There is mixed pine and hardwood forest proximal to the wetland.

Wildlife Observations

I arrived at the site at 12 PM on April 10, 2019. The sky was overcast but it was bright. Visibility was fairly poor, but aided by the use of polarized sunglasses. Temperature was about 40 degrees. I entered the pool in the western portion and immediately found two wood frog (*Lithobates sylvaticus*) egg masses.

In the vegetation along the western edge, I found a young Painted Turtle (*Chrysemys picta*). Throughout the western and northern portions of the pool I observed a variety of insects, including caddisfly larvae, back swimmers (Notonectidae), and water skaters (Gerridae).

Along the eastern margin of the pool I found a total of seven Spotted Salamander (*Ambystoma maculatum*) egg masses. Some of these masses were very newly-laid, still showing the black-and-white polar regions of embryos in the very earliest stages of development. My opinion is that over the coming days, it is not unreasonable to anticipate an increase in the number of egg masses present in the pool, based on the assumption that these very newly-laid eggs indicate on-going breeding activity.

Conclusions

The minimum requirements for official certification of vernal pool habitat by the Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Program were met on my visit to the Loker pool, confirming the vernal pool function of this pond. Though the egg mass counts were somewhat low, there is additional evidence of the value to wildlife habitat that this pond provides with the capture of a turtle and variety of invertebrates.



Two wood frog (*Lithobates sylvaticus*) egg masses found in the western portion of the pool.



Spotted Salamander (*Ambystoma maculatum*) egg masses were found in low numbers in the pool. Seven individual masses were observed.



A young painted turtle (*Chrysemys picta*) was captured in the shallow, vegetated west margin of the pool.

This water body has over 10,000 square feet of surface area and appears to rarely dry out completely. Its jurisdictional status under the Wetlands Protection Act Regulations is likely a pond, though I haven't been asked to comment on its jurisdictional status.

Status as vernal pool habitat is not dependent upon, nor indicative of jurisdictional status. Vernal pool function and wetland jurisdiction are entirely independent. The fact that this wetland may be a pond does not, therefore, preclude its designation as a vernal pool if it holds water for two months, is free of fish, and indicator wildlife use it for breeding.

This may not be a "typical" vernal pool in that it may not dry out on a regular basis, but the jurisdictional wetland does provide vernal pool habitat function and is eligible for official certification through the state.


If you have any questions or would like to discuss my observations or conclusions, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Thank you for requesting an evaluation of this habitat from me, it is my pleasure to help the Commission in better understanding this important wildlife habitat resource.

Sincerely,



Matthew R. Burne





Spotted Salamander (*Ambystoma maculatum*) egg masses were found in low numbers in the pool. Very recently-laid eggs (note white/black polarity in several embryos).

APPENDIX N:
Sports Lighting Photometric Plan


Will the sports lighting impact the area?

New technology in sports lighting allows the playing field to be lit for player safety, without spillage or glare reaching the surrounding roadways or residences.

[Lighting Photometrics](#) (Musco Sports Lighting, LLC)



LIGHTING – TECHNOLOGICAL IMPROVEMENTS



ENVIRONMENTAL GLARE IMPACT



Loker Soccer Field
Wayland, MA

Lighting System


Pole / Fixture Summary				
Pole ID	Fixture Qty	Fixture Qty	Luminaire Type	Lead
S1-S4	70	6	TLC-LED-1150	6,90 KW
4	24	24		27,60 KW

Circuit Summary				
Circuit	Description	Lead	Fixture Qty	
A	Soccer	27.6 MW	24	

Fixture Type Summary					
Type	Source	Wattage	L90	L10	L70
TLC-LED-1150	LED 5700K - 75 CRI	1150W	121,000	>81,000	>81,000
					24

Light Level Summary

Calculation Grid Summary									
Grid Name	Calculation Metric	Ave	Min	Max/Min	Ave/Min	Circuits	Fixture Qty		
Property Line	Horizontal	0.01	0	0.46	0.00	A	24		
Property Line	Max Candela (by Fixture)	330	0	15109	0.00	A	24		
Property Line	Max Vertical Illuminance Metric	0.02	0	0.95	0.00	A	24		
Soccer	Horizontal Illuminance	36.6	23	45	1.99	A	24		
Zero Grid	Horizontal	0.04	0	3	0.00	A	24		
Zero Grid	Max Candela (by Fixture)	1111	0	72360	0.00	A	24		
Zero Grid	Max Vert Illuminance (by Light Bank)	0.06	0	4	0.00	A	24		



From Hometown to Professional



Not to be reproduced in whole or part without the written consent of Musco Sports Lighting, LLC. ©1981-2018 Musco Sports Lighting, LLC.

