DESIGN BASIS REPORT SNAKE BROOK DAM

MA01119 WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS



PREPARED BY:

PARE CORPORATION 10 LINCOLN ROAD SUITE 210 FOXBORO, MASSACHUSETTS 02035

PARE PROJECT NO. 19167.00

APRIL 2020



SNAKE BROOK DAM

DESIGN BASIS REPORT

WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS

April 2020

prepared for: Town of Wayland Conservation Commission 41 Cochituate Road Wayland, MA 01778

prepared by: Pare Corporation 10 Lincoln Road Suite 210 Foxboro, MA 02035

Authority

The Town of Wayland has retained Pare Corporation (Pare) to evaluate conditions of the Snake Brook Dam in Wayland, Massachusetts and to complete evaluations and develop a scope of work to address known deficiencies at the dam. This inspection, report, and evaluations were performed in accordance with MGL Chapter 253, Sections 44-50 of the Massachusetts General Laws.

PREFACE

In accordance with our proposal, Pare Corporation (Pare) has completed preliminary evaluations and developed conceptual design approaches and alternatives for the Snake Brook Dam located in Wayland, Massachusetts and owned by the Town of Wayland (Town).

The intent of the completed evaluations sought to evaluate existing conditions at each of the components of the dam system, identify issues at each which would factor into the management program, and evaluate potential alternatives for the long-term management of each identified component.

The assessment of the condition of the dam is based upon available data, visual inspections, subsurface investigations, hydrologic and hydraulic studies, topographic surveys and stability analyses as well as supplemental information developed by others during previous evaluations of the dam.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection, along with data available to the inspection team and other information collected as part of the evaluation.

It is critical to note that the condition of the dam is evolutionary in nature and depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Allen **k**. Orsi, P.E. Massachusetts License No.: 46904 Vice President Pare Corporation



TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	i
1.0 BACKGROUND / EXISTING CONDITIONS	1
1.1 Snake Brook Dam	1
1.2 File Review	4
2.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS REVIEW	5
2.1 Data Collection	5
2.2 Pond Depth Survey	5
2.3 Subsurface Exploration Program	5
2.4 Underwater Inspection	7
2.5 Wetland Delineation	8
3.0 HYDROLOGIC & HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS	10
3.1 Background	10
3.2 Previous Analysis	10
3.3 Current Analysis	10
3.3.1 Rainfall	10
3.3.3 Hydrologic Parameters – Snake Brook Dam Watershed	12
3.3.5 Hydraulic Parameters – Existing Conditions	13
3.3.6 Existing Conditions Model Results	14
3.3.7 Conceptual Hydraulic Improvements	14
3.3.8 Tabulated Summary of H&H Model Results	14
3.3.9 Implications of Conceptual Conditions	14
4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS	16
4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS 4.1 Background	16 16
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS 4.1 Background 4.2 Previous Analysis 	16 16 16
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS 4.1 Background	16 16 16 16
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS 4.1 Background	16 16 16 16 17
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS 4.1 Background	16 16 16 17 17
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS 4.1 Background	16 16 16 17 17 19
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS Background	16 16 16 17 17 19 19
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS 4.1 Background	16 16 16 17 17 19 19 20
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS 4.1 Background	16 16 16 17 17 19 19 20 20
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS 4.1 Background	16 16 16 17 19 19 20 20
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS 4.1 Background	16 16 16 17 19 20 20 21
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS 4.1 Background	16 16 16 17 19 19 20 20 20 21 21
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS 4.1 Background	16 16 16 17 19 20 20 20 21 21 21
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS 4.1 Background	16 16 16 17 19 20 20 20 21 21 21 22
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS Background	16 16 16 17 19 20 20 21 21 21 22 22 22
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS 4.1 Background 4.2 Previous Analysis	16 16 16 17 19 20 20 20 21 21 21 22 22 22 23
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS 4.1 Background	16 16 16 17 19 20 20 20 21 21 21 22 22 22 23 23
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS 4.1 Background	16 16 16 17 19 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 22 22 22 23 24
 4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS Background	16 16 16 17 19 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 22 22 22 22 22 22 23 23 23



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Locus Plan Figure 2: Aerial Plan Figure 3: Hydrology Map

PHOTOGRAPHS

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Design Basis Level Drawings

Appendix B: Design Basis Level Opinions of Probable Costs

Appendix C: Underwater Inspection Reports

Appendix D: Wetland Delineation Supporting Information

Appendix E: Hydrology and Hydraulics Analyses

Appendix F: Seepage and Slope Stability Analyses

Appendix G: Historical Information

Appendix H: Visual Inspections and Report Limitations

Appendix I: Common Dam Safety Definitions

Appendix J: Previous Reports and References



1.0 BACKGROUND / EXISTING CONDITIONS

1.1 Snake Brook Dam

Location of the Project: Snake Brook Dam is located within Middlesex County in the Town of Wayland, Massachusetts. The dam impounds water along the Snake Brook to form the Old Wayland Reservoirs. The structure and impoundment are shown on the Wayland, Massachusetts USGS quadrangle map near coordinates 42.33425°N/71.34166°W. The dam is accessible through an easement at 68 Rice Road. The reservoir area is accessible from the dam as well as from the Hamlen Woods Conservation Area Lot, located west of Rice Road approximately 0.3 miles north of Woodridge Road. The dam is located at the southern end of the impoundment as indicated on Figure 1: Locus Plan.

Owner/Operator: The dam is currently owned by the Town of Wayland, Massachusetts. The Conservation Commission is responsible for operation and maintenance of the dam.

Table 1.1-1: Owner/Operator Information				
	Dam Owner	Dam Caretaker		
Name	Town of Wayland	Linda Hansen		
	Conservation Commission	Conservation Administrator		
Mailing Address	41 Cochituate Road	41 Cochituate Road		
	Wayland, MA 01778	Wayland, Ma 01778		
Daytime Phone	(508) 358-3669	(508) 3558-3669		
Emergency Phone	911	911		
Email Address	lhansen@wayland.ma.us	lhansen@wayland.ma.us		

Purpose of Dam: The dam currently impounds water for recreational purposes. The dam was reportedly constructed as part of the municipal water supply system; however, it has not been used for that purpose in some time.

Description of the Dam: Snake Pond Dam (National ID MA01119/State ID 4-09-315-03) is an approximately 130-foot long, 25-foot high earthen embankment dam with a trapezoidal shaped bedrock channel in the right abutment serving as the spillway as well as several piping systems (18-inch diameter line, a 10-inch diameter water supply line, and an 18-inch waste line) associated with the former water supply system.

The following provides a detailed description of the dam based upon observations made during the inspection, previous Phase I Reports, and a review of the historical documents including the original design drawings from 1878 (included within Appendix G of this report).

- **Dam Embankment:** The dam has a maximum structure height of approximately 25 feet and a hydraulic height of approximately 23.5 feet. The crest of the dam is approximately 15 feet wide. The upstream and downstream slopes are each approximately 1.5 horizontal to 1 vertical (1.5H:1V). The upstream slope is protected with riprap/stone lined. The downstream slope is vegetated. Bedrock outcrops are present in areas between the downstream channel of the spillway and the downstream slope/area of the dam embankment. The design top of the dam is reported at E1. 226 (converted from the 1978 plan datum to NAVD88).
 - *Composition:* Construction records indicate that the dam embankment has a stone masonry core wall, which extends from 0.5 feet above the normal pool elevation (El. 224.5) to the



base of the dam, which is reportedly bedrock for the entire length of the dam. A 4-foot thick clay blanket "PUDDLE" was constructed upstream of the masonry core wall, with the apparent intent of serving as the impermeable core of the dam; subsurface explorations completed as part of this project revealed that the "clay" blanket" is actually silt.. The depth to bedrock varied along the left to right alignment of the dam from outcrops near the dam crest level at the left and right abutments down as deep as El. 204 at its deepest section (in the vicinity of the outlet pipes. The remainder of the embankment (upstream and downstream of the core) is constructed of embankment fill (presumably random granular fill consisting of sands, gravel, and silts).

- Former Dam Embankment: According to the 1878 design drawing, a former dam embankment "Old Embankment" was in place under the downstream slope of the existing dam embankment and the new (existing) dam section was proposed on top of and upstream of the old section. The former dam embankment appeared to consist of a vertical stone masonry wall that supported the downstream face of an earthen embankment with an apparent crest elevation of El. $220\pm$ (6 feet below current design top of dam).
- *Spillway:* The spillway consists of a 10-foot-wide open channel, located approximately 50 feet northwest of the right abutment. A stone masonry "waste" weir was reportedly constructed at the upstream end of the spillway. A timber sluiceway and a siphon pipe installed to combat deposition of beaver debris currently act as regulating structures for the reservoir elevation. The upstream most portion of the spillway is in bedrock. The spillway channel downstream of the control is unlined with dry-laid stone masonry walls and passes through a 6-foot wide stone culvert near the right end of the dam. The downstream channel consists of a steep bedrock and boulder lined trapezoidal channel along the right downstream groin of the dam.
- *Water Supply Components:* The former water supply system at the dam consists of several components including the following:
 - 1) An upstream filter gallery collection system along the east (left) side of the reservoir with several intake lines that extend from the center of the impoundment to the left shoreline.
 - 2) A 10-inch diameter cast iron water supply intake line that extends from the filter well of the filter gallery along the left shore of the impoundment, along the pond bottom, through the dam embankment, and through the right upstream corner of the gatehouse structure.
 - 3) An 18-inch diameter cast iron conduit that extends from the upstream stone masonry wall at the upstream toe of the upstream slope of the dam, through the embankment, and through the right upstream corner of the gatehouse structure. The 18-inch line is supported on five stone piers along its alignment that all appear to extend down to bedrock.
 - 4) A stone masonry gatehouse structure that houses the components of the former pumping system of the former water supply system. The pumping system (which reportedly was put into operation during periods of necessary fire protection that required higher pressure within the 10-inch water supply distribution line) includes a series of valves and a former turbine that are situated between the 10-inch and 18-inch intake lines located at the upstream right corner and the sole 10-inch water supply distribution line that leaves the downstream left corner of the gatehouse (See #5 below). The bottom of the gatehouse is vertically irregular and appears to consist of bedrock.
 - 5) A 10-inch diameter water supply distribution line that extends from the downstream left corner of the gatehouse to the downstream area.
 - 6) A stone masonry "waste" culvert that extends from the base of the downstream right corner of the gatehouse to the downstream channel. The culvert measures at 1.5-foot wide by 2-



foot high at its upstream end at the gatehouse and 3-foot square at its downstream end at the downstream channel.

The flow through the piping within the gatehouse from the 18-inch and 10-inch intakes is controlled by valves; however, the operability of these valves is unknown at this time, and are presumably inoperable given apparent age and observed condition. The turbine is no longer present, and the system is no longer used for water supply. In the past, the gatehouse piping has reportedly been used as a low-level outlet (LLO) to lower the reservoir level by discharges to the gatehouse, through the stone culvert, and into the brook.

• *Waste Pipe System:* According to the 1907 plans, there is "waste" pipe system located along the right (West) shoreline of the impoundment. According to the plan it is controlled at the upstream end via a gate valve within an intake manhole and then flow is conveyed from the manhole to the spillway downstream channel via an 18-inch diameter. This structure has not been located as part of the ongoing evaluations.

Elevations: The following are pertinent elevations of the dam obtained from as-built drawings, previous Phase I Reports, as well as the detailed survey completed by Bay Colony Group, Inc. and several data collection visits completed by Pare as part of this project. Elevations presented below reference the NAVD 88 datum.

D	AM		
Top of Dam	El. 226.0		
Top of Core Wall	El. 225.0		
Normal Pool	El. 224.5		
Upstream Water during Inspection	El. 225.2		
WATER SU	PPLY PIPING		
18-inch Pipe			
Invert In	El. 210.5		
Invert (At Gatehouse)	El. 206.1		
10-inch Pipe			
Invert In	Various Intake along shoreline		
Invert (At Gatehouse)	El. 208.3		
Gatehouse Base	El. 207 to El. 200 (Variable Bedrock)		
Stone Culvert Invert In / Out	El. 202 ±		
WASTE PIPE			
Invert In	El. 221.5 (3 feet below NP)		
Invert Out	El. 218.5 ±		

Size Classification: Snake Brook Dam has a maximum structural height of approximately 25 feet and a estimated maximum storage capacity of 55 acre-feet (previously reported as 150 acre-feet). Therefore, in accordance with Department of Conservation and Recreation Office of Dam Safety classification, under Commonwealth of Massachusetts dam safety rules and regulations stated in 302 CMR 10.00, Snake Brook Dam is an **Intermediate** sized structure.

Hazard Potential Classification: Snake Brook Dam is located in a residential area of Wayland, MA. Woodridge Road and Rice Road are located downstream of the dam. There are several residences in the area immediately downstream of the dam. The Loker Elementary School is located approximately 0.4 mile downstream of the dam at an elevation below the normal pool level of the impoundment.



As such, it appears that a failure of the dam at maximum pool may cause loss of life and damage home(s), industrial or commercial facilities, secondary highway(s) or railroad(s) or cause interruption of use or service of relatively important facilities. Therefore, in accordance with Department of Conservation and Recreation classification procedures, under Commonwealth of Massachusetts dam safety rules and regulations stated in 302 CMR 10.00, Snake Brook Dam is classified as a **Class II** (**Significant**) hazard potential structure.

Inspections/Current Conditions: As part of this project, a visual inspection of the dam was completed in October 2019 and an underwater inspection of the low level outlet was completed on December 6, 2019. In general, Snake Brook Dam was found to be in **Fair** condition, similar to the condition stated in a previous Phase I Reports. The deficiencies observed at the Snake Brook Dam include:

- 1. Routine beaver activity / accumulated debris issues at the spillway often causing elevated pool levels, limited freeboard during normal operations, and restricted discharge capacity.
- 2. Inoperable low level outlet system and therefore no means of implementing a drawdown of the impoundment nor means of providing supplemental outlet capacity beyond the beaver/debris plagued primary spillway channel.
- 3. Steep and irregular downstream slope.
- 4. Areas of apparent sinkholes/vertical irregularities within the downstream area in the vicinity of the alignment of both the water supply distribution line and the stone culvert. Unknown if related to soil loss/settlement issues along either conduit alignment.
- 5. Areas of scarping along the water line along the upstream slope.
- 6. As determined as part of this project, inadequate discharge capacity to accommodate the spillway Design Flood (SDF), which for this dam is the 100-year storm event.
- 7. Areas of overgrown tree and brush vegetation along the dam embankment.
- 8. Gatehouse deficiencies including collapsed roof, inoperable door, and no safe access to mechanical equipment.
- 9. Sediment/leaf accumulation up to 1-foot above the level of the 18-inch conduit within the impoundment.
- 10. No Emergency Action Plan or Operations and Maintenance Plan

1.2 File Review

As part of this work, Pare completed a review of available files made available by both the Town and MADCR-ODS. The following is a list of the historical information that was made available by the Town and the MADCR that proved to be the highest value in the current project. This is a partial list and does not include all files and correspondence pertaining to the dam:

Table 1.1: Historical Data Available at the Town of Wayland and the MADCR				
Historical Information	Date	Description		
Reservoir and Land of the Wayland	1878	Original design drawings, full details of dam,		
Waterworks		water supply components, filter gallery, and		
		bathymetry of Upper and Lower Reservoirs		
Wayland Water Works Location of Reservoirs,	1907	Full Plan view of US system/reservoir with		
Dams, Proposed Filter, and Waste Pipe		details of filter gallery and waste pipe		
Haley & Aldrich Phase I Inspection Report	1999	Phase I Inspection Report		
GZA Phase I Inspection Report	2012	Phase I Inspection Report		
Haley & Aldrich Phase I Inspection Report	2017	Phase I Inspection Report		



2.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS REVIEW

2.1 Data Collection

The following inspections and data collection tasks were performed at the dam in support of this project:

- On October 25, 2019, a visual inspection and a preliminary survey were completed at the Snake Brook Dam to review conditions of the dam in support of the conceptual design alternatives. The preliminary survey collected relative elevations to support the H&H analysis, slope stability, and seepage analysis completed as part of this conceptual design report. The preliminary survey also included limited pond depth survey completed within the impoundment.
- A subsurface exploration program was completed between October 31 and November 1, 2019 along the dam embankment to collect existing subsurface conditions. Information collected during this investigation was utilized in the slope stability and seepage analysis of the embankment and a summary of findings is included within this report.
- A dive inspection was completed on December 6, 2019 to inspect the low-level outlet portion of the gatehouse that has not been accessible during the past inspection.
- A wetland delineation within the vicinity of the dam was completed on November 6, 2019 by Pare.
- An underwater / ROV inspection of the water supply components was completed by Inner Tech Marine Services and Mobile Robotics (with support and coordination from Pare) on December 6, 2019
- Detailed survey of the dam was completed by Bay Colony Group, Inc. (with support and coordination from Pare) on January 17, 2020.

2.2 Pond Depth Survey

Data and bathymetric surveys were completed in the impoundment to verify the depth of the reservoir as depicted within the 1878 original design plans. In general, the pond depth measurements were in agreement with the bathymetric contours provided within the 1878 plans; as such, these bathymetry contours were used to develop the below water portion of the existing conditions surface. Some very loose leaf and organic sediment debris is present in the impoundment bottom which was encountered during the underwater inspection. The depth of sedimentation in the area of the 18-inch intake line was estimated at approximately 4 feet (1 foot above the invert in of the 18-inch pipe, which according to the historical bathymetry contours and sections, is 4 feet above the pond bottom in that area)

2.3 Subsurface Exploration Program

A subsurface investigation was completed at the dam by Soil X Corp. of Leominster, Massachusetts and observed by Pare personnel from October 31 to November 1, 2019. The purpose of this subsurface investigation was to explore, confirm, and characterize the subsurface soil and groundwater conditions and to determine engineering soil properties for use within seepage and slope stability models of the existing embankment.