Loker Soccer Field

Wayland, MA

GRID SUMMARY	
Name:	Soccer
Size:	330' x 195'
Spacing:	30.0' x 30.0'
Height:	3.0' above grade

ILLUMINATION SUMMARY	
MAINTAINED HORIZONTAL FOOT-CANDELES	
Guaranteed Average:	Entire Grid: 36
Scan Average:	35.64
Maximum:	45
Minimum:	23
Avg / Micr:	1.61
Guaranteed Max / Micr:	2.3
Max / Micr:	1.99
UG (adjacent pts):	1.67
CU:	0.88
No. of Points:	77

LUMINAIRE INFORMATION	
Color / CRI:	5700K ~75 CRI
Luminaire Output:	121,000 lumens
No. of Luminaires:	24
Total Load:	27.6 kW

Lumen Maintenance			
Luminaire Type	L90 hrs	L80 hrs	L70 hrs
TLC-LED-1150	>81,000	>81,000	>81,000

Reported per TM-21-11. See luminaire datasheet for details.


Guaranteed Performance: The ILLUMINATION described above is guaranteed per your Musco Warranty document and includes a 0.95 dirt depreciation factor.

Field Measurements: Individual field measurements may vary from computer-calculated predictions and should be taken in accordance with IESNA RP-6-15.


Electrical System Requirements: Refer to Amperage Draw Chart and/or the "Musco Control System Summary" for electrical siting.

Installation Requirements: Results assume a 3% nominal voltage at line side of the driver and structures located within 3 feet (1m) of design locations.

EQUIPMENT LIST FOR AREAS SHOWN					
CITY	LOCATION	SIZE	GRADE ELEVATION	Luminaires	
				QUANTITY	TYPE
4	ST-134	70	55'	6	TLC-LED-1150
TOTALS				24	0



Pole locations (⊕) dimensions are relative to 0.0 reference point(s) (⊕)



ENGINEERED DESIGN By: Markie Roake • File #191248A.R1 • 21-Jan-19



Not to be reproduced in whole or part without the written consent of Musco Sports Lighting, LLC. © 1981, 2019 Musco Sports Lighting, LLC.

ILLUMINATION SUMMARY

Loker Soccer Field Wayland, MA

GRID SUMMARY	
Name:	Zero Grid
Spacing:	30.0' x 30.0'
Height:	3.0' above grade

ILLUMINATION SUMMARY	
MAINTAINED HORIZONTAL FOOTCANDLES	
Scan Average:	0.05
Maximum:	3
Minimum:	0
Avg / Min:	-
Max / Min:	-
UG (adjacent pts):	2391.19
No. of Points:	933
Color / CRI:	5700K - 75 CRI
Luminaire Output:	121,000 lumens
No. of Luminaires:	24
Total Load:	27.6 kW

LUMINAIRE INFORMATION			
Luminaire Type	150 hrs	180 hrs	Lumen Maintenance
TLC-LED-1150	>81,000	>81,000	L70 hrs
			>81,000



Guaranteed Performance: The ILLUMINATION described above is guaranteed per your Musco Warranty document and includes a 0.95 dirt depreciation factor.

Field Measurements: Individual field measurements may vary from computer-based calculations and should be taken in accordance with IESNA RP-6-15.

Electrical System Requirements: Refer to Amperage Draw Chart and/or the "Musco Control System Summary" for electrical string.

Installation Requirements: Results assume ± 3% nominal voltage at line side of the driver and structures located within 3 feet (1m) of design locations.