A total of three (3) test borings (B19-1, B19-2, and B19-3) were completed at the dam with one groundwater observation well installed within B19-2. The boring locations are shown on Sheet 2.0: Existing Conditions Plan. Boring logs are included in Appendix F: Seepage and Slope Stability Analysis.

Table 2.1: Surface Exploration Program Summary				
Boring ID	Location	Depth (feet)		
B19-1	Dam Crest; Upstream side, right of the gatehouse	26.5		
B19-2 (OW)	Dam Crest; Downstream side, right of the gatehouse	14.0		
B19-3	Left of the spillway channel culvert	12.5		

An observation well was installed in boring B19-2 at a depth of approximately 14 feet below the ground surface. The well consists of 10 feet of screen pipe and approximately 8 feet of riser pipe. Sand was placed around the pipe from 14 feet deep to 4 feet deep, follow by $\frac{1}{2}$ foot of bentonite chips. From a depth of 3.5 feet to the ground surface, the well was backfilled with gravel and 4 feet of standpipe was installed.

Findings: Subsurface profile collected during this investigation identified similar soil subsurface as presented in the historic plan. Approximately 4 inches of TOPSOIL was encountered in all borings. The following describes the subsurface soil profile in each boring:

- B19-1 consists of approximately 2 feet of medium dense FILL, overlying 14.3 feet of very loose to loose PUDDLED FILL, overlying SANDSTONE bedrock.
- B19-2 consists of 10 feet of loose to medium dense EMBANKMENT FILL, overlying approximately 1.5 feet of SILT, overlying approximately 1 foot of very dense SAND & GRAVEL, overlying presumed SANDSTONE bedrock.
- B19-3 consists of 5 feet of very dense SAND & GRAVEL, overlying SANDSTONE bedrock.

EMBANKMENT FILL was encountered within B19-1 and B19-2. Within B19-1. EMBANKMENT FILL was approximately 2 feet thick and is described as medium dense, black to brown, fine to coarse gravel and fine to coarse sand, trace silt, trace organics. Within B19-2. EMBANKMENT FILL is generally described as medium dense, gray to brown, fine to coarse sand, and fine to coarse gravel, "little" to "and" amount of fine to coarse sand, "trace" silt, and "trace" organics.

PUDDLED FILL was encountered within B19-1. PUDDLE FILL is generally described as very loose to loose, gray to black silt, with "little" to "and" amount of fine to coarse sand, "trace" to "little" amount of fine to coarse gravel, and "trace" organics.

SAND & GRAVEL was encountered within B19-3. SAND & GRAVEL is generally described as white to tan, fine to coarse gravel, "some" medium to coarse sand, "little" fine sand, "trace" silt.

SANDSTONE BEDROCK was encountered within B19-1 and B19-3, as determined by rock coring. Refusal in boring B19-2 was likely due to bedrock being encountered. Based upon a visual inspection of the rock core samples obtained from B19-1 and B19-3, bedrock consists of moderately strong to strong, gray, fine to medium SANDSTONE, interlaminated with Quartz, moderately weathered to fresh. The rock core samples were characterized as "very poor" to "good" using the Rock Quality Designation (RQD) value. As summary of rock core depth, length, and RQD is presented in Table 2.2.



Table 2.2: Rock Core Summary					
Boring No. Approximate Depth (ft)		Length (ft)Recovery (%)		RQD (%)	
B19-1	16.5 - 21.5	5.0	100	67	
B19-1	21.5 - 26.5	5.0	100	82	
B19-3	5.5 - 7.5	2.0	100	0	
B19-3	7.5 - 10.5	3.0	100	39	
B19-3	10.5 - 12.5	2.0	100	71	

Liquefaction: The liquefaction review completed during the seepage and slope stability analysis considers the soil and groundwater conditions encountered during the subsurface exploration indicated that the insitu soils do not appear to be susceptible to liquefaction at this time.

2.4 Underwater Inspection

An underwater inspection was completed on December 6, 2019 by Inner Tech Marine Services, LLC of Warwick, RI. The scope of the inspection was to locate and evaluate the current condition of the water supply components with a focus on assessing their potential use for low level outlet capability. The components inspected included the 18-inch intake pipe, the interior of the gatehouse, and the stone discharge culvert from the gatehouse to the downstream channel. The findings of the inspection along with video documentation are included within the underwater inspection report, prepared by Inner Tech Marine Service and Mobile Robotics, both of which are included within appendix C.

The following presents the general findings of the inspections:

- Underwater Dive Inspection:
 - The alignment and details of the water supply systems appear to be in agreement with the 1878 design plans, indicating that most if not all of the system had been installed per plan and little to no modifications have occurred since their original construction.
 - Due to 1-foot of leaf and sediment debris build up within the inlet end of the 18-inch pipe, the visibility within the area resulting from the stirred up sediment did not allow for a video inspection.
 - No trash rack was found at the upstream end of the pipe.
 - The 10-inch water supply intake pipe was found above the 18-inch outlet pipe and extending upstream with an alignment in general agreement with the plans.
 - The vertical stone wall located at the upstream end of the dam and 18-inch outlet pipe was felt by the Inner Tech Diver, although visual confirmation of the wall and condition of the wall was not possible due to the sedimentation.
- Gatehouse Interior Inspection
 - Only access to the base of the structure with all the components was via a 15-foot ladder. No signs of the original gatehouse floor, aside for possible fallen timbers and debris within the lower levels, was apparent.
 - The floor of the gatehouse appeared to be bedrock generally sloping rather steeply from left to right (however, the right half was under several feet of iron oxide stained water and actual bedrock could not be verified).
 - All components, although rusted, appear to be intact and generally along the alignment shown on the original 1878 detail. All components appeared to be plumb and all connections appeared to be sound.



- It was unclear which valves were open and which were closed and therefore it was unclear which pipes had the full head pressure of the reservoir and which ones did not.
- The 18-inch line appeared to have been tapped and plugged in one location with an approximately ½-inch plug located shortly downstream of the first T in the 18-inch line.
- The 10-inch distribution line that extended through the left end of the downstream wall of the gatehouse appeared to be intact and may or may not be under full head pressure from the reservoir.
- The stone culvert appeared to be clear of major debris as the downstream channel daylight could be viewed from the upstream end at the gatehouse.
- The water level within the gatehouse appeared to be about equal to the water level within the downstream channel as the downstream end of the stone culvert, indicating that the source of the source of the water (or at least the main source of the water) within the gatehouse was backwater from the downstream channel through the stone culvert.
- The walls of the gatehouse appeared to be sound with no apparent deficiencies noted. The walls were generally saturated throughout. Iron oxide stained seepage was present at the base of the walls at the interface with the apparent bedrock foundation.
- Stone Culvert Inspection (From DS End)
 - Several still photos were taken with the ROV from the downstream end of the stone culvert looking upstream. The culvert was not traversed with the ROV due to turbidity limiting visibility and sediment/debris preventing suitable subgrade for equipment mobility.
 - It was noted that one of the roof stones of the culvert (approximately 10 feet upstream of the downstream end appeared lower than the rest and may be partially collapsed.

The findings of the inspection were utilized to detail the existing conditions of the low-level outlet included within the drawings, and to aid in the design development of providing low level outlet capability as well as general gatehouse improvements.

2.5 Wetland Delineation

Wetland resource areas in the vicinity of the Snake Pond Dam in Wayland were defined and delineated in accordance with the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act Regulations (310 CMR 10.00, referred to as the WPA Regulations), and the methodology specified in the publications entitled Delineating Bordering Vegetated Wetlands under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act (Jackson, 1995) and the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: North Central and Northeast Region (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2012). Inspection and delineation of wetlands were completed on November 6, 2019.

Wetland resource areas within the vicinity of the site include an earthen dam with an impounded pond upstream of the dam and Snake Brook outlets downstream of the dam.

According to the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map for the area (Map Number 25017C0529F, effective date July 7, 2014), the area is not located in a delineated Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA). The downstream reaches of Snake Brook are located in a delineated SFHA stating at Thompson Street, located approximately 3,000 feet downstream of the dam and approximately 40 feet lower in elevation that the downstream toe of the dam.



According to the most recent available MassGIS data, no certified or potential vernal pools, Areas of Critical Environmental Concern, Outstanding Resource Waters, or mapped rare species habitats are located on or in the vicinity of the site.

A detailed description of the delineation and resource areas are included in Appendix D.



3.0 HYDROLOGIC & HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS

3.1 Background

The Snake Brook Dam is currently classified as an Intermediate size, Significant (Class II) hazard potential structure; as such, the spillway design flood (SDF) for the site is the 100-year flood (100-yr).

3.2 Previous Analysis

Based on the limited H&H analyses completed as part of the 1999 Phase I inspection report prepared by Haley & Aldrich, Inc., the 100-year peak inflow was estimated at 165 cfs and the maximum spillway capacity was estimated as 167 cfs. Therefore, the report concluded that the current spillway appears to have enough capacity to pass the SDF without overtopping the dam. No backup calculations were provided and the level of detail of these calculations is not known.

3.3 Current Analysis

As part of the current evaluation, GIS processing, LiDAR mapping, site specific data collection, and previous reports were utilized to perform an updated, detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analysis (H&H). All elevations reported within this analysis are in NAVD88.

3.3.1 Rainfall

Rainfall: The rainfall depth values and distribution curves for recurrent storm events (1-year through 1,000-year) were obtained from the NOAA Atlas 14 and were imported directly into HydroCAD Version 10.1-3a software. The table below presents the total rainfall depth value for each recurrent storm event.

Return Interval	NOAA Atlas 14 (in.)
1-year	2.7
2-year	3.3
5-year	4.3
10-year	5.1
25-year	6.3
50-year	7.1
100-year (SDF)	8.1
200-year	9.3
500-year	11.1
1,000-year	12.7

 Table 3.1: 24-hour Mean Precipitation Frequency Estimates

Note that this rainfall data, although it is believed to be the best available and is consistent with industry standards, does not explicitly take into account any potential future effects of climate change. Currently, there are no specific design guidelines to account for climate change. Additionally, to Pare's knowledge, there is no specific requirement to do within applicable design regulations. As such, Pare recommends that design of repairs consider future rainfall increases and incorporate some degree of conservatism to provide for resilient designs. Such conservative measures may include designing to a higher rainfall recurrence event such as the 500-year event or increasing the amount of freeboard provided by the selected repair approach.



3.3.2 Hydrologic Methodology – General

A rainfall-runoff model was developed in HydroCAD (Version 10.10-3a) using TR-55 Curve Number (CN) methodologies, the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) TR-20 runoff method, and the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Unit Hydrograph (UH) and time of concentration methodologies.

The NRCS UH method utilizes a best-approximate hydrograph based on the gamma equation (peak rate factor) that is scaled by the time lag to produce the unit hydrograph for the simulation. The lag is defined as the length of time between the centroid precipitation mass and the peak flow of the resulting hydrograph. The lag is a function of the time of concentration Tc, which was calculated for each watershed utilizing the segmental method (i.e. sheet flow, shallow concentrated flow, channel flow, etc.)

The CN represents the portion of the precipitation depth that will lead to runoff, and is a function of soil type (Hydrologic Soil Groups A through D) and land/ground cover (i.e. impervious surfaces (pavement, water, etc.; forests; grass) within the drainage area. An area with a high CN value (e.g., 98 for pavement/water surface, 90 for developed areas in Soil Group D, etc., 80 for forested wetlands in soil groups B) will have low water retention and a high runoff value while a low CN (e.g., 30 for a wooded area with permeable soils) indicates high infiltration and low runoff volume. The composite curve number (CN) used in the model of each watershed is an average CN value weighted by the percent area of each contributing land cover and soil type in the watershed. To develop the CN, ArcGIS software was used to join GIS based NRCS Soil Survey data layers and MassGIS based Land Cover data layers into a single data layer with discrete areas of unique soil groups and land cover data. A CN Look-Up table specific for Massachusetts and references NRCS TR 55 CN values was used to assign a CN value for each unique soil group and land cover area. The composite CN utilized for each a single watershed or sub-watersheds is an area-weighted average of the CN values contained within the limits of the drainage area. The following table presents a tabulated explanation of the CN and its meaning:

	Curve Number (CN) - Tabulated Explanation						
COLOR	CN	Perviousness General Percent of Rainfall Land Cover (LSG) Type and Subsoil Hydrologic S					
RAMP	Value	Category	Absorbed (Range)	Group (A,B,C,D)			
	30-40 Extremely Pervious 759		75% - 65%	GOOD LC in A Soils;			
	40-50	Very Pervious	65% - 55%	FAIR LC in A Soils; GOOD LC in B Soils			
	50-60	Pervious	55% - 45%	FAIR-POOR LC in A Soils; 'GOOD-FAIR LC in B Soils			
	60-70	Moderately Pervious	45% - 35%	GOOD-FAIR LC in B Soils; GOOD LC in C Soils			
	70-80	Less Pervious	35% - 25%	Poor LC in A Soils; 'GOOD LC in C-D Soils			
	80-90	Semi-Impervious	25% - 15%	FAIR-POOR LC in C-D Soils; 'GOOD LC in D Soils			
	98	Impervious	8%	IMPERVIOUS LC in All Soils			
	NOTES:						
	1. CN D	e finition : The runoff cu	rve number (RCN), also	o refereed to as the curve number (CN) is an empirical			
	paramet	er used in hydrology for	predicting direct runo	ff or infiltration from rainfall excess.			
	2. Table	developed utilizing NRC	CS TR55 Tables 2-2a th	rough 2-2d			
	3. Land	Cover Types (LC)					
	COOD	Forests, Brush, Pasture,	, Grassed Areas (Golf C	ourse, Lawn); All with good vegetation coverage (>75%			
	GOOD	ground cover)					
	FAID	All of GOOD only with Fair (50-75%) or Poor (<50%) Coverage, Residential Districts with lot sizes					
	FAIR	larger than 1/2 acre, Cultivated Agricultural Fields,					
	POOR	Urban districts, Gravel	and Dirt Roads				
IN	IPERVIO	Paved Areas (Roads, Pa	rking Lots, Driveways)	, Roofs, Surface Water (Ponds, Rivers)			
	4. Hydr	ologic Soil Groups (HSG	's):				
		Soils with low runoff po	otential and high infiltr	ation rates (deep well to excessively drained sands or			
	А	gravels)					
		Soils with moderate inf	iltration rate (modera	tely deep to deep, moderately well to well drained soils			
	with moderately fine to moderately coarse textures)						
	Soils with low infiltration rates (soils with a layer that impedes downward movement of water a			yer that impedes downward movement of water and			
	L	soils with moderately f	ine to fine structure)				
		Soils with very low infil	tration rates (clay soils	with a high swelling potential, soils with a permanent			
	D	high water table (wetla	nds), soils with a clayp	an or clay layer at or near the surface and shallow soils			
		over nearly impervious	material)				



3.3.3 Hydrologic Parameters – Snake Brook Dam Watershed

To complete the hydrologic analysis, the drainage area for the watershed was delineated utilizing USGS Streamstats with manual adjustments made to the drainage area perimeter utilizing LiDAR data and site reconnaissance.

As shown within the HydroCAD Reports provided as an Appendix to this report, the upstream contributing drainage area to the Snake Brook impoundment was separated into two subbasins as identified within the table below.

	Tuble 5.5. Blake Drook Dull Drullage fifeus figurologie Furulleters							
	Description	Size		%	CN	Tc	Peak Ru	noff (cfs)
DAID		Acres	Sq. mi	Impervious	CN	(hrs)	10-yr	100-yr
DA 1	Eastern portion of DA leading to a trail crossing off of Rice Road	287	0.44	3	57	5.2	50	125
DA 2	Western portion of the DA leading to the dam that controls the upper reservoir	223	0.35	2	50	4.7	30	80
Tota	ls at Snake Brook Dam	510	0.80	-	-	-	65	205

 Table 3.3: Snake Brook Dam Drainage Areas - Hydrologic Parameters

Based upon observed conditions at the site and a review of LiDAR terrain data, the likelihood of a tailwater developing downstream of the dam that would result in limiting the outlet capacity of the dam is unlikely, primarily due to the steep downstream channel. As such, downstream drainage areas and hydraulic structures (i.e. roadways crossings, dams, etc.) were not included in the modelling of Snake Brook Dam and free discharge conditions was assumed at the dam.

These parameters were developed based upon existing land cover and do not take into account the potential for future development within the drainage areas. Should future development occur within either subbasin area, these analyses should be revised accordingly to assess the impact that the development has on the runoff to the Snake Brook impoundment and hydraulic results at the dam. Ideally, development within the drainage areas would be controlled in a manner to force all development to be constructed in such a way that the hydrologic conditions within the drainage area are noe changed (i.e. through stormwater detention ponds to offset the impact of the development).

Alternative to implementing development limitations, hydrologic and hydraulic analyses utilized for design could consider maximum build-out conditions based upon current zoning regulations.