EQUIPMENT LIST FOR AREAS SHOWN							
QTY	LOCATION	SIZE	FEEDS ELEVATION	WORKING HEIGHT	Luminaires LUMENS TYPE	THIS PAGE	OTHER PAGES
4	S1-S4	70'	-4'	66'	TLC-LED-1150	6	0
TOTALS						24	0



ENGINEERED DESIGN By: Markie Roake • File #191248AR1 • 21-Jan-19

Pole locations (S) dimensions are relative to 0,0 reference points (S)



Not to be reproduced in whole or part without the written consent of Musco Sports Lighting, LLC. © 1987, 2019 Musco Sports Lighting, LLC.

ILLUMINATION SUMMARY

Loker Soccer Field

Wayland, MA

GRID SUMMARY	
Name:	Zero Grid
Spacing:	30.0' x 30.0'
Height:	3.0' above grade

ILLUMINATION SUMMARY	
MAINTAINED MIN VERTICAL FOOT COUNCILS	
Scan Average:	0.66
Maximum:	4
Avg / Min:	0
Max / Min:	1928 / 78
UG (adjacent r2):	0.01
No. of Points:	933

LUMINAIRE INFORMATION	
Color / CRI:	5700K - 75 CRI
Luminaire Output:	121,000 lumens
No. of Luminaires:	24
Total Load:	27.6 kW

Luminaire Type	L90 hrs	L80 hrs	L70 hrs
TLC-LED-1150	>81,000	>81,000	>81,000

Reported per TM-21-11. See luminaire datasheet for details.

Guaranteed Performance: The ILLUMINATION described above is guaranteed per your Musco Warranty document and includes a 0.95 dirt depreciation factor.

Field Measurements: Individual field measurements may vary from computer-calculated predictions and should be taken in accordance with IESNA RP-6-15.


Electrical System Requirements: Refer to Amperage Draw Chart and/or the "Musco Control System Summary" for electrical sizing.

Installation Requirements: Results assume a 3% nominal voltage at line side of the driver and structures located within 9 feet (1m) of design locations.



We Make It Happen.
 Not to be reproduced in whole or part without the written consent of Musco Sports Lighting, LLC. ©1981-2019 Musco Sports Lighting, LLC.

ILLUMINATION SUMMARY



EQUIPMENT LIST FOR AREAS SHOWN							
QTY	LOCATION	SIZE	GRADE ELEVATION	MOUNTING HEIGHT	LUMINAIRE TYPE	LUMINAIRE QTY	THIS ORDER
4	S1-S4	70"	-4'	66"	TLC-LED-1150	6	0
TOTALS						24	0

Pole location(s) with diamond symbol are relative to 0.0 reference point(s)



SCALE IN FEET 1 : 150
 ENGINEERED DESIGN By: Markie Roake • File #191248AR1 • 21-Jan-19

Loker Soccer Field Wayland, MA

GRID SUMMARY	
Name:	Zero Grid
Span:	30.7 x 30.0'
Height:	2.0' above grade

ILLUMINATION SUMMARY	
MAINTAINED CANDELA (PER FOOTCANDLE)	
Scan Average:	1110.80
Maximum:	72360
Minimum:	0
Avg / Min:	-
Max / Min:	6264.35
US (adjacent po):	0.01
No. of Points:	933
CU:	0.01
Color / CRI:	5700K - 75 CRI
Luminaire Output:	121,000 lumens
No. of Luminaires:	24
Total Load:	27.6 kW

Lumen Maintenance	
Luminaire Type	L80 hrs
L70 hrs	>81,000
TIC-LED-1150	>81,000



Guaranteed Performance: The ILLUMINATION described above is guaranteed per your Musco Warranty document and includes a 0.95 dirt depreciation factor.

Field Measurements: Individual field measurements may vary from computer-calculated predictions and should be taken in accordance with IESNA RP-6-15.

Electrical System Requirements: Refer to Amperage Draw Chart and/or the "Musco Control System Summary" for electrical sizing.

Installation Requirements: Results assume ± 3% nominal voltage at line side of the driver and structures located within 3 feet (1m) of design locations.

EQUIPMENT LIST FOR AREAS SHOWN			
CITY	LOCATION	SIZE	QUANTITY
4	2154	70	6
TOTALS			24



ENGINEERED DESIGN By: Markie Roake • File #191248AR1 • 21-Jan-19

Pole location(s) dimensions are relative to 0.0 reference point(s)



Not to be reproduced in whole or part without the written consent of Musco Sports Lighting, LLC. ©1981, 2019 Musco Sports Lighting, LLC.

ILLUMINATION SUMMARY

Loker Soccer Field

Wayland, MA

GRID SUMMARY

Name: Property Line
 Spacing: 30.0'
 Height: 3.0' above grade

ILLUMINATION SUMMARY

MAINTAINED HORIZONTAL FOOTCANDLES

Entire Grid
 Scan Average: 0.0065
 Maximum: 0.46
 Minimum: 0.00
 No. of Points: 109

LUMINAIRE INFORMATION

Color / CRI: 5700K - 75 CRI
 Luminaire Output: 121,000 lumens
 No. of Luminaires: 24
 Total Load: 27.6 kW

Luminaire Type	L80 hrs	L80 hrs	L70 hrs	Lumen Maintenance
TLC-LED-1150	>81,000	>81,000	>81,000	>81,000


Reported per TM-21-11. See luminaire datasheet for details.

Guaranteed Performance: The ILLUMINATION described above is guaranteed per your Musco Warranty document and includes a 0.95 dirt depreciation factor.

Field Measurements: Individual field measurements may vary from computer-calculated predictions and should be taken in accordance with IESNA RP-6-15.

Electrical System Requirements: Refer to Amperage Draw Chart and/or the "Musco Control System Summary" for electrical sizing.

Installation Requirements: Results assume a 3% nominal voltage at the side of the driver and structures located within 3 feet (1m) of design locations.



Pole location(s) Ⓢ dimensions are relative to 0.0' reference point(s) Ⓢ

EQUIPMENT LIST FOR AREAS SHOWN

CITY	LOCATION	SIZE	GRADE ELEVATION	MOUNTING HEIGHT	Luminaires		
					QTY / FLE	THIS GRID	OTHER GRIDS
4	31-54	70'	-4'	66'	24	24	0
TOTALS					24	24	0




ENGINEERED DESIGN By: Markie Roake • File #191248AR1 • 21-Jan-19



Not to be reproduced in whole or part without the written consent of Musco Sports Lighting, LLC. ©1981-2019 Musco Sports Lighting, LLC.

ILLUMINATION SUMMARY

EQUIPMENT LIST FOR AREAS SHOWN								
CITY	LOCATION	SIZE	GRADE ELEVATION	MOUNTING HEIGHT	Luminaires			
					QTY	THIS POLE	OTHER GRID	
4	S1-S4	70"		60"	TLC-LED-1150	24	24	0
TOTALS						24	24	0



ENGINEERED DESIGN By: Markie Roake • File #191248AR1 • 21-Jan-19

Loker Soccer Field Wayland, MA

GRID SUMMARY

Name: Property Line
Spacing: 30.0'
Height: 3.0' above grade

ILLUMINATION SUMMARY

MAINTAINED MAX VERTICAL FOOT CANDLES

Entire Grid
Scan Average: 0.0172
Maximum: 0.95
Minimum: 0.00
No. of Points: 109

LUMINAIRE INFORMATION

Color / CRI: 5700K -75 CRI
Luminaire Output: 121,000 lumens
No. of luminaires: 24
Total Load: 27.6 kW

Luminaire Type	150 hrs	160 hrs	170 hrs
TLC-LED-1150	>81,000	>81,000	>81,000

Lumen Maintenance Reported per TM21-11. See upgrade datasheet for details.

Guaranteed Performance: The ILLUMINATION described above is guaranteed per our Musco 0.95 Warranty document and includes a 0.95 dirt depreciation factor.

Field Measurements: Individual field measurements may vary from computerized calculations and should be taken in accordance with IESNA RP-6-15.

Electrical System Requirements: Refer to Amperage Draw Chart and/or the "Musco Control System Summary" for electrical sizing.

Installation Requirements: Results assume ± 3% nominal voltage at line side of the driver and structures located within 3 feet (1m) of design locations.



We Make It Happen.

Not to be reproduced in whole or part without the written consent of Musco Sports Lighting, LLC. ©1981-2019 Musco Sports Lighting, LLC.