3.3.4 Hydrology Model Results and Calibration Check

No FEMA analyses, detailed H&H analyses, or stream gauge data in the vicinity of the dam was available to use for calibration. As such, the hydrology calibration check was completed utilizing statewide peak flow regression equations that are readily available through the USGS Stream Stats program¹. The tabulated summary below presents the results of the hydrologic model (peak inflow and outflow into/from the Snake Brook impoundment) compared with the range of peak flows predicted for a drainage area of this



¹ Zarriello, P.J., 2017, Magnitude of flood flows at selected annual exceedance probabilities for streams in Massachusetts: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2016–5156, 54 p., <u>https://doi.org/10.3133</u>/sir20165156

Table 3.4: Calibration Summary					
	10- year 50- ye (CFS) (CFS		100 – year (CFS)	500 – year (CFS)	
Model Peak Inflow	65	155	205	345	
Model Peak Outflow	60	150	195	345	
Regression Range	30 - 140	50 - 240	60 - 300	80 - 430	

size (and other characteristics) utilizing statewide regression equations.

Based on a comparison between the model results and the predicted range of peak flows estimated through statewide regression equations, the model appears to be within the predicted range of peak flows for most of the storm events evaluated.

3.3.5 Hydraulic Parameters – Existing Conditions

The hydraulic structures that were included within the model included the following from Upstream to Downstream:

- 1. Stream Crossing Through Trail off of Rice Road (Contributing DA: DA 1): Earthen trail embankment with a 4-foot± wide trapezoidal channel
 - a. Storage Characteristics

	i. Pond Bottom	El. 223	0 acre-feet
	ii. Normal Pool	El. 225.4	2 acre-feet
	iii. Top of Trail	El. 226.5	3 acre-feet
b.	Hydraulic Structures		
	i. Control Elevation	El. 225.4	(Estimated from LiDAR)
	ii. Top of Trail	El. 226.5	(LiDAR)

2. Upstream Dam (Contributing DA: DA 2): Earthen dam embankment with a 4-foot± wide trapezoidal channel

a.	Storage Characteristics		
	i. Pond Bottom	El. 221	0 acre-feet
	ii. Normal Pool	El. 225.5	12 acre-feet
	iii. Top of Dam	El. 227	20 acre-feet
b.	Hydraulic Structures		
	i. Control Elevation	on El. 225.5	(Estimated from LiDAR)
	ii. Top of Dam	El. 227.0	(LiDAR)

- 3. Snake Brook Dam (Contributing DA: DA 2): Earthen dam embankment with a 4-foot± wide trapezoidal channel
 - a. Storage Characteristics

i.	Pond Bottom	El. 206.5	0 acre-feet
ii.	Normal Pool	El. 224.5	30 acre-feet
iii.	Current Design TOD	El. 226	40 acre-feet
iv.	Proposed Design TOD	El. 228	58 acre-feet

- iv. Proposed Design TOD El. 228
- b. Hydraulic Structures



i.	Spillway Channel	El. 224.5	(Survey)
ii.	TOD Current (Min)	El. 225.5	(Survey)
iii.	Proposed Design TOD	El. 228	(Survey)

3.3.6 Existing Conditions Model Results

Upon completion of the H&H analyses of the existing conditions, it was determined that the current dam system with a spillway fully cleared of debris and flowing freely (which is not the current condition with the apparent beaver debris and past beaver deceiver devices, all of which are significantly reducing the current hydraulic capacity of the spillway) is predicted to overtop during storm events in excess of the 2-year storm events (3.3 inches of rain / 24 hours). In addition, during the SDF (100-year storm event), the entire dam overtops between 0.5 and 1.2 feet, which would likely cause dam failure.

3.3.7 Conceptual Hydraulic Improvements

Given the findings of completed H&H evaluations, significant hydraulic improvements are necessary to allow the dam to accommodate the SDF. Design constraints considered in developing the conceptual design approach include maintaining similar peak discharges during storm events, limiting the horizontal extent of the required rehabilitation program, a limiting the overall cost of the modifications. Given these constraints, a dam raise was identified as the most practical approach.

The conceptual improvement program includes raise the crest of the dam raise to EL. 228.0 (2 feet above current design top of dam and 2.5 feet above current low point of dam crest). At this elevation, assuming that the spillway remains clear of debris, it is predicted that the dam will be able to accommodate the 100-year storm event with 3 inches of freeboard remaining at the dam with no operation of the low level outlet required. Additional freeboard could be provided if the low level outlet system (once rehabilitated as part of this project) is operated in advance of and/or during the storm event.

3.3.8 Tabulated Summary of H&H Model Results

The following presents the model results at the Snake Brook Dam under a variety of storm events for both existing conditions and with the proposed hydraulic improvements (i.e. dam raise to El. 228):

Tuble eler fileri filoder Results Summury							
Storm	Exis	ting Conditions		Conceptual Condition (Dam Raise to El. 228)			
Event	Outflow (cfs)	WSEL (ft)	FB (ft)	Outflow (cfs)	WSEL (ft)	FB (ft)	
10-year	60	226.0	- 0.5	60	226.3	1.7	
50-year	150	226.6	- 1.1	135	227.3	0.7	
100-year	195	226.7	- 1.2	175	227.8	0.2	

Table 3.5: H&H Model Results Summary

3.3.9 Implications of Conceptual Conditions

Raising the dam crest to El. 228 will effectively provide a dam capable of accommodating the spillway design flood event, reducing the potential for overtopping and subsequent failure. However, the dam raise will result in higher pool levels within the impoundment as evident from the table (50-year pool is 0.7 feet higher and 100-year pool is 1.1 feet higher).

• Based upon a limited review of the impoundment perimeter, the elevated pool levels do not



appear to have a negative impact on any structures or infrastructure.

• This elevated pool level will also result in a slightly larger storage volume being retained by the dam, which could result in an increased inundation footprint and larger dam breach in the event that the dam does fail during a time when the pool level is elevated. This should be taken into account when developing the EAP as part of this project.



4.0 SEEPAGE AND SLOPE STABILITY EVALUATIONS

4.1 Background

The embankment slopes of the Snake Brook Dam are steeper (1.5H:1V) than what is typically recommended for earthen embankment dams. The upstream slope was not visible during inspection due to the reservoir level at the time of the inspection. The downstream slope is covered with dense vegetation and dead tree stumps. However, no indication of immediate seepage or embankment instability were noted during previous reports or the current inspection.

4.2 Previous Analysis

No previous seepage and slope stability analyses were available for the Snake Brook Dam during the review and preparation of this design report.

4.3 Current Analysis

Seepage and slope stability analysis were completed for the embankment of the Snake Brook Dam as part of this project. Historic drawings and site data collection completed as part of this study were used to develop the cross section of the dam embankment that was used for the analyses.

A detailed description of the methodology and results of the calculations performed for existing conditions as well as for recommendation for repairs are included within the seepage and slope stability calculation package included within the Appendices of this report. The following is a brief summary of the methodology, results, and recommendations.

Data collected as part of the subsurface exploration program and data survey were utilized to develop a worst-case cross section for the dam embankment. GeoStudio Seep/W and Slope/W software was utilized to develop finite element models to assess the seepage and slope stability of the embankment.

The dam embankment was analyzed for three loading conditions (Case I: Steady State, Case II: Rapid Drawdown, and Case III: Seismic) as specified within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts dam safety rules and regulations stated in 302 CMR 10.00.

Geotechnical calculations were employed to develop engineering properties for the soil layers in support of the seepage and stability analysis and to assess liquefaction potential of the soil layers. The following table summarizes the soil properties developed based on the subsurface investigation:

Soil Layer	(N _{ave}) (Blows/ft)	Dr (%)	Angle of Internal Friction (°)	Dry Unit Weight (pcf)	Sat. Unit Weight (pcf	Porosity Saturated Water Content	Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (ft/sec)	Residual Water Content
Embankment Fill	17	60	34	109	131	0.19	1.64E-5	0.035
Engineered Fill	N/A	85	38	140	150	0.19	1.2E-5	0.035
Puddle Fill (Silt)	5	50	29	87	117	0.47	1.2E-7	0.1
Sand & Gravel	60	90	42	119	137	0.21	1.2E-5	0.035
Riprap	N/A	N/A	43	N/A	150	0.12	8.2E-4	0.01
Rock Core Wall	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	160	0.15	0.1	0.035
Rock Upstream Wall	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	160	0.1	3.28E-12	0.035
Sandstone	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05	3.28E-12	N/A

Table 4.1 Soil Properties of Existing and Conceptual Embankment Materials



4.3.1 Seepage and Slope Stability Analysis (Existing Conditions)

The following elevations were utilized in the model:

- Top of dam: El. 226 ft
- Top of core wall: El. 225.5 ft
- o Normal pool: El. 224.5 ft
- o Maximum pool: El. 226 ft

The following table presents the results of the models for the existing dam:

Design Case	Pool Level	Upstre	am slope	Downstream Slope		
Design Case		Required FOS	Calculated FOS	Required FOS	Calculated FOS	
Standy State	Normal Pool	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.1	
Sleady State	Maximum Pool	N/A	N/A	1.4	1.0	
Danid Drouvdown	Normal Pool	1.2	1.2	N/A	N/A	
Rapid Drawdown	Maximum Pool	1.1	1.2	N/A	N/A	
Seismic	Normal Pool	>1.0	1.0	>1.0	1.1	

Table 4.2: Existing Condition Slope Stability Factor of Safety (FOS)

Bold values indicate calculated factors of safety lower than the recommended minimum factors of safety.

Based on these results, the downstream slope of the dam embankment did not meet the minimum required factors of safety during both normal and maximum pool steady state conditions. Improvements are necessary to meet the minimum required factor of safety and prevent the dam from failure.

The results of the seepage analysis did not indicate any seepage related concerns.

4.3.2 Seepage and Slope Stability (Conceptual Conditions)

The following elevations were utilized in the model:

- Top of dam: El. 228 ft
- Top of core wall: El. 227.5 ft
- o Normal pool: El. 224.5 ft
- o Maximum pool: El. 227.5 ft

Raising of the dam crest and flattening of the downstream slope to 2H:1V was assumed to be completed utilizing imported "Engineered Fill", which consists of a well graded sand and gravel with 10% silt content.

The following table presents the results of the conceptual conditions for the dam:

Table 4.5. Conceptual Condition Factor of Balety (FOB)						
Design Case	Dool Lovol	Upstre	am slope	Downstream Slope		
Design Case	r ooi Levei	Required FOS	Calculated FOS	Required FOS	Calculated FOS	
Standy State	Normal Pool	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.7	
Steady State	Maximum Pool	N/A	N/A	1.4	1.7	
Panid Drowdown	Normal Pool	1.2	1.3	N/A	N/A	
Kapiu Drawdown	Maximum Pool	1.1	1.3	N/A	N/A	

 Table 4.3: Conceptual Condition Factor of Safety (FOS)



Snake Brook Dam	Seepage and Slope Stability Evaluations

Calanala	Name al Da al	>10	1.0	> 1.0	1.2	
Seismic	Normal Pool	>1.0	1.0	>1.0	1.5	
Bold values indicate calculated factors of safety lower than the recommended minimum factors of safety.						

Based on these results, the conceptual embankment improvements (i.e. regrading the downstream slope, raising the top of dam to El. 228, and raising the top of the core wall to El. 227.5) results in all factors of safety for slope stability being met or exceeded.

The results of the seepage analysis did not indicate any seepage related concerns.



5.0 REPAIR APPROACH ALTERNATIVES

5.1 **Project Approach Alternatives**

As part of this project, Pare developed the following design basis level repair approach alternatives to address the noted deficiencies at the Snake Brook Dam. Two alternatives were developed. Alternative I is a rehabilitation alternative (recommended alternative) that involves implementing repairs at the dam in order to rehabilitee the dam structure to meet all dam safety requirements. Alternative II is to remove the dam and restore the site to a natural stream channel.

The scope of Alternative I: Rehabilitation would generally include the following; detailed descriptions and design approach options are provided in Section 5.2.

- a. Embankment Work:
 - i. Raise Core Wall to El. 227.5 (2 feet above existing)
 - ii. Raise dam crest to El. 228.0 (0.5 to 2.5 feet above existing)
 - iii. Regrade downstream slope to 2H:1V (of flatter if desired by the Town)
 - iv. Establish maintainable grass vegetation throughout site
- b. Spillway Improvements
 - i. Remove beaver debris and previous beaver deceiver devices
 - ii. Install new control weir
 - iii. Install new beaver deceiver/deterrence devices
- c. Establish Low Level Outlet Capabilities
 - i. Install Upstream Low-Level Outlet Control System
 - ii. Slip Lining Existing 18-inch Low-Level Outlet
 - iii. Establishing a restored outlet conduit
 - iv. Addressing other gatehouse concerns
- d. Establish Mid Level Outlet Capabilities
 - i. Complete improvements/modifications to the existing 18-inch diameter "waste line" to restore its ability to provide mid-level outlet capabilities as both a beaver deterrent action as well as a simpler means of implementing routine 3-foot drawdowns of the impoundment.

The scope of Alternative II: Removal would generally include the following; detailed descriptions are provided in Section 5.3.

The scope of the removal alternative would generally include:

- e. Address Downstream Impacts:
 - i. Complete hydraulic modelling to determine the extent of increased inundation expected as the result of loss of attenuation provided by the current dam.
 - ii. Coordinate with FEMA as required to evaluate and determine the necessary actions needed to address increased downstream flooding resulting from dam removal
 - iii. Implement flood mitigation improvements to downstream infrastructure as needed.
 - iv. Implement improvements to the upstream dam and/or remove the upstream dam if determined to be negatively affected by the removal of the tailwater created by the Snake Brook Dam.
- f. Sediment Removal:
 - i. Remove sediment within the impoundment if needed to prevent downstream migration post dam removal.
- g. Remove Dam:



i. Excavate the dam embankment in the area of the low level outlet to restore the site to a natural stream channel.

5.2 Alternative I: Rehabilitation

5.2.1 Embankment Work

The scope of embankment improvements conceptually would include:

- **i.** Raise the Core Wall to El. 227.5: The peak predicted pool level during the SDF is approximately EL. 227.8. To limit the potential for concentrated seepage flow over the current top of the core wall (El. 225) it is recommended to raise the top of the core wall to El. 227.5. To complete this, it is anticipated that the crest will be excavated down to expose the top of the existing core wall and the core wall will be extended through mortared stone masonry or reinforced concrete construction.
- **ii. Raise the Dam Crest:** Raise the dam crest to El. 228 as shown on the attached drawings. To achieve tie into the abutment, the following work is anticipated:
 - **a.** Left Abutment: Extend the embankment approximately 10-feet into the abutment to match elevated grade at the steep left abutment slope.
 - **b.** Right Abutment: Extend the embankment; given the flatter topography, this extension will be on the order of 170 feet in a non-linear alignment. Two potential alignments were identified including 1) Across the spillway channel culvert, upstream along the right side of the channel, and to the right abutment, and 2) Upstream through the upland between the spillway and dam, through the spillway alignment, and to the right abutment. Conceptually, the second alignment is preferred as it is anticipated to require less imported fill material and reduce impacts to areas not disturbed as part of other anticipated site work.
- **iii. Regrade Downstream Slope:** Regrade the downstream slope to a more maintainable slope, 2H:1V, or flatter if desired by the Town. Some minor modifications to the slope, such as low retaining walls, may be needed in the area of the gatehouse.
- **iv.** Grass Establishment: Establish a maintainable surface coverage within the limits of the embankment, expected to primarily be maintainable grass.

5.2.2 Spillway Improvements

The scope of spillway improvements conceptually would include:

- i. **Remove Debris and Previous Beaver Deceivers:** Remove all current debris and previous beaver deceive devices down to the bedrock channel.
- **ii. Install Control Weir:** Remove bedrock and existing stone masonry walls to subgrade for the new control weir. Install the new cast-in-place concrete control weir. Reconstruct the disturbed sections of the training walls.
- **iii. Install Beaver Deceiver Device:** Install a new beaver deceiver / deterrent device upstream of the spillway as well as several bypass pipes through the new spillway control weir. Several alternatives are presented within the drawings.



5.2.3 Low Level Outlet Restoration

Based on the result of the dive inspection performed to locate the low-level outlet, the low-level outlet is inaccessible and covered with sediment. The operation of the outlet is unknown. Pare recommends a new low-level outlet system upstream of the gatehouse with the following alternatives:

5.2.3.1 Upstream Control

Install control system at the upstream end of the 18-inch outlet pipe to restore low level outlet capabilities and also to eliminate the charged line through the embankment. Three alternatives were developed during this design basis report and include the following:

Alternative 1: Concrete wall and slide gate control system

- Extend the upstream end of the 18-inch conduit to the location of a new concrete headwall
- Construct a new concrete headwall with an 18-inch slide gate mounted to the upstream face.
- Install a catwalk to provide access to the slide gate operating nut.
- Provide security devices to prevent unauthorized operation of the gate.

Alternative 2: Valve control system with sloping stem along the upstream slope

- Install a valve at the upstream end of the 18-inch pipe.
- Equip the valve with a stem that is installed along and is secured to the riprap along the upstream slope.
- Provide security devices to prevent unauthorized operation of the gate.

Alternative 3: Valve control system with vertical stem and steel frame tie back

- Install a valve at the upstream end of the 18-inch pipe
- Equip the valve with a vertical stem that is supported with a vertical steel frame system
- Install a vertical steel frame support system with tie backs to the upstream slope as needed for support.
- Install a catwalk to provide access to the valve
- Provide security devices to prevent unauthorized operation of the gate.

5.2.3.2 Conduit Improvement Program

The 18-inch outlet line that extends from the upstream toe of the embankment to the gatehouse was not able to be inspected due to debris and sediment within the conduit. As such, its condition is unknown. However, the exterior of the pipe as viewed from the gatehouse interior appears sound and may also be indicative of the interior. At a minimum, the pipe will likely be sound enough to facilitate a lining system. The lining alternatives identified are:

- A cure-in-place pipe (CIPP) epoxy resin type system that is applied to the interior of the existing conduit.
- A smaller new conduit (i.e. 14-inch diameter HDPE pipe) that is installed within the existing conduit and the annulus between the two pipes filled with a non-erodible fill (i.e. cementitious grout)



In addition to slip lining the 18-inch line, it is recommended to abandon the 10-inch water supply intake line through the installation of cementitious fill.

5.2.3.3 Restore Outlet Culvert

Establish a restored outlet conduit from the downstream end of the 18-inch outlet pipe to the downstream channel. Three alternatives were developed during this design basis report and include the following:

Alternative 1: Open Cut Replacement

- Cut the 18-inch pipe at the gatehouse.
- Extend the 18-inch conduit through the downstream wall of the gatehouse and through the downstream area to the downstream channel. To facilitate replacement, an open cut excavation of the area downstream of the gatehouse will be required.
 - The open cut could extend down to the level of the existing store culvert to facilitate the removal of that culvert as part of the work.
 - Alternatively, the existing stone culvert could be abandoned in place through installation of a non-erodible backfill (i.e. cementitious grout)
- Install a new headwall at the downstream end of the conduit at the downstream channel.

Alternative 2: Slip Line Stone Culvert

- Cut the 18-inch pipe at the gatehouse.
- Extend the 18-inch line with two vertical bends along its alignment to the entrance of the existing stone culvert.
- Extend the 18-inch line through the existing stone culvert through sliplining procedures. (Dependent on the stone culvert's ability to accept the 18-inch (or smaller if needed) line.
- Fill voids between the pipe and the culvert with a non-erodible fill (i.e. cementitious grout).
- Install a new headwall at the downstream end of the conduit at the downstream channel.

Alternative 3: Extended 18-inch conduit to existing stone culvert

This would likely represent the most cost effective alternative; however, it is dependent on the existing stone culvert being in suitable enough condition to function through the project design life subject to routine flows from the low level outlet system.

- Cut the 18-inch pipe at the gatehouse.
- Extend the 18-inch line with two vertical bends along its alignment to the entrance of the existing stone culvert
- Provide a concrete bulkhead at this connection to prevent backwater flow into the gatehouse.

5.2.3.4 Gatehouse Improvements:

Complete improvements at the gatehouse structure inclusive of:

- Remove and salvage (if desired by the Town) unused components. While all components could be removed, removal could also be limited to sections which interfer with proposed structures.
- Backfill the base of the structure up to the level of the new outlet conduit.



- Provide secondary closure (i.e. valve) along the 18-inch line.
- Plug the 10-inch water supply distribution line at the downstream left corner of the gatehouse.
- Establishing access to the base of the structure, either through a ladder or fabricated stair system.
- Establishing a floor both at the exterior ground level (operating deck) and at the base of the structure.

In addition to these modifications, work within the gatehouse could also include modification of the low level outlet pipe to include a vertical riser to an elevation equal to normal pool elevation. At this level, a control weir could be constructed to provide uncontrolled discharge capacity. While plausible, this approach would require additional modifications within the gatehouse due to potential interference with the gatehouse roof structure.

5.2.4 Establish Mid Level Outlet Capabilities

Complete improvements/modifications to the existing 18-inch diameter "waste line" to restore its ability to provide mid-level outlet capabilities as both a beaver deterrent action as well as a simpler means of implementing routine 3-foot drawdowns of the impoundment.

5.2.5 Incidental Work Items

The scope of work for the rehabilitation program is anticipated to include:

- 1) General Requirements: Complete work plans, submittals, project coordination, QA/QC testing, contract bonds, and other general requirement work items.
- 2) Mobilization and Demobilization: Mobilize equipment and material, establish staging and access areas, maintain site throughout construction, clean and restore site at project completion, demobilize.
- **3) Erosion and Sediment Control:** Install and maintain erosion and sediment controls inclusive of straw bales, silt fence, turbidity barrier, and dewatering basins. Remove controls at project completion.
- 4) Clearing and Grubbing: Remove of trees and other unwanted vegetation along the length of the dam. Extent of clearing would include, at a minimum, to at least 20-feet beyond the limits of the existing and conceptual dam embankment alignment, along the downstream slope below the gatehouse, and within 20 feet of the spillway structure.
- 5) Control of Water: Implement a partial drawdown of the impoundment to allow for the work to be completed (primarily for the low level outlet work which is located at the deepest portion of the impoundment and will be occurring at the impoundment bottom). A 6.5-foot drawdown down to El. 218 was considered a feasible drawdown level that would allow the Contractor to utilize a Port-a-dam or similar method for the upstream cofferdam. Without a low-level outlet, the drawdown could be implemented with a combination of pumping and/or siphoning. The drawdown would be maintained through a smaller siphoning system with a contingent siphoning system in place to be used in the event of a large storm event that threated to overtop the cofferdam.

6) Other Items as Desired by the Town



5.3 Alternative II: Removal

Prior to fully developing a dam removal design, certain evaluations would need to be completed to identify and address all negative impacts associated with dam removal. Potential negative impacts include scouring and increased differential hydraulic loading on upstream infrastructure (mainly just the upstream dam), and hydraulic changes to the downstream infrastructure along the river channel including increases in flow rate, flow velocity, and flow depths which in turn could result in a greater lateral extent of inundation. As the dam currently does serve to attenuate a portion of the storm events, there are likely some improvements needed to the downstream area to limit the impact to the downstream infrastructure. Also given that the scope of this project would be to remove a flood attenuation structure, although it is not located in a designated SFHA, it is recommended to coordinate with FEMA or the local/state floodplain manager to determine if special permitting/approval processes are needed.

Sediment removal within the impoundment may also be required to prevent the release of sediment downstream post dam removal.

The physical removal of the dam would likely be best located along the alignment of the current water supply components, which is the tallest section of the dam and according to the original design drawings appears to be where the natural channel was located. Removal geometry would likely consist of a trapezoidal channel with a set base width and 2H:1V side slopes. Alternative to the limited trapezoidal channel, given the bedrock at the abutments, it may be worthwhile to remove all the earthen material down to bedrock and have a natural bedrock channel.

5.4 Recommended Approach

It is Pare's understanding the removal is not currently the preferred approach for addressing dam safety deficiencies at the site. However, it has been presented within this report for completeness. Further, given the potential additional work items and studies associated with the dam removal alternative, along with the lower cost associated with the rehabilitation alternative, the recommended alternative at this time is Alternative I: Rehabilitation.

As understood, the intent of the project is to address identified deficiencies at the dam and to provide the Town with reliable discharge capacity and to deter/prevent ongoing issued associated with beaver activity at the dam. With this understanding, Pare recommends the following approach:

- Raise the top of dam elevation by 2-feet; extend the dam to the right abutment via the upland alignment between the existing dam and spillway.
- Regrade the downstream slope above the gatehouse to 2H:1V; provide a retaining wall around the gatehouse structure.
- Rehabilitate the spillway to include a new control weir sized to pass the spillway design flood along with a continuous bypass pipe.
- Restore low level outlet by:
 - Install a new gate with sloped stem to provide for operation from the upstream edge of the crest.
 - Slip lining the intake line from the headwall to the gatehouse
 - Modify piping through the gatehouse to include a continuous pipe section through the gatehouse with a secondary closure valve within the gatehouse.
 - Slip lining the stone culvert with a new outlet pipe; fill stone culvert with grout.
- Complete gatehouse improvement work including:



- o Providing a sub level floor within the lower level of the gatehouse
- \circ $\;$ Providing an access ladder from the upper level of the gatehouse
- Installing a new operating level floor within the gatehouse at the exterior ground level.
- Restore functionality of the 18-inch waste pipe to function as an auxiliary outlet



6.0 OPINIONS OF PROBABLE COSTS

The following conceptual opinions of probable cost have been developed for the recommended alternative noted above. The costs shown herein are based on a limited investigation and are provided for conceptual design information only. This should not be considered an engineer's estimate, as actual construction costs may be somewhat less or considerably more than indicated.

Scope Item	Opinion of Probable Project Cost
Raise Top of Dam	
Core Wall Extension	\$ 10,500
Raise Crest to El. 228	\$ 23,250
Regrade the Downstream Slope	\$ 23,250
New Spillway Control Structure	\$ 25,500
Restore Low Level Outlet Capacity	
Upstream Pipe Slip Lining & 10" Abando	on \$ 57,500
Upstream Control	\$ 56,000
Discharge Culvert	\$ 70,500
Gatehouse Work	\$ 12,500
Restore Waste Pipe Functionality	\$ 51,000
General Requirements & Bonds	\$107,500
Control of Water	\$ 81,500
Site Restoration	\$ 21,000
Design Contingency (15%)	\$ 81,000
Total Opinion of Probable Construction Cos	t \$621,000
Construction Administration	\$150,000
Total Opinion of Probable Project Cost	\$771,000

Additional detail is presented in Appendix B.



FIGURES Snake Brook Dam Wayland, Massachusetts







PHOTOGRAPHS

Snake Brook Dam Wayland, Massachusetts


Photo No. 1: Overview of the impoundment created by Snake Brook Dam from the dam crest looking upstream.



Photo No. 2: Overview of the downstream side of the dam.



Photo No. 3: Upstream slope from the left abutment looking right. Note limited freeboard at the dam.



Photo No. 4: Overview of the upstream face of the dam from the spillway approach looking downstream and left..





Photo No. 5: Crest of the dam from the stone culvert looking left.



Photo No. 6: Crest of the dam looking right.



Photo No. 7: Downstream slope from the left abutment looking right.



Photo No. 8: Apparent bedrock outcrop in the downstream slope right of the gatehouse.



Photo No. 9: Spillway approach from the dam crest looking upstream and right..



Photo No. 10: Spillway approach from the control section looking upstream.



Photo No. 11: Spillway control section from the approach looking downstream. Note apparent bedrock outcrop n picture foreground.



Photo No. 12: Spillway control section with apparent past beaver deceiving devices.

P



Photo No. 13: Discharge channel of the spillway.



Photo No. 14: Discharge channel of the spillway.



Photo No. 15: Stone culvert that carries the spillway channel beneath the crest.



Photo No. 16: Discharge channel of the spillway from the stone culvert looking downstream.



Photo No. 17: Approximate location of inlet end of the 18-inch conduit found during the dive inspection completed in December 2019.



Photo No. 18: Gatehouse interior from the access door looking down and slightly right. 10-inch intake line and 10-inch distribution line both marked with a red line. 18-inch intake line marked with yellow line.



Photo No. 19: Intake lines extending through the upstream wall of the gatehouse.



Photo No. 20: Overview of the water supply piping from the upstream left corner of the gatehouse looking looking downstream and slightly right.



Photo No. 21: Outlet culvert in the base of the downstream wall of the gatehouse.



Photo No. 22: Outlet culvert.



Photo No. 23: Outlet culvert interior from the upstream end at the gatehouse looking downstream. Note daylight at the downstream end of the culvert at the downstream channel.



Photo No. 24: Downstream wall of the gatehouse superstructure.



Photo No. 25: Ground surface along alignment of outlet culvert from the outlet end of the culvert looking upstream.



Photo No. 26: Outlet end of the outlet culvert



Photo No. 27: Downstream channel. Note Woodbridge Road culvert crossing located several hundred feet downstream.



Photo No. 28: Downstream channel from the Woodbridge Road culvert crossing looking upstream.



Photo No. 29: Downstream channel downstream of the Woodbridge Road culvert crossing.



Photo No. 30: Access easement to the left abutment of the dam through 68 Rice Road property.



APPENDIX A Design Basis Level Drawings Snake Brook Dam Wayland, Massachusetts

TOWN OF WAYLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION SNAKE BROOK DAM REHABILITATION





mEngSrvcs-MA\DWG's\000 Cover Shee

MA01119 WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS MARCH 2020

Drawing Index

- 0.0 COVER SHEET
- 1.0 GENERAL NOTES AND LEGEND
- 1.1 FULL IMPOUNDMENT PLAN
- 2.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN
- 2.1 EXISTING CONDITIONS SECTIONS & DETAILS
- 3.0 PROPOSED SITE PLAN
- 3.1 PROPOSED EMBANKMENT PLAN
- 3.2 LOW LEVEL OUTLET ALTERNATIVES
- 3.3 SPILLWAY ALTERNATIVES
- 4.0 MISCELLANEOUS DETAILS









GENERAL NOTES: FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS PROJECT OWNER - TOWN OF WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS 41 COCHITUATE ROAD, TOWN BUILDING

WAYLAND, MA 01778-2614 CONTACT - LINDA HANSEN, CONSERVATION ADMINISTRATOR

ENGINEER - PARE CORPORATION 10 LINCOLN ROAD, SUITE 210 FOXBORO, MA 02035

CONTACT - ALLEN ORSI, P.E.

- ALL CONSTRUCTION INDICATED ON THESE PLANS SHALL BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF THE MASSACHUSETTS STATE BUILDING CODE. THE SPECIFICATIONS INCLUDED IN THIS CONTRACT. AND 302 CMR 10.00 DAM SAFETY, THESE PLANS ARE INCOMPLETE UNLESS ACCOMPANIED BY THE SPECIFICATIONS INCLUDED IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.
- THE PLANS WERE DEVELOPED FROM A SURVEY PERFORMED BY BAY COLONY GROUP, INC. OF FOXBOROUGH, MA. DATED JANUARY 17, 2020. AS WELL AS AVAILABLE LIDAR DATA THROUGH MASS GIS.
- ELEVATIONS REFERENCE NAVD 1988. HORIZONTAL DATUM REFERENCES NAD 1983. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL CONTROL BASED UPON NOAA'S NATIONAL GEODETIC SURVEY (NGS) NATIONAL SPATIAL REFERENCE SYSTEM (NSRS) OPUS SESSION.
- BORINGS WERE COMPLETED BY SOIL X, CORP. AND OBSERVED BY PARE PERSONNEL 5. BETWEEN OCTOBER 31 TO NOVEMBER 1, 2019. DEPTHS AND THICKNESS OF THE SUBSURFACE STRATA INDICATED HEREIN ARE GENERALIZED FROM THE SUBSURFACE DATA COLLECTED. INFORMATION SHOWN FOR THE DAM IS INTERPOLATED AND MAY DIFFER. BORING LOGS ARE INCLUDED WITHIN THE SPECIFICATIONS.
- WETLAND FLAGS WERE FLAGGED BY PARE PERSONNEL ON NOVEMBER 6, 2019 WITH SUPPLEMENTAL DELINEATION IN SUPPORT OF ACCESS, STAGING.
- 7. ANY DISCREPANCIES ON THESE PLANS WITH REGARD TO DIMENSIONS OR CONDITIONS SHALL BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE ENGINEER BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE AFFECTED PORTION OF WORK.
- BRUSH AND TREE GROWTH HAS CONTINUED SINCE THE DATE OF THE SURVEY AND 8. SHOULD BE REVIEWED BY THE CONTRACTOR.
- 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR VERIFYING ALL DIMENSIONS. PLANS SHALL NOT BE SCALED FOR DIMENSIONS.
- 10. CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE MADE FROM APPROVED SHOP DRAWINGS ONLY.
- 11. NOTES, TYPICAL DETAILS AND SCHEDULES APPLY TO ALL WORK UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. FOR CONDITIONS NOT SPECIFICALLY SHOWN, PROVIDE DETAILS OF SIMILAR NATURE. VERIFY APPLICABILITY BY SUBMITTING SHOP DRAWINGS FOR REVIEW.
- 12. INFORMATION REGARDING THE LOCATION OF SURROUNDING STRUCTURES, UTILITIES, AND THE AS-BUILT CONFIGURATION AND CONDITION OF THE EXISTING DAM AND OUTLET WORKS IS FURNISHED SOLELY FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE CONTRACTOR AND SHALL BE FIELD VERIFIED. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONDUCT ITS OWN INDEPENDENT EXAMINATION OF SITE CONDITIONS FOR THE PURPOSE OF BIDDING, FABRICATION, AND CONSTRUCTION ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT. ANY RELIANCE UPON INFORMATION MADE AVAILABLE BY THE OWNER OR THE ENGINEER SHALL BE AT THE CONTRACTOR'S RISK.
- 13. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT ALL ADJACENT STRUCTURES AND UTILITIES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR REPAIR OF ALL DAMAGE TO ADJACENT STRUCTURES AND UTILITIES AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE OWNER.
- 14. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR DISPOSAL OF ALL PROJECT DEMOLITION AND EXCESS MATERIAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH MASSACHUSETTS, LOCAL, AND FEDERAL LAWS.
- 15. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL FOLLOW ALL OSHA AND OTHER APPLICABLE FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL STANDARDS FOR ALL PROJECT COMPONENTS AND ACTIVITIES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL SITE SAFETY PROCEDURES AND PRACTICES REGARDLESS OF THE PRESENCE OF THE OWNER OR ENGINEER.
- 16. ALL CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES SHALL BE CONFINED TO THE LIMITS OF WORK AND TEMPORARY EASEMENTS DEFINED HEREIN.
- WHERE REFERENCE IS MADE TO ANY STANDARD SPECIFICATION IT SHALL MEAN THE 17. MOST RECENT SPECIFICATION, CODE, STANDARD, OR INTERIM SPECIFICATIONS OF THE ORGANIZATION REFERRED TO AND SHALL BE CONSIDERED A PART OF THESE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS TO THE EXTENT INDICATED. IN CASE OF CONFLICT, THE MORE RIGID REQUIREMENTS AND CODES SHALL GOVERN. THESE CODES INCLUDE, BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO: AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TESTING AND MATERIALS (ASTM).
- 18. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL STAGE ALL EQUIPMENT IN THE DESIGNATED STAGING AREA. ALL GREASING AND REFUELING ACTIVITIES SHALL OCCUR IN THE STAGING AREA.
- 19. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN A SECURE SITE AND PROVIDE APPROPRIATE SAFETY MEASURES TO PREVENT ACCIDENTS. THE SAFETY MEASURES SHALL INCLUDE, BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO SIGNAGE, BARRICADES, FENCES, FLASHING WARNING LIGHTS, AND POLICING IF NECESSARY.
- 20. NO WORK OR DISCHARGES, OTHER THAN THAT SHOWN, SHALL BE PERFORMED WITHIN WETLANDS WITHOUT FIRST RECEIVING PROPER PERMITS FROM THE REGULATORY AGENCIES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR REMOVING, RESTORING AND REPAIRING ALL DAMAGE AS A RESULT OF UNAUTHORIZED WORK OR DISCHARGES TO THE WETLAND AREA AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE OWNER.