ILLUMINATION SUMMARY

Pole location(s) ⚡ dimensions are relative to 0.0 reference point(s) Ⓧ

Loker Soccer Field Wayland, MA

GRID SUMMARY

Name: Property Line
Spacing: 30.0'
Height: 3.0' above grade

ILLUMINATION SUMMARY

MAINTAINED CANDLE (PER FIXTURE)

Entire Grid
Scan Average: 330,0715
Maximum: 450,0877
Minimum: 0.00
No. of Points: 109

LUMINAIRE INFORMATION:

Color / CR: 5700K - 75 CRI
Luminaire Output: 12,000 lumens
No. of Luminaires: 27
Total Load: 27.5 KW

Luminaire Type	L80 hrs	L90 hrs	L70 hrs
TLC-LED-1150	>81,000	>81,000	>81,000


Reported per TM2-2-11. See Luminaire datasheet for details.

Guaranteed Performance: The ILLUMINATION described above is guaranteed per your Musco Warranty document and includes a 0.95 dirt depreciation factor.

Field Measurements: Individual field measurements may vary from computer-calculated predictions and should be taken in accordance with IESNA RP-2-15.


Electrical System Requirements: Refer to Ampereage Draw Chart and/or the "Musco Control System Summary" for electrical sizing.

Installation Requirements: Results assume ± 3% nominal voltage at line side of the driver and structures located within 3 feet (1m) of design locations.



EQUIPMENT LIST FOR AREAS SHOWN

CITY	LOCATION	SIZE	GRADE ELEVATION	ROUTING HEIGHT	LUMINAIRES		CITY	THIS	OTHER
					TYPE	QUANTITY			
4	31-01	70	-4	66	TLC-LED-1150		24	6	0
TOTALS							24	24	0



ENGINEERED DESIGN By: Markie Roake • File #191248AR1 • 21-Jan-19

Pole location(s) Ⓢ dimensions are relative to 0,0 reference point(s) Ⓢ



Not to be reproduced in whole or part without the written consent of Musco Sports Lighting, LLC. ©1981-2019 Musco Sports Lighting, LLC.

ILLUMINATION SUMMARY

Loker Soccer Field
Wayland, MA

EQUIPMENT LAYOUT

INCLUDES:
- Soccer

Electrical System Requirements: Refer to Amperage Draw Chart and/or the "Musco Control System Summary" for electrical sizing.



Installation Requirements: Results assume ± 3% nominal voltage at line side of the driver and structures located within 3 feet (1m) of design locations.

EQUIPMENT LIST FOR AREAS SHOWN

CITY	LOCATION	SIZE	HEIGHT	Luminaires		TOTALS
				QUANTITY	WATTAGE	
4	S1-S4	70'	4"	65'	TL-CLED-1150	6
						24

SINGLE LUMINAIRE AMPERAGE DRAW CHART

Ballast Specifications (See main power feed)	Line Amperage per Luminaire		Luminaire
	208	240	
Single Phase Voltage	208	240	277
TL-CLED-1150	952	850	852
	8.8	6.5	5.8
	5.1	4.1	3.7
	3.0		



Pole location(s) Ⓢ dimensions are relative to 0,0 reference point(s) ⊗

ENGINEERED DESIGN By: Markie Roake • File #191248AR1 • 21-Jan-19



Not to be reproduced in whole or part without the written consent of Musco Sports Lighting, LLC. © 1991, 2019 Musco Sports Lighting, LLC.

EQUIPMENT LAYOUT

Loker Soccer Field
Wayland, MA

GLARE IMPACT

Summary

Map indicates the maximum candela an observer would see when facing the brightest light source from any direction.

A well-designed lighting system controls light to provide maximum useful on-field illumination with minimal destructive off-site glare.


GLARE

Candela Levels

High Glare: 150,000 or more candela
Should only occur on or very near the lit area where the light source is in direct view. Care must be taken to minimize high glare zones.

Significant Glare: 25,000 to 75,000 candela
Equivalent to high beam headlights of a car.

Minimal to No Glare: 500 or less candela
Equivalent to 100W incandescent light bulb.



We Make It Happen.

Not to be reproduced in whole or part without the written consent of Musco Sports Lighting, L.L.C. ©1981, 2019 Musco Sports Lighting, L.L.C.