19 Jobs/19167.00 Wayland-SnakePondDamEndSrycs-MA/DWG's/1.0 General Notes and Leaend dwo

DIVERSION NOTES:

- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN FLOW THROUGHOUT CONSTRUCTION.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REGULATE DISCHARGES AND PHASE CONSTRUCTION SO THAT CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT DOES NOT PASS THROUGH FLOWING WATER.
- ANY NECESSARY COFFERDAMS AND DIVERSIONS SHALL BE DESIGNED AND THE OWNER AND ENGINEER IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO INSTALLATION. DESIGN REQUIREMENTS ARE INCLUDED WITHIN SPECIFICATION SECTION 02400.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN A STOCKPILE OF MATERIAL ONSITE TO BE APPROVAL BY THE ENGINEER, OWNER, AND OFFICE OF DAM SAFETY.

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES:

- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL AND MAINTAIN EROSION AND SEDIMENT ANY OTHER CONTROLS AS INDICATED IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PREVENT SEDIMENT FROM ENTERING THE IMPOUNDMENT VIA DISCHARGES THROUGH ANY DRAINAGE STRUCTURES, COFFERDAMS, OR SEDIMENT CONTROL BARRIER.
- 3. STOCKPILES SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 1-FOOT FROM THE EDGE OF ANY SLOPE TO LIMIT RUNOFF DOWN THE EMBANKMENT SLOPES.
- 4. EROSION CONTROLS SHALL BE MODIFIED OR EXPANDED AS FIELD CONDITIONS WARRANT.
- 5. ALL EROSION CONTROLS SHALL BE INSPECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CONTRACTOR'S NPDES SWPPP FOR THIS PROJECT.
- 6. ANY DAMAGED AREAS SHALL BE REPAIRED WITHIN 24 HOURS OF DISCOVERY.
- 7. DEWATERING BASINS SHALL CONSIST OF STRAW BALE ENCLOSURES, TANKS, TO DISCHARGE.
- 8. DISCHARGE OF TURBID WATER TO THE RIVER, IMPOUNDMENT, OR ANY WETLAND IS PROHIBITED.
- 9. UPON COMPLETION OF GRADING, ALL EXPOSED SURFACES NOT OTHERWISE TO BE TREATED SHALL BE COVERED WITH A MINIMUM OF 6" OF LOAM AND SEEDED. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN ALL SEEDED AREAS UNTIL A SATISFACTORY STAND OF HEALTHY GRASS IS ESTABLISHED AS DEFINED IN THE SPECIFICATIONS.

BEAR THE STAMP OF A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER. REVIEW AND APPROVAL BY

UTILIZED TO STABILIZE THE EXCAVATION IN THE EVENT OF HIGH WATER OR OTHER CONDITIONS WHICH MAY COMPROMISE THE COFFERDAM STABILITY. THE STOCKPILE SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH A FLOOD EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN TO BE DEVELOPED BY THE CONTRACTOR AND SUBJECT TO

CONTROLS INCLUDING STRAW BALES, SILT FENCE, TURBIDITY BARRIERS, AND

PERMEABLE BLADDERS, OR OTHER APPROPRIATE METHOD. DEWATERING WASTE WATERS SHALL BE PUMPED TO THE DEWATERING BASINS AND TREATED PRIOR

CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE:

THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE IS INTENDED TO BE GENERAL IN NATURE AND SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED DIRECTION BY THE ENGINEER OR THE OWNER. ALTHOUGH IT IS LIKELY THAT SOME OF THE WORK ITEMS WILL OVERLAP, CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCES FOR THE VARIOUS PROJECT COMPONENTS ARE DESCRIBED SEPARATELY AND MAY NOT NECESSARILY PROCEED IN CONSECUTIVE ORDER. ALL WORK SHALL BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROJECT PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS.

LEGEND

MOBILIZATION

- CONTRACTOR MOBILIZATION.
- INSTALL ALL NECESSARY SIGNAGE. COMPLETE SITE TREE CLEARING.
- 4. INSTALL PERIMETER EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS INCLUDING
- TURBIDITY BARRIER. 5. ESTABLISH ACCESS AND STAGING AREAS.

WATER CONTROL AND DIVERSION

1. IMPLEMENT AND MAINTAIN THE CONSTRUCTION DRAWDOWN. 2. INSTALL COFFERDAMS AND DIVERSION SYSTEMS AS NEEDED TO COMPLETE THE WORK.

TREE AND STUMP REMOVAL

- 1. CLEAR, GRUB, AND STRIP ALL TREES STUMPS, SHRUBS, BRUSH, WOODY
- VEGETATION, AND LOAM WITHIN THE LIMITS OF WORK. FILL RESULTING VOIDS WITH APPROVED MATERIAL IN COMPACTED LIFTS.

UPSTREAM LOW LEVEL OUTLET WORK

- 1. REMOVE SEDIMENT AND DEBRIS TO THE EXTENT NEEDED TO COMPLETE THE
- WORK. 2. INSTALL NEW UPSTREAM CONTROL STRUCTURE SYSTEM.
- INSTALL TRASH RACK.

GATEHOUSE WORK

- CUT AND ABANDON THE 10-INCH WATER SUPPLY LINE.
- CUT AND EXTEND THE 18-INCH LOW LEVEL OUTLET PIPE. PROVIDE SECONDARY ACCESS TO THE LOW LEVEL OUTLET
- 4. FILL TO INVERT OF LOW LEVEL OUTLET

SPILLWAY WORK

- REMOVE AND DISPOSE ACCUMULATED DEBRIS.
- EXCAVATE TO REMOVE THE COMPONENTS OF THE EXISTING SPILLWAY.
- REMOVE ALL COMPONENTS OF THE EXISTING SPILLWAY. INCLUSIVE OF THE
- EXISTING TIMBER SLUICEWAY, SIPHON PIPE, AND ANY OTHER COMPONENTS. INSTALL THE PROPOSED SPILLWAY CONTROL SECTION.
- 5. INSTALL THE BEAVER DECEIVER SYSTEM.
- BACKFILL ALL COMPONENTS WITH APPROVED MATERIAL IN COMPACTED LIFTS.
- CREST AND DOWNSTREAM SLOPE 1. RAISE THE CREST TO EL. 228.
- REGRADE THE DOWNSTREAM SLOPE TO 2.5H:1V.

PROJECT COMPLETION

- 1. PLACEMENT OF LOAM AND SEED ON THE DOWNSTREAM SLOPE, CREST, AND
- ALL AREAS DISTURBED BY THE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.
- NOTIFY ENGINEER OF SUBSTANTIAL PROJECT COMPLETION. DEMOBILIZE AND RETURN DISTURBED AREAS OF THE SITE TO PRE-CONSTRUCTION CONDITIONS.

EXISTING CONTOUR 5' CONTOUR 5' CONTOUR 1' CART PATH CONCRETE WALL PROPERTY LINE DRAIN LINE PROPERTY LINE DRAIN LINE CLEAN OUT CCO EDGE OF WETLAND WETLAND FLAG TURBIDITY BARRIER COFFERDAM STEEL SHEET PILE TREELINE TREES TREE CLEARING SILT FENCE STRAW BALE FLITE SOCK LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE DLOD BI9-1 BORING	ID		
CONTOUR 5'	EXISTING		PROPOSED
CONTOUR 1'		CONTOUR 5'	55
CART PATH CONCRETE WALL PROPERTY LINE DRAIN LINE CLEAN OUT CLEAN OUT CLEAN OUT CO EDGE OF WETLAND EDGE OF WETLAND EDGE OF WETLAND EDGE OF WETLAND EDGE OF WETLAND WETLAND FLAG TO FT. WETLAND BUFFER 200 FT RIVERBANK AREA FLOODPLAIN TURBIDITY BARRIER COFFERDAM STEEL SHEET PILE TREES TREE CLEARING SILT FENCE STRAW BALE FLUTER SOCK LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE		CONTOUR 1'	
CONCRETE WALL PROPERTY LINE DRAIN LINE		CART PATH	
PROPERTY LINE DRAIN LINE		CONCRETE WALL	
DRAIN LINEOOO CLEAN OUT OCO EDGE OF WATER EDGE OF WETLAND WETLAND FLAG IOO FT. WETLAND BUFFER ZOO FT RIVERBANK AREA FLOODPLAIN TURBIDITY BARRIER COFFERDAM STEEL SHEET PILE TREES TREE CLEARING & SILT FENCE STRAW BALE FILTER SOCK LIMIT OF DISTURBANCELOD		PROPERTY LINE	
CLEAN OUT OCO EDGE OF WATER EDGE OF WATER EDGE OF WETLAND WETLAND BUFFER 200 FT RIVERBANK AREA COFFENDAM TURBIDITY BARRIER COFFENDAM STEELISHEET PILE TREES TREE CLEARING SILT FENCE STRAW BALE FILTER SOCK LIMIT OF DISTURBANCELOD		DRAIN LINE	DDD
EDGE OF WATER EDGE OF WETLAND WETLAND FLAG 100 FT. RIVERBANK AREA 200 FT RIVERBANK AREA FLOODPLAIN TURBIDITY BARRIER COFFERDAM STEEL SHEET PILE TREELINE TREES TREE CLEARING SILT FENCE STRAW BALE FILTER SOCK LIMIT OF DISTURBANCELOD		CLEAN OUT	° co
EDGE OF WETLAND WETLAND FLAG 100 FT. WETLAND BUFFER 200 FT. RIVERBANK AREA 200 FT. RIVERBANK AREA FLOODPLAIN TURBIDITY BARRIER COFFERDAM STEEL SHEET PILE TREELINE TREES TREE CLEARING SILT FENCE STRAW BALE FILTER SOCK LIMIT OF DISTURBANCELOD		EDGE OF WATER	
100 FT. WETLAND BUFFER 200 FT RIVERBANK AREA FLOODPLAIN TURBIDITY BARRIER COFFERDAM STEEL SHEET PILE TREELINE TREES TREE CLEARING SILT FENCE STRAW BALE FILTER SOCK LIMIT OF DISTURBANCELOD	A ^{P16}	EDGE OF WETLAND WETLAND FLAG	
200 FT RIVERBANK AREA FLOODPLAIN TURBIDITY BARRIER COFFERDAM STEEL SHEET PILE TREES TREE CLEARING SILT FENCE STRAW BALE FILTER SOCK LIMIT OF DISTURBANCELOD		100 FT. WETLAND BUFFER	
FLOODPLAIN TURBIDITY BARRIER COFFERDAM STEEL SHEET PILE TREELINE TREES TREE CLEARING SILT FENCE STRAW BALE STRAW BALE FILTER SOCK LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE BORING	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	200 FT RIVERBANK AREA	
TURBIDITY BARRIER COFFERDAM STEEL SHEET PILE TREES TREES SILT FENCE STRAW BALE FILTER SOCK LIMIT OF DISTURBANCELOD		FLOODPLAIN	
COFFERDAM STEEL SHEET PILE TREELINE TREES TREE CLEARING SILT FENCE STRAW BALE FILTER SOCK LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE - LOD		TURBIDITY BARRIER	
STEEL SHEET PILE		COFFERDAM	
TREELINE TREES TREE CLEARING SILT FENCE STRAW BALE FILTER SOCK LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE BIP-1 BORING		STEEL SHEET PILE	
TREES TREE CLEARING SILT FENCE STRAW BALE FILTER SOCK LIMIT OF DISTURBANCELOD	A ANI	TREELINE	
TREE CLEARING SILT FENCE SILT FENCE STRAW BALE SUCCESSION SUCCESSI	Q 40	TREES	0
SILT PERCE			\otimes
FILTER SOCK LIMIT OF DISTURBANCELOD		SILI FENCE	-0-0-0-0-
LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE		SIRAW BALL	ale
€ ^{B19-1} BORING		LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE	OD
	➡ B19-1	PODING	200

	SCALE ADJUS GUIDE 0" BAR IS ONE IN ORIGINAL DRA	1" ICH ON AWING.
SNAKE BROOK DAM REHABILITATION	MA01119 WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS	TOWN OF WAYLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION
PROJEC	<u>NS:</u>	19167.00 MARCH 2020
SCALE: DESIGN CHECKE DRAWN APPROV	ED BY: D BY: BY: /ED BY: SENERAL I AND LEG	AS NOTED MED/MLP ARO/MED LMC ARO NOTES END
SHEET	NO.:	10





HORIZONTAI	.:
POINT ID	COORDINATES
A CONTRACTOR	N 0040045 440

I OINT ID	COORDINATES	LEUTANON	DESCINI HON
TBM#1	N: 2946845.116 E: 699041.178	228.772	BOLT
TBM#2	N: 2946737.499 E: 698900.164	204.183	STONE BOUND
TBM#3	N: 2946880.530 E: 698842.038	224.187	FIELD STONE BOUND

	т г
N CALL N	11
$1 \sim 1 \sim 1 \sim 1 \sim 10^{-20}$	
	11
A set set and a final set	
	1 1
S E ERITA	
V 12 Mart	
2 Miles /	
En VINE /	
004	
ride.	
2	
ollic	
na	
DI FRI FRI FRI FRI FRI FRI FRI FRI FRI FR	
Sty Missing	
An Alle Provention	1 +
TIM- MONTS	
1-1 in The It	
States III -	
share 1 the second	
	-
	-
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	
All the second s	
Vert- 11 - St S	
- 17	
UN La commence de la	ľ
V-/	
VI	
CB(fd)	
w/dh	Ιľ
uedigin dadid kepuki submission	

Image: Substant Construction Image: Substant Constructi	SCALE ADJUSTMENT GUIDE 1" BAR IS ONE INCH ON ORIGINAL DRAWIND. NAVITAND, MASSACHOSELLS NON OF WAYLAND, MASSACHOSELVATION COMMISSION REVISIONS:	PAR ENGINE 10	E CORPCE EERS - SCIENTIS LINCOLN ROAD, FOXBORO, MA 508-543-17	PRATION TS - PLANNERS SUITE 210 02035 255
SNAKE BROOK DAM REHABILITATION MA01119 WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS TOWN OF WAYLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION	SNAKE BROOK DAM REHABILITATION MA01119 MA01119 MAND, MASSACHUSETTS TOWN OF WAYLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION		SCALE ADJUS GUIDE 0" BAR IS ONE IN ORIGINAL DRA	Iment 1" ICH ON WING.
	REVISIONS:	SNAKE BROOK DAM REHABILITATION	MA01119 WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS	TOWN OF WAYLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION
PROJECT NO.: 19167.00 DATE: MARCH 2020 SCALE: AS NOTED DESIGNED BY: MED/MLP CHECKED BY: ARO/MED DRAWN BY: LMC APPROVED BY: ARO EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN		SHEET	NO.:	2.0



\JOBS\19 Jobs\19167.00 Wayland-SnakePondDamEngSrvcs-MA\DWG's\2.0 EXIST CONDITIONS PLAN.dwg

PUMP HOUSE PHOTOS

<u>PHOTO 4</u>

230		
225		
220		0
220		
215		
210		
205		
200		
200		
195	0.50	
	2+30	

SCALE ADJ GUII 0" BAR IS ONE ORIGINAL D	USTMENT DE 1" INCH ON DRAWING.
SNAKE BROOK DAM REHABILITATION MA01119 WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS	TOWN OF WAYLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION
REVISIONS:	19167.0 MARCH 202







Y:\JOBS\19 Jobs\19167.00 Wayland-SnakePondDamEngSrvcs-MA\DWG's\3.1 PROPOSED EMBANKMENT.dwg-

RAISE DAM TO EL. 228.0 RAISE CORE WALL TO EL. 227.5

T 3.2 FOR ALTERNATIN	ves)	COMPLETE INTERIOR WORK PROVIDE SECONDARY CONTROLS (SEE SHEET 3.2)	(IF DESIRED)	(SEE SHE
CULVERT		CUT AND EXTEND LLO (PROVIDE (SEE SHEET 3.2 FOR ALTERNATIV	SECONDARY CONTROLS AT THIS LOCAT	ION, IF DESIRED)
	T VIIIIK			SUPPORT
-	L]EL. 208.3 E	L. 208.3		
	12" × 13" PU			18" C.I. PIPE
				STONE MASONRY CORE WALL
CUT & CAP AND AB.	ANDON 10" WSL			
1222	(FLUOR, SIEFS, EIC.)	INSTALL STONE RETAINING WALL		
	IMPROVE GATE HOUSE ACCESS	FLATTEN DOWNSTREAM SLOPE	ALLIMMUM CAP TOP 07=230.16 TOP 07=226.25 L. 226.5 EL. 225.0	1-220 ×
			RAISE DAM TO EL. 228.0	- RAISE STONE MASONRY CORE WALL

A SECTION A 3.0 SCALE: 1"=6'

		STONE CULVERT	2	230
226,5 25.0			2	225
			2	220
and the second s			2	215
			2	210
			2	205
			2	200
1+00	1+50	2+00	2+50	95





SNAKE BROOK DAM REHABILITATION Image: Distribution of the second seco	SCALE ADJUSTMENT GUIDE " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	PAR ENGINE 10	E CORP EERS - SCIENT LINCOLN ROA FOXBORO, M 508-543-	REE ORATION ISTS - PLANNERS D, SUITE 210 IA 02035 1755
SNAKE BROOK DAM REHABILITATION MA01119 WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS TOWN OF WAYLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION	SNAKE BROOK DAM REHABILITATION MA01119 WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS TOWN OF WAYLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION		SCALE ADJU GUID 0" BAR IS ONE ORIGINAL DI	STMENT E 1" INCH ON RAWING.
	REVISIONS: PROJECT NO.: 19167.0 DATE: MARCH 202 SCALE: AS NOTE:	SNAKE BROOK DAM REHABILITATION	MA01119 WAYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS	TOWN OF WAYLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION
DESIGNED BY: MED/MLI CHECKED BY: ARO/MEI DRAWN BY: LMG APPROVED BY: ARG PROPOSED EMBANKMENT PLAN		SHEET	NO.:	31





OBS\19 Jobs\19167.00 Wayland—SnakePondDamEngSrvcs—MA\DWG's\3.3 SPILLWAY ALTS.dw.





APPENDIX B Design Basis Level Opinions of Probable Costs Snake Brook Dam Wayland, Massachusetts



PROJECT : Snake Brook Dam PROJECT NUMBER: 19167.00

CHECK BY: ARO

 SUBJECT: Snake Brook Dam Rehabilitation OPC

 COMPUTATIONS BY: MED
 DATE: March 2020

DATE: March 2020

DESIGN LEVEL OPINION OF PROBABLE COST

Alternative I: Rehabilitation

Item	Quantity	Unit	ι	Jnit Price		Total	Source	Notes
General Bid Items								
Construction Trailer and Utilities	0	MON	\$	2,700.00	\$	-		
Project Superintendent	4	MON	\$	8,200.00	\$	32,800.00		
QC Plans	4	HR	\$	150.00	\$	600.00	Additional for Winter	
Submittals	10	HR	\$	150.00	\$	1,500.00		
Schedules	5	HR	\$	150.00	\$	750.00		
Meetings	12	EA	\$	150.00	\$	1,800.00		Assume 2hrs each @ \$150/h
Project Sign	1	LS	\$	900.00	\$	900.00	Recent project bids	
Proctor Tests	2	TEST	\$	225.00	\$	450.00	Laboratory Quote plus markup	
Sieve Analyses	5	EA	\$	100.00	\$	500.00	Laboratory Quote plus markup	
Concrete Sampling/Testing	1		ф Ф	400.00	ф Ф	400.00	Recent project bids	
Field Density Testing	15		φ \$	250.00	φ \$	3 750 00	Recent project bids	
Chemical Soil Tests	1	FA	\$	1.000.00	\$	1,000,00	Recent project bids	
	•	2/ (Ψ	1,000.00	Ŷ	1,000.00		
Subtotal					\$	44,480.00		
Mobilization & Demolition								
Mobilization	1	LS	\$	10,000.00	\$	10,000.00	Engineers Judgment	
Demobilization	1	LS	\$	7,500.00	\$	7,500.00	Engineers Judgment	
					-			
Subtotal					\$	17,500.00		
Clear and Grub								
Clear Work Area	3400	SY	\$	3.50	\$	11,900,00	Means 31 11 10 10 0300 Clear Only	
Grub Work Area	3400	SY	\$	2.00	\$	6.800.00	Means 31 11 10.10 0350 Grub	
Clear Trees Larger Than 8 inch	25	EA	\$	250.00	\$	6.250.00		Estimated Value
Engineered Fill Imported	75	ΤN	\$	20.00	\$	1,500.00	Recent Project Costs	
Engineered Fill Placed	37.5	CY	\$	30.00	\$	1,125.00	Recent Project Costs	Assumed value
Subtotal					\$	27,575.00		
Erosion Control								
Hay bales	400	LF	\$	7.00	\$	2,800.00	Recent project bids	
Silt Fence	800	LF	\$	5.00	\$	4,000.00	Recent project bids	
Maintenance and Removal	1	LS	\$	1,500.00	\$	1,500.00	Engineer's Judgment	
Turbidity Barrier	120	LF	\$	30.00	\$	3,600.00	Recent project bids	
Subtotal					¢	11 900 00		
					Ψ	11,000.00		
Control of Water / Water Diversion								- · ·
Implement / Maintain Drawdown	1	LS	\$	15,000.00	\$	15,000.00	Engineer's Judgment	Siphon
US Cofferdam	1	LS	\$	60,000.00	\$	60,000.00	Portadam	
DS Collectaring	20		¢ ¢	2,000.00	¢ ¢	2,000.00	Engineer's Judgment	
Dewatering	30	DAT	Φ	150.00	φ	4,500.00	Engineer's Judgment	
Subtotal					\$	81,500.00		
LLO WORK								
LLO: Sliplining and Abandoning	4	10	۴	E E00.00	¢		Engineerin lusimeerin	
	1		¢	5,500.00	¢	5,500.00	Engineer's Judgment	
Supure 18-Inch Line	00 60		ф Ф	100.00	ф Ф	45,000.00	Engineer's Judgment	
Abandon 10-inch ditribution Line	1	1.5	Φ ¢	1 000 00	φ ¢		Engineer's Judgment	Cap at Gatebouse
	1	20	Ψ	1,000.00	Ψ	1,000.00		cap at Outenouse
Subtotal					\$	57,500.00		



PROJECT : Snake Brook Dam PROJECT NUMBER: 19167.00

CHECK BY: ARO

SUBJECT: Snake Brook Dam Rehabilitation OPC
COMPUTATIONS BY: MED DAT

Y: MED DATE: March 2020 DATE: March 2020

DESIGN LEVEL OPINION OF PROBABLE COST

Alternative I: Rehabilitation

Item	Quantity	Unit	U	Init Price		Total	Source	Notes
US Control - Angled Stemst								
Cast In Place Wall	20	CY	\$	1 500 00	\$	30,000,00	Recent Project Costs	
Earthwork around Eooting	1	I.S	ŝ	7 500 00	ŝ	7 500 00	Recent Project Costs	
Earthwork around 1 ooting Extend Dine	1	19	¢	3 500 00	¢	3 500 00	Engineer's Judgment	
	1		φ ¢	3,300.00	φ ¢	3,500.00	Engineer's Judgment	
Silde Gate	1	LS	\$	15,000.00	\$	15,000.00	Recent Project Costs	
Catwalk	0	:5	\$	15,000.00	\$	-	Recent Project Costs	
Security Devices	0	LS	\$	2,000.00	\$	-	Recent Project Costs	
					_			
Subtotal					\$	56,000.00		
						<u> </u>		
US Control (Alt 1) - Highest Cost								
Cast In Place Wall	20	CY	\$	1,500.00	\$	30,000.00	Recent Project Costs	
Earthwork around Footing	1	LS	\$	7,500.00	\$	7,500.00	Recent Project Costs	
Extend Pipe	1	LS	\$	3.500.00	\$	3.500.00	Engineer's Judgment	
Slide Gate	1	LS	\$	12.000.00	\$	12.000.00	Recent Project Costs	
Catwalk	1	·S	Ś	15,000,00	Ś	15,000,00	Recent Project Costs	
Security Devices	1	1.5	\$	2 000 00	ŝ	2 000 00	Recent Project Costs	
Security Devices		LO	Ψ	2,000.00	Ψ	2,000.00	Recent Project Costs	
Subtatal (Alt)					¢	70,000,00		
Subtotal (Alt)					Þ	70,000.00		
Outlet Culvert - Slipline & Fill								
Excavaction	0	CY	\$	15.00	\$	-	Recent Project Costs	
Cut and Remove Excess Components	1	LS	\$	7,500.00	\$	7,500.00	Engineer's Judgment	
Install Pipe	70	LF	\$	750.00	\$	52,500.00	Recent Project Costs	
Fill Culvert	16	CY	\$	500.00	\$	8,000.00	Recent Project Costs	
Imported Backfill	0	ΤN	\$	25.00	\$	-	Recent Project Costs	
Install Backfill	0	CY	\$	20.00	\$	-	Recent Project Costs	
DS Headwall	1	LS	\$	2,500.00	\$	2,500.00	Recent Project Costs	
Subtotal					\$	70,500.00		
						·		
Outlet Culvert (Alt 1) - Highest Cost								
Excavaction	940	CY	\$	15.00	\$	14 100 00	Recent Project Costs	
Cut and Remove Excess Components	1	19	¢	7 500 00	¢	7 500 00	Engineer's Judgment	
	70		φ	150.00	φ	10,500.00	Pecent Project Costs	
	10		φ Φ	200.00	φ	2 000 00	Recent Project Costs	
	10		φ ¢	300.00	φ ¢	3,000.00	Recent Project Costs	
Imported Backilli	1880		¢	25.00	¢	47,000.00	Recent Project Costs	
	940	CY	\$	20.00	\$	18,800.00	Recent Project Costs	
DS Headwall	1	LS	\$	2,500.00	\$	2,500.00	Recent Project Costs	
Subtotal (Alt)					\$	103,400.00		
	_							
Gatehouse Improvements								
Fill Floor	1	LS	\$	2,500.00	\$	2,500.00	Engineer's Judgment	
Ground Floor	1	LS	\$	3,000.00	\$	3,000.00	Recent Project Costs	
Upper Floor	1	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00	Engineer's Judgment	
Access Ladder	1	IS	Ś	2,000,00	Ŝ	2,000,00	Engineer's Judgment	
	-		Ŧ	_,	Ŧ	_,		
Subtotal					\$	12 500 00		
					Ψ	12,000.00		
SPILLWAY								
Dremeration of Areas								
Preperation of Areas			۴	1 000 00	۴	1 000 00	En aire a na dhudana ant	
Reomve Debris	1	LS	\$	1,000.00	Ъ	1,000.00	Engineers Judgment	
Subtotal					\$	1,000.00		
New Control Weir								
Remove Bedrock	40	CF	\$	75.00	\$	3,000.00	Engineer's Judgement	
Instll Weir	5	CY	\$	1,500.00	\$	7,500.00	Means 31 11 10.10 0350 Grub	
Reomave and recotract T Walls	1	LS	\$	6,500.00	\$	6,500.00	Engineer's Judgement	Estimated Value
Subtotal		-	Ŧ	-,-,-,0	\$	17,000.00	5	
Subiotal					Ψ	,		



PROJECT : Snake Brook Dam PROJECT NUMBER: 19167.00
SUBJECT: Snake Brook Dam Rehabilitation OPC

SUBJECT: Shake Brook Dam Rehabilitation OPC	
COMPUTATIONS BY: MED	DATE: March 2020
CHECK BY: ARO	DATE: March 2020

DESIGN LEVEL OPINION OF PROBABLE COST

Alternative I: Rehabilitation											
Item	Quantity	Unit	U	Init Price		Total	Source	Notes			
Beaver Deceiver Beaver Deceiver	1	LS	\$	7,500.00	\$	7,500.00	Recent project bids				
Subtota	I				\$	7,500.00					
DAM EMBANKMENT											
Core Wall											
Excavate down to the core wal Raise Core Wal	l 1 l 1	LS LS	\$ \$	3,000.00 7,500.00	\$ \$	3,000.00 7,500.00	Engineer's Judgment Engineer's Judgment				
Subtota	I				\$	10,500.00					
Dam Raise											
Prep for Dam Raise Imported Backfil Install Backfil	e 1 I 450 I 300	LS TN CY	\$ \$ \$	1,500.00 25.00 35.00	\$ \$ \$	1,500.00 11,250.00 10,500.00	Engineer's Judgment Recent Project Costs Recent Project Costs				
Subtota	I				\$	23,250.00					
DS Slope											
Prep for DS Slope Work Imported Backfil Install Backfil	1 450 300	LS TN CY	\$ \$ \$	1,500.00 25.00 35.00	\$ \$ \$	1,500.00 11,250.00 10,500.00	Engineer's Judgment Recent Project Costs Recent Project Costs				
Subtotal	I				\$	23,250.00					
Loam and Seed											
Loam and Seed Imported loam	3400 850	SY CY	\$ \$	6.00 30.00	\$ \$	20,400.00 25,500.00	Recent Project Costs Recent Project Costs	300 LF x 20' high 300 LF x 20' high			
Subtotal	I				\$	20,400.00					
Mid Level Outlet / Waste Pipe											
Inspect Conduit	t 1	LS	\$	6,000.00	\$	6,000.00	Engineer's Judgment				

Improvements	1	LS LS	ъ \$	45,000.00	ъ \$	45,000.00	Engineer's Judgmer	nt
Subtotal					\$	51,000.00	l	
			; Con	SUBTOTAL	\$ \$	533,355.00 6,000.00	Recent Project Costs (1%)
		Desig	ın C	ontingency	\$	81,000.00	15%	
OPINION OF PROB	ABLE C	ONSTR	UC	TION COST	\$6	621,000.00		
		Cons	truc	tion Admin	\$	150,000.00		
OPINION OF PROB	ABLE C	ONSTR	UC	TION COST	\$7	771,000.00		



 PROJECT : Snake Brook Dam
 PROJECT NUMBER: 19167.00

 SUBJECT: Snake Brook Dam Rehabilitation OPC

COMPUTATIONS BY: MED

CHECK BY: ARO

ED DATE: March 2020 DATE: March 2020

DESIGN LEVEL OPINION OF PROBABLE COST

Alternate II: Removal											
ltem	Quantity	/ Unit		Unit Price		Total	Source	Notes			
General Bid Items Construction Trailer and Utilities Project Superintendent QC Plans Submittals Schedules	2 2 6 6	MON MON HR HR HR	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,700.00 8,200.00 150.00 150.00	\$ \$ \$ \$	5,400.00 16,400.00 900.00 900.00 900.00	Additional for Winter				
Meetings Project Sign Proctor Tests Sieve Analyses Concrete Sampling/Testing Concrete Compression Tests Field Density Testing Chemical Soil Tests	3 1 0 0 0 0 0	EA LS TEST EA EA DAY EA) () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	300.00 900.00 225.00 100.00 400.00 30.00 500.00 1,000.00	****	900.00 900.00 - - - -	Recent project bids Laboratory Quote plus markup Laboratory Quote plus markup Recent project bids Laboratory Quote plus markup Recent project bids Recent project bids	Assume 2hrs each @ 150/hr			
Subtotal					\$	26,300.00					
Mobilization & Demolition Mobilization Demobilization	 1 1	LS LS	\$ \$	7,500.00 5,000.00	\$ \$	7,500.00 5,000.00	Engineers Judgment Engineers Judgment				
Subtotal					\$	12,500.00					
Clear and Grub	2400	ev	¢	2.50	¢	11 000 00	Moone 21 11 10 10 0200 Clear Only				
Grub Work Area Grub Work Area Clear Trees Larger Than 8 inch Engineered Fill Imported Engineered Fill Placed	3400 3400 5 15 7.5	SY EA TN CY	9 (9 (9 (9 (9	2.00 250.00 20.00 30.00	9 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	6,800.00 1,250.00 300.00 225.00	Means 31 11 10.10 0300 Clear Only Means 31 11 10.10 0350 Grub Recent Project Costs Recent Project Costs	Estimated Value Assumed value			
Subtotal					\$	20.475.00					
					Ţ	_0,0.00					
Erosion Control Hay bales Silt Fence Maintenance and Removal Turbidity Barrier	400 800 1 120	LF LF LS LF	\$\$\$\$	7.00 5.00 1,500.00 30.00	\$ \$ \$ \$	2,800.00 4,000.00 1,500.00 3,600.00	Recent project bids Recent project bids Engineer's Judgment Recent project bids				
Subtotal					\$	11,900.00					
Control of Water / Water Diversion Implement / Maintain Drawdown US Cofferdam DS Cofferdams Dewatering	1 1 1 30	LS LS LS DAY	\$ \$ \$ \$	25,000.00 25,000.00 2,000.00 150.00	\$ \$ \$	25,000.00 25,000.00 2,000.00 4,500.00	Engineer's Judgment Bulk bags Engineer's Judgment Engineer's Judgment	Siphon			
Subtotal					\$	56,500.00					
Remove Dam - Entire Extent Excavation Remove Core Wall Install Stream Bank Protection Import Riprap for Stream Bank Protection Subtotal	4000 1 120 180	CY LS SY TN	\$ \$ \$	15.00 5,000.00 150.00 35.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	60,000.00 5,000.00 18,000.00 6,300.00 89,300.00	Engineer's Judgment Engineer's Judgment Recent Project Costs Recent Project Costs				
Dradging of Impoundment Sodiment (Co	ntinger)				<u> </u>					
Dredge Impoundment Sediment (Co Dredge Impoundment Sediment Handling and Disposal	5000 5000	CY CY CY	\$ \$	20.00 20.00	\$ \$	100,000.00 100,000.00	Means 35 20 23.23 Engineers Judgment				
Subtotal					\$	200,000.00					



PROJECT NUMBER: 19167.00 PROJECT : Snake Brook Dam SUBJECT: Snake Brook Dam Rehabilitation OPC

COMPUTATIONS BY: MED DATE: March 2020 CHECK BY: ARO DATE: March 2020

DESIGN LEVEL OPINION OF PROBABLE COST

Alternate II: Removal

ltem	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Total	Source	Notes
Embankment Work							
Loam Crest and DS Slope	3400	SY	\$ 6.00	\$	20,400.00	Recent Project Costs	
Imported Loam	1150	ΤN	\$ 30.00	\$	34,500.00	Recent Project Costs	
				-			
Subtotal				\$	54,900.00		
			SUBTOTAL	\$	471,875.00		
			Contract Bonds	\$	5,000.00	Recent Project Costs (1%)	
		Des	ign Contingency	\$	71,550.00	15%	
	OBABLE (CONST	RUCTION COST	\$	549.000.00		
•••••••••••		Fngir	neering & Design	ŝ	50,000,00		
		Lingii	Permitting	ŝ	45,000.00		
	Constr	uction	Phase Services	ŝ	75,000,00		
		IECT	COST - Removal	¢	719 000 00		
OFINION OF FROBABLE R		JLCI		Ψ	713,000.00		
DS Impacts							
-		Engir	neering & Design	\$	85,000.00		
		_	Permitting	\$	50,000.00		
Public Ou	treach and	d Gene	ral Coordination	\$	75,000.00		
		Impl	ement Measures	\$	500,000.00		
OPINION OF PROBABLE TOTAL	PROJECT	COST	- Floodproofing	\$	710.000.00		
				Ŧ	-,		
			ST - Alternative II	¢	1 429 000 00		
OF INION OF FROBABLE TOTAL	LFROJEC	1 000	51 - Alternative II	φ	1,423,000.00		

APPENDIX C Underwater Inspection Reports Snake Brook Dam Wayland, Massachusetts FIELD SUMMARY REPORT LOCATION, INSPECTION INSERTION OF A ROBOTIC CAMERA IN THE SNAKE BROOK DAM LOW LEVEL OUTLET



DATE OF INSPECTION: 12/06/2019

LOCATION: SNAKE BROOK DAM, TOWN OF WAYLAND, MA

WORK SCOPE: locate the low level outlet, assist in the placing of a remote robotic camera in the low level outlet

DIVE STATION AND EXISTING CONDITIONS: The dive station was trailered to the site and setup in the access road before the dam. Surface air supply and umbilical were used to support diving operations. Cold water support was via water heater, hard wire communications to the diver was maintained at all time. Water temperature was approximately 32F, air temperature was approximately 35F. Water visibility was less than 2ft, water color a light brown. The pond was covered with 4-5 inches of ice. Access to the water was through holes cut into the ice.

BRIEF HISTORY OF THE SITE: The Town of Wayland has used this dam for a supply of water. The piping and mechanism inside the gate house controls the flow through the gate house and provides a low level outlet. There is a water supply line which crosses above the low level out pipe.

SUMMERY OF DIVING OPERATIONS

After setting up and testing the diving equipment, a triangular hole was cut into the ice roughly in line with the edge of the gate house. The diver entered the water and found the dam face was covered with a soft layer of mud, leaves and other organic debris. A search was conducted for the outlet pipe. After searching a reasonable distance from the access hole, the derelict water pipe was located but not the low level outlet. Another access hole was cut into the ice after scaling out approximate distances and known locations from the gate house. The diver located the city water pipe where it crosses over a rock wall located at the toe of the dam. The low level outlet was found by searching through the soft mud below the city water pipe, down the side of the rock wall. The outlet pipe was found to be 75% filled with mud in the rock wall. The diver had to push his hands into the mud to locate the pipe. An attempt was made to place the robotic camera into the outlet pipe. Visibility was 0 due to the mud and the robot could not traverse through the soft mud.

A brief inspection of the area surrounding the outlet pipe revealed that much of the dam slope is covered with mud and organic debris. Much of the wall at the base of the dam is covered with mud, approximately 1 to 2 feet of the wall is uncovered.

Diving operations were suspended and equipment winterized.

Inner Tech assisted the Pare resident engineer to perform inspections of the inside of the gate house. The piping inside was rusted and a level control mechanism appeared inoperable. A large gate valve was noted which was believed to be the low level outlet gate. The gate appeared non functional. The outlet pipe had a 1inch plugged tap hole in the side, perhaps for water sampling or pressure testing.

REMEDY PROCEDURES: The outlet pipe could not be inspected due to the presence of the soft mud inside the pipe. Possible remedies for eliminating the mud are placing an inflatable plug with a bypass in the outlet pipe and attempt to operate the outlet gate, to flush out the mud. The gate could be repaired to replaced while the plug is in place. Tapping a large hole into the outlet pipe in the gate house and installing a gate valve. Attempt to pump out the mud in the outlet pipe from the outside.




MOBILE ROBOTICS Infrastructure Investigations

Video Pipe Inspection Report

Prepared for:



Project # 2019184

12/06/2019

Mobile Robotics

110 North Maple Street-Hadley, MA 01035

413-427-5086

www.mrobot.com

charlie@mrobot.com

<u>Snake</u>	Brook Dam		Project #2019184	4	12/06/2019		Inspe	ection #1
From	То		Direction	ID)	Syste	em	Length
outle	t gate house	r	north/against flow	@2	X3'	stone c	ulvert	@90'
<u>Snake</u>	<u>Brook Dam</u>		Project #2019184	4	12/06/2	2019	Inspe	ection #2
Fron	n To		Direction		ID	Syste	em	Length
inle	t gate house		south/with flow		18"	FE/H	20	@70'
	pipe segment is in g	ood c	ondition with no defec	ts				
	pipe segment is in fa	air cor	ndition with some defe	cts				
	pipe segment is in p	oor c	ondition with serious d	efects				
ID	approximate inside	diame	ter of pipe					
SS	Sanitary Sewer							
SD	Storm Drain							
TD	Toe Drain							
GWI	Groundwater Infiltra	tion						
PVC	Poly Vinyl Chloride I	Pipe						
RCP	Re-enforced Concrete Pipe							
AC	Asbestos Concrete Pipe							
FE	Iron Pipe							
HDPE	E High Density Poly Ethylene Pipe							
CIPP	Cured-in-place Pipe	;						

Snake Brook Dam	Project #2019184	12/06/2019	Inspection #1
-----------------	------------------	------------	---------------



From	To Direction		ID System Len				
outlet	gat	e house	north/against flow		@2X3'	stone culvert	@90'
Distance	Clock	Fe	atures & Defects			VIDEO	
0'-		outlet			5.91 * 6.32 * 2019-12-06 0.00 ft		
@10'	zoomed view appears to be collapsed				5.92 ° 6.33 ° 2019-12-08 0.00 ft		
Conclusio	on The	culvert app	ears to be collapsed near	· th	e outlet.		

Snake Bro	ok Dam	Project #2019184	12/06/2	2019 Insp	pection #2
	°-2.98 12-06		A State		
From	То	Direction	ID	System	Length
inlet	gate house	south/with flow	18"	FE/H2O	@70'
Distance C	Clock Feature	s & Defects		VIDEO	
25'	offshore-approxi inlet	mate location of			

APPENDIX D Wetland Delineation Supporting Information

Snake Brook Dam Wayland, Massachusetts



PROJECT TITLE: Snake Pond Dam

PARE JOB NO.: 19167.00

DELINEATION DATE: 11/6/19

REPORT DATE: 11/19/2019

LOCATION: Wayland, Massachusetts WEATHER: Sunny, 50 degrees PERFORMED BY: Lauren Gluck, P.W.S.

DISCUSSIONS AND COMMENTS

Wetland resource areas in the vicinity of the Snake Pond Dam in Wayland were defined and delineated in accordance with the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act Regulations (310 CMR 10.00, referred to as the WPA Regulations), and the methodology specified in the publications entitled <u>Delineating Bordering</u> <u>Vegetated Wetlands under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act</u> (Jackson, 1995) and <u>The Regional</u> <u>Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: North Central and Northeast Region</u> (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2012). Inspection and delineation of wetlands were completed on November 6, 2019.

The project site consists of an earthen dam with an impounded pond upstream of the dam and Snake Brook that outlets downstream of the dam. The site is located to the east of Rice Road and north of Woodbridge Road. Land bordering the pond and stream is a combination of undeveloped forest and residential properties. Vegetated wetlands border the eastern and southern sides of the pond.

According to the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map for the area (Map Number 25017C0529F, effective date July 7, 2014), the area is not located in a delineated Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA).

According to the most recent available MassGIS data, no certified or potential vernal pools, Areas of Critical Environmental Concern, Outstanding Resource Waters, or mapped rare species habitats are located on or in the vicinity of the site.

Pink field flags were placed at appropriate intervals along the wetland/upland borders. Primary parameters evaluated in wetland delineation included vegetation, indicators of wetland hydrology, and hydric soil indicators. Observed wetland hydrologic indicators and soils are described in the following sections and within the attached Delineation Data Forms. Wetland resource areas within the vicinity of the site include the following: **Bordering Vegetated Wetlands, Bank,** and **Stream.** Wetland resource areas are discussed in the following sections.

WETLAND DESCRIPTIONS

Pond

The Snake Brook Dam impounds a linear-shaped pond to the west of Rice Street known as the Old Wayland Reservoirs. The edges of the impoundment are defined under section 10.54 of the WPA Regulations as **Bank**, which has an associated **100-foot Buffer Zone**. Flag series P-1 to P-20 defines the Bank in the vicinity of the earthen dam, which were delineated according to first observable break in slope. The series

begins at the eastern end of the pond and extends south along a forested slope between flags P-1 to P-6. The series then turns west to follow the upstream edge of the dam embankment between P-6 and P-10, where the Bank consists of a maintained earthen slope. Flags P-10 to P-20 define the wooded western edge of the pond, where the Snake Brook outlets between flags P-15 and P-16. Species of vegetation observed along the Banks included, but were not limited to, the following:

Common Name	Scientific Name	Indicator Status
Red Maple	Acer rubrum	FAC
Red Oak	Quercus rubra	FACU
White Pine	Prunus strobus	FACU
American Beech	Fagus grandifolia	FACU
White Oak	Quercus alba	FACU
Highbush Blueberry	Vaccinium corymbosum	FACW
Sweet Pepperbush	Clethra alnifolia	FAC
Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	FAC
Mountain Laurel	Kalmia latifolia	FACU
Cinnamon Fern	Osmunda cinnamomea	FACW
Japanese Barberry	Berberis thunbergii	FACU
Great Laurel	Rhododendron maximum	FAC

According to 310 CMR 10.56(2), land below the Mean Low Water (MLW) of the impoundment is defined as **Land Under Waterbodies**. The pond edges appeared shallow and mostly unvegetated near the dam embankment, although communities of emergent vegetation could be observed along the edges further upstream.

Snake Brook

The uncontrolled spillway at the west end of the dam discharges to Snake Brook, which flows south through a wooded area before crossing a culvert beneath Woodridge Road approximately 400 feet to the south. Snake Brook is shown on the USGS Topographic Quadrangle for the area as a perennial river and therefore has an associated **200-foot Riverfront Area** in accordance with section 10.58 (2) of the WPA Regulations.

The edges of the river are defined in section 10.54 of the Regulations as **Bank**. Flag series R-1 to R-18 and R-100 to R-113 define the east and west Banks of the river, respectively. Both series begin at the southwest side of the impoundment and extend south for a short distance along boulder walls flanking the channel before entering a stone culvert under a footpath between flags R-4 and R-103. Downstream of the culvert, the river extends downslope through a wooded area to the south of the dam. A walled outlet channel, which reportedly connects to the nearby gatehouse, is located between flags R-12 and R-16. The Banks are forested and have a variable understory of shrubs, including several invasive species. Vegetation observed along the Bank included, but was not limited to, the following species:

Common Name	Scientific Name	Indicator Status
Red Maple	Acer rubrum	FAC
Yellow Birch	Betula alleghaniensis	FAC
White Pine	Prunus strobus	FACU
American Beech	Fagus grandifolia	FACU
White Oak	Quercus alba	FACU
Burningbush	Ailanthus altissima	NI
Japanese Barberry	Berberis thunbergii	FACU
Sweet Pepperbush	Clethra alnifolia	FAC
Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	FAC
Mountain Laurel	Kalmia latifolia	FACU
Cinnamon Fern	Osmunda cinnamomea	FACW

Bordering Vegetated Wetlands

Two vegetated wetland areas were identified and delineated in the vicinity of the dam. Both wetlands border on Snake Brook and are therefore classified as **Bordering Vegetated Wetlands (BVWs)** with associated **100-foot Buffer Zones** under section 10.55 of the WPA Regulations. Each of these areas is described below.

Wetland A

A forested wetland occupies a low-lying area to the west of the dam. The wetland is hydrologically connected with both the impoundment and outlet, although an upland berm separates the area from the pond edge immediately northwest of the dam. Flag series A-1 to A-7 defines the eastern edge of this wetland, beginning at Bank flag R-101 and extending north, ending where the pond connects with the wetland at Bank flag P-20. Flag series A-100 to A-104 defines the west edge of the wetland, beginning along a forested slope to the northwest of the dam and ending along Snake Brook at Bank flag R-102. The wetland is forested, with a dense understory of shrubs and a ground cover of ferns. Most of the wetland appears to have a seasonally flooded hydrology that is primarily driven by groundwater. Water staining and drainage patterns at the north end of the wetland bordering the pond indicate that it likely receives overflow from the impoundment during high water. Species of vegetation observed within the wetland included, but were not limited to, the following:

Common Name	Scientific Name	Indicator Status
Red Maple	Acer rubrum	FAC
Yellow Birch	Betula alleghaniensis	FAC
White Pine	Pinus strobus	FACU
Black Birch	Betula lenta	FACU
Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	FAC
Sweet Pepperbush	Clethra alnifolia	FAC
Highbush Blueberry	Vaccinium corymbosum	FACW
Cinnamon Fern	Osmunda cinnamomea	FACW
Sensitive Fern	Onoclea sensibilis	FACW
Royal Fern	Osmunda regalis	OBL

Wetland B

A forested wetland is located to the east of Snake Brook within the wooded area downstream of the dam. Flag series B-1 to B-6 defines the north edge of the BVW, beginning at Bank flag R-17 and extending east along the toe of the slope before turning south, terminating along a stone wall bordering the adjacent residential property. The wetland edges are forested with a dense understory dominated by invasive shrubs, although wetter areas in the wetland interior are more sparsely vegetated and dominated by native shrubs. The wetland appears to have a variable hydrology, ranging from seasonally saturated areas along the upper margins to semipermanently flooded areas at the interior. The wetland appears to be fed by a combination of groundwater and overflow from Snake Brook. Species of vegetation observed within the wetland included, but were not limited to, the following:

Common Name	Scientific Name	Indicator Status
Slippery Elm	Ulmus rubra	FAC
Red Maple	Acer rubrum	FAC
American Beech	Fagus grandifolia	FACU
White Pine	Pinus strobus	FACU
Burningbush	Ailanthus altissima	NI
Japanese Barberry	Berberis thunbergii	FACU
Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	FAC
Sweet Pepperbush	Clethra alnifolia	FAC
Highbush Blueberry	Vaccinium corymbosum	FACW
Elderberry	Sambucus nigra	FACW
Jewelweed	Impantiens capensis	FACW
Poison Ivy	Toxicodendron radicans	FAC
Cinnamon Fern	Osmunda cinnamomea	FACW
Sensitive Fern	Onoclea sensibilis	FACW
Royal Fern	Osmunda regalis	OBL
Tussock Sedge	Carex stricta	OBL

LHG/SWA



Photo 1: Bank along upstream slope of embankment, consisting of maintained grass slope.



Photo 2: View of impoundment, facing north from dam.



Photo 3: Wooded section of bank at upstream right side of dam, facing southeast toward gatehouse.



Photo 4: A-series BVW to the west of the impoundment and outlet.



Photo 5: Uncontrolled spillway with beaver control device at right abutment.



Photo 6: Outlet channel, facing downstream from spillway toward footbridge.



Photo 7: Walled outlet channel downstream of dam, located between flags R-12 and R-15.



Photo 8: B-series BVW within the wooded area downstream of the dam.

WETLAND DELINEATION SKETCH:

Snake Pond Dam – Wayland, MA

Flagged by Lauren Gluck, PWS of Pare Corporation November 6, 2019 508-543-1755 (o) 617-504-3089 (m)

FLAG SERIES TO LOCATE

Pond Bank: P-1 to P-20 River Banks: R-1 to R-18 & R-100 to R-113 Bordering Vegetated Wetlands: A-1 to A-7, A-100 to A-104, B-1 to B-6





Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey





Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
1	Water	10.4	11.4%
51A	Swansea muck, 0 to 1 percent slopes	2.7	2.9%
52A	Freetown muck, 0 to 1 percent slopes	1.5	1.7%
53A	Freetown muck, ponded, 0 to 1 percent slopes	2.3	2.5%
71B	Ridgebury fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, extremely stony	10.2	11.2%
106C	Narragansett-Hollis-Rock outcrop complex, 3 to 15 percent slopes	20.2	22.1%
106D	Narragansett-Hollis-Rock outcrop complex, 15 to 25 percent slopes	22.9	25.0%
251B	Haven silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	6.2	6.8%
254B	Merrimac fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	4.0	4.4%
416B	Narragansett silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, very stony	2.7	3.0%
416C	Narragansett silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes, very stony	8.0	8.7%
656	Udorthents-Urban land complex		0.4%
Totals for Area of Interest	·	91.7	100.0%

APPENDIX E Hydrologic and Hydraulics Analyses Snake Brook Dam Wayland, Massachusetts

SnakeE Prepare HydroCAI	BrookDan d by Pare D® 10.10-3a	n Corporati a s/n 10894	ion 4 © 2020 Hyd	droCAD Softwa	19167.00_Sna	akeBrookDamRehab_EC <i>Multi-Event Tables</i> Printed 3/30/2020 Page 41	SnakeE Prepare HydroCA	BrookDar d by Pare D® 10.10-3a	n Corporatio i s/n 10894	n © 2020 Hyd	IroCAD Softwa	are Solution	19167.00_ s LLC	SnakeBrookDa Multi-E Printe	mRehab_EC Event Tables ad 3/30/2020 Page 42
			Events	s for Pond 2	P: Upper Pond				Eve	nts for P	ond 3PEC:	Snake Br	ook Dam-B	EC .	
Event	Inflow (cfs)	Primary (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Storage (acre-feet)			Event	Inflow (cfs)	Outflow (cfs)	Primary (cfs)	Secondary (cfs)	Tertiary (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Storage (acre-feet)	
10-yr	28.68	18.31	226.66	17.455			10-yr	65.64	58.19	45.21	0.00	12.97	226.02	40.842	
50-yr	62.04	57.76	227.11	20.476			50-yr	156.18	148.14	78.33	0.00	69.81	226.56	44.941	
100-yr	80.30	78.26	227.15	20.788			100-yr	203.72	196.42	87.91	0.00	108.51	226.70	46.513	

SnakeBrookDam

19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_EC Table of Contents Printed 3/30/2020

Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- Project Reports

 1
 Routing Diagram

 2
 Rainfall Events Listing

 3
 Area Listing (selected nodes)

 4
 Pipe Listing (selected nodes)

- 10-yr Event

 5
 Node Listing

 6
 Subcat 1A: DA1_Segmental

 8
 Subcat 2A: DA2_Segmental

 10
 Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2

 12
 Pond 2P: Upper Pond

 14
 Pond 3PEC: Snake Brook Dam-EC

- 50-yr Event

 16
 Node Listing

 17
 Subcat 1A: DA1_Segmental

 19
 Subcat 2A: DA2_Segmental

 21
 Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2

 23
 Pond 2P: Upper Pond 2

 25
 Pond 3PEC: Snake Brook Dam-EC

- 100-vr Event

 27
 Node Listing

 28
 Subcat 1A: DA1_Segmental

 30
 Subcat 2A: DA2_Segmental

 32
 Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2

 34
 Pond 2P: Upper Pond 2

 36
 Pond 3P: Upper Pond 3

 36
 Pond 3PEC: Snake Brook Dam-EC

- Multi-Event Tables

 38
 Subcat 1A: DA1_Segmental

 39
 Subcat 2A: DA2_Segmental

 40
 Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2

 41
 Pond 2P: Upper Pond

 42
 Pond 3PEC: Snake Brook Dam-EC



F	Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC									3/30/2020 Page 2
	Rainfall Events Listing									
	Event#	Event Name	Storm Type	Curve	Mode	Duration (hours)	B/B	Depth (inches)	AMC	
	1	1-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	1-yr	Default	24.00	1	2.66	2	
	2	2-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	2-yr	Default	24.00	1	3.28	2	
	3	5-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	5-yr	Default	24.00	1	4.29	2	
	4	10-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	10-yr	Default	24.00	1	5.13	2	
	5	25-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	25-yr	Default	24.00	1	6.29	2	
	6	50-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	50-yr	Default	24.00	1	7.14	2	
	7	100-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	100-yr	Default	24.00	1	8.08	2	
	8	200-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	200-yr	Default	24.00	1	9.25	2	
	9	500-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	500-yr	Default	24.00	1	11.10	2	
	10	1000-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	1000-yr	Default	24.00	1	12.70	2	

SnakeBrookDam

19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_EC

Printed 3/30/2020 Page 3

SnakeBrookDam Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Area Listing (selected nodes)

Area (acres)	CN	Description (subcatchment-numbers)
223.000	51	"2% imp" (2A)
287.000	58	"3% imp" (1A)
510.000	55	TOTAL AREA

SnakoB	IrookDa	m	167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_EC						
Prepareo	d by Pare 0® 10.10-3	e Corporatio la s/n 10894	ns LLC		Printed	3/30/2020 Page 4			
	Pipe Listing (selected nodes)								
Line#	Node	In-Invert	Out-Invert	Lenath	Slope	n	Diam/Width	Height	Inside-Fill

2	Number	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(ft/ft)		(inches)	(inches)	(inches)
1	3PEC	210.50	207.50	60.0	0.0500	0.013	18.0	0.0	0.0

	19167.00 SnakeBrookDamRehab EC
SnakeBrookDam	MA-Snake 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=5.13", Ia/S=0.15
Deserved by Deservestion	Drinted 2/20/2020

Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 3/3 Page 5

Time span=0.00-60.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 6001 points x 2 Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Spilt Pervious/Imperv. Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method

tal Runoff Area=287.000 ac 3.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.49" Flow Length=5,600' Tc=311.9 min CN=57/98 Runoff=51.24 cfs 35.651 af Subcatchment 1A: DA1_Segmental

Subcatchment2A: DA2_Segmental Runoff Area=223.000 ac 2.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.05" Flow Length=5,050' Tc=281.4 min CN=50/98 Runoff=28.08 cfs 19.429 af

Peak Elev=226.65' Storage=2.737 af Inflow=52.14 cfs 40.115 af Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2 Outflow=52.10 cfs 39.837 af

Pond 2P: Upper Pond Peak Elev=226.66' Storage=17.455 af Inflow=28.68 cfs 22.404 af Outflow=18.31 cfs 21.278 af

Peak Elev=226.02' Storage=40.842 af Inflow=65.64 cfs 61.115 af
 Pond 3PEC: Snake Brook Dam-EC
 Peak Elev=226.02' Storage=40.842 af Inflow=65.64 cfs 61.115 af

 Primary=45.21 cfs 53.280 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Tertiary=12.97 cfs 6.479 af Outflow=58.19 cfs 59.759 af

 Total Runoff Area = 510.000 ac
 Runoff Volume = 55.080 af
 Average Runoff Depth = 1.30"

 97.44% Pervious = 496.930 ac
 2.56% Impervious = 13.070 ac

nakeBro	ookDam	
	D	

19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_EC MA-Snake 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=5.13", Ia/S=0.15 Printed 3/30/2020 S Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 6

Summary for Subcatchment 1A: DA1_Segmental

Runoff = 51.24 cfs @ 16.30 hrs, Volume= 35.651 af, Depth= 1.49"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs MA-Snake 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=5.13", Ia/S=0.15

	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
*	287.	000 5	8 "3%	imp"		
	278. 8.	390 5 610 9	67 97.0 8 3.00	0% Pervio % Impervi	us Area ous Area	
	Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	70.1	100	0.0010	0.02		Sheet Flow,
	6.0	400	0.0500	1.12		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30" Shallow Concentrated Flow, 270 to 253
	21.5	500	0.0060	0.39		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 253 to 250 Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
	11.8	500	0.0200	0.71		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 250 to 238 Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
	105.4	1,000	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 238 to 237 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	95.8	1,700	0.0035	0.30		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 237 to 231 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	1.3	1,400		17.94		Lake or Reservoir, Mean Depth= 10.00'
	311.0	5 600	Total			

311.9 5,600 Tota

SnakeBrookDam	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	10-yr	Rainfall	=5.13",	la/S=0.15		
Prepared by Pare Corporation				Printed	3/30/2020		
HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 7							
Subcatchment 1A: DA1_Segmental							
Hydrograph							

19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_EC



Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD					D Software Solutions LLC	Printed 3/30/20 Page	
Rupoff							
Nution - 20.00 cis @ 10.20 ms, Volume- 19.429 al, Deptit- 1.03							
Runoff b	y SCS TH	R-20 meth	hod, UH=S	SCS, Split F	Pervious/Imperv., Time Span=	0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 h	
Runoff b MA-Snal	y SCS TF ke 24-hr \$	R-20 meti S1 10-yr	hod, UH=S Rainfall=5	SCS, Split F 5.13", Ia/S=	Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.15	0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 h	
Runoff b MA-Snal Area	y SCS TF ke 24-hr \$ (ac) C	R-20 meti S1 10-yr N Desi	hod, UH=S Rainfall=5 cription	SCS, Split F 5.13", Ia/S=	Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.15	0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 h	
Runoff b MA-Snal <u>Area</u>	y SCS TF ke 24-hr \$ <u>(ac) C</u> .000 §	R-20 meti S1 10-yr <u>N Des</u> i1 "2%	hod, UH=S Rainfall=5 <u>cription</u> imp"	SCS, Split F 5.13", Ia/S=	Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.15	0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 h	
Runoff b MA-Snal <u>Area</u> * 223. 218.	y SCS TF ke 24-hr \$ (ac) C .000 § .540 §	R-20 metł S1 10-yr <u>N Dese i1 "2%</u> i0 98.0	hod, UH=S Rainfall=5 <u>cription imp"</u> 0% Pervio	SCS, Split F 5.13", Ia/S= ous Area	Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.15	0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 h	
Runoff b MA-Snal <u>Area</u> * 223. 218. 4.	y SCS TF ke 24-hr \$ (ac) C .000 £ .540 \$.460 \$	R-20 mett S1 10-yr N Dest 1 "2% 0 98.0 8 2.00	hod, UH=S Rainfall=5 <u>cription imp"</u> 10% Pervio 1% Impervi	SCS, Split F 5.13", Ia/S= ous Area ious Area	Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.15	0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 h	
Runoff b MA-Snal <u>Area</u> * 223. 218. 4.	y SCS TF ke 24-hr \$ (ac) C .000 5 .540 5 .460 \$	R-20 metl S1 10-yr N Desi i1 "2% i0 98.0 8 2.00	hod, UH=S Rainfall=5 <u>cription imp"</u> 0% Pervio % Impervi	SCS, Split F 5.13", Ia/S= ous Area ious Area	Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.15	0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 h	
Runoff b MA-Snal <u>Area</u> * 223. 218. 4. Tc	y SCS TF ke 24-hr \$ (ac) C .000 5 .540 5 .460 9 Length	R-20 metl S1 10-yr N Dese i <u>1 "2%</u> i0 98.0 8 2.00 Slope	hod, UH=S Rainfall=5 <u>cription imp"</u> 0% Pervio % Impervi Velocity	SCS, Split F 5.13", la/S= ous Area ious Area Capacity	Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.15 	0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 h	

15.8	150	0.0010	0.16	Shallow Concentrated Flow, 389 to 389 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
1.3	200	0.2500	2.50	Shallow Concentrated Flow, 389 to 339 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
52.7	500	0.0010	0.16	Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 338 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
20.8	1,600	0.0660	1.28	Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 233 Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
172.1	2,000	0.0015	0.19	Shallow Concentrated Flow, 233 to 230 Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
0.7	500		11.35	Lake or Reservoir,

281.4 5,050 Total





Runoff = 99.94 cfs @ 16.29 hrs, Volume= 66.758 af, Depth= 2.79"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Rainfall=7.14", Ia/S=0.15

	A	()				
-	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
*	287.	000 5	58 "3%	imp"		
	278.	390 5 610 6	57 97.0	0% Pervio	us Area	
	0.	010 0	0.00	70 Impervi	0037000	
	Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	70.1	100	0.0010	0.02		Sheet Flow.
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"
	6.0	400	0.0500	1.12		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 270 to 253
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	21.5	500	0.0060	0.39		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 253 to 250
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	11.8	500	0.0200	0.71		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 250 to 238
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	105.4	1,000	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 238 to 237
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	95.8	1,700	0.0035	0.30		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 237 to 231
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	1.3	1,400		17.94		Lake or Reservoir,
_						Mean Depth= 10.00'
	044.0	F 000	T - 4 - 1			

311.9 5,600 Total

Subcatchment 1A: DA1_Segmental



19167.00_SnakeBrookDam 19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_EC SnakeBrookDam MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Rainfall=7.14", IaXS=0.15 Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 3/30/0200 HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 19

Summary for Subcatchment 2A: DA2_Segmental

= 61.44 cfs @ 15.95 hrs, Volume= 39.609 af, Depth= 2.13'

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Rainfall=7.14", la/S=0.15

_	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
*	223.	000 5	51 "2%	imp"		
	218. 4.	540 5 460 9	50 98.0 98 2.00	0% Pervio % Impervi	us Area ous Area	
	Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	18.0	100	0.0300	0.09		Sheet Flow, 392 to 389
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"
	15.8	150	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 389 to 389
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	1.3	200	0.2500	2.50		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 389 to 339
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	52.7	500	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 338
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	20.8	1,600	0.0660	1.28		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 233
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	172.1	2,000	0.0015	0.19		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 233 to 230
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	0.7	500		11.35		Lake or Reservoir,
_						Mean Depth= 4.00'

281.4 5,050 Total

Runoff

1911 SnakeBrookDam MA-Snake 24-hr S1 Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_EC MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Rainfall=7.14", Ia/S=0.15 Printed 3/30/2020 9 Software Solutions LLC Page 20



19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_EC SnakeBrookDam MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 3/30/2020 HydroCADD 910.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 21	19167.00_SnakeBrookDam 19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_EC SnakeBrookDam MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Rainfall=7.14", Ia/S=0.15 Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 3/30/2020 Printed 3/30/2020 HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 22
Summary for Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2	Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Wordgraph Inflow Area=287.000 ac Peak Elev=226.80? Storage=2.847 af add add add add add add add add add ad
$\label{eq:starting} \begin{array}{c} 19167.00\ SnakeBrookDamRehab\ EC\\ MA-Snake\ 24-hr\ S1\ 50-yr\ Rainfall-7.14",\ Ia/S=0.15\\ Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed\ 3/30/2020\\ Printed\ 3/30/2020\ PydroCAD Software\ Solutions\ LLC\ Page\ 23\\ \hline \\ Summary\ for\ Pond\ 2P:\ Upper\ Pond\\ \hline \\ Inflow\ Area\ =\ 223.000\ ac,\ 2.00\%\ Impervious,\ Inflow\ Depth\ >\ 2.29"\ for\ 50-yr\ event\ Inflow\ =\ 57.76\ cfs\ @\ 16.92\ hrs,\ Volume=\ 41.457\ af,\ Atten=7\%,\ Lag=\ 58.2\ min\ Printeg\ 225.20'\ Storage=\ 10.920\ af\ 14.57\ af\ Atten=7\%,\ Lag=\ 58.2\ min\ Printeg\ 225.20'\ Storage=\ 10.920\ af\ 14.57\ af\ Atten=7\%,\ Lag=\ 58.2\ min\ Printeg\ 225.20'\ Storage=\ 10.920\ af\ 10.920\$	

19167.00_SnakeBrookDam 19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_EC SnakeBrookDam MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Rainfall=7,14", Ia/S=0.15 Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 3/30/2020 HydroCAD® 10.10-3a sin 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 25	19167.00_SnakeBrookDam 19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_EC SnakeBrookDam MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Rainfall=7.14", Ia/S=0.15 Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 3/30/2020 Printed 3/30/2020 HydroCADB 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 26
Dumary for Pand 3PEC: Snake Data Data Inflow 10.000 ac 2.56% impervious, inflow Depth 2.24f for 50-yr event Inflow 118.14 to 64 17.39 hrs, Volume 112.253 rd Storndary 0.000 to 000 hrs, Volume 0.000 to 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 Storndary 0.000 to 000 hrs, Volume 0.000 to 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 Storndary 0.000 to 000 hrs, Volume 0.000 to 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 Storndary 0.000 to 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 0.000 to 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 Storndary 0.000 to 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 0.000 to 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 Dup-Flow detention time= 455.9 min calculated for 80.738 af (72% of inflow) 0.000 to 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 Outoo next Not 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 Variation next Not 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 Variation next Not 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 Variation next Not 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 Variation Not 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 Not 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 Variation Not 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 Not 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 Variation Not 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 Not 000 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3	<text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>
19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_EC SnakeBrookDam MA-Snake 24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=&.08", Ia/S=0.15 Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 3/30/2020 HydroCAD® 10.10-3a sin 10894 @ 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 27 Time span=0.00-60.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 6001 points x 2 Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv. Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method	19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_EC SnakeBrookDam MA-Snake 24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=8.08", Ia/S=0.15 Pripared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 28 Summary for Subcatchment 1A: DA1_Segmental Runoff = 125.28 cfs @ 16.29 hrs, Volume= 82.920 af, Depth= 3.47"

Subcatchment 1A: DA1_Segmental Runoff Area=287.000 ac 3.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.47" Flow Length=5,600° Tc=311.9 min CN=57/98 Runoff=125.28 cfs 82.920 af

Subcatchment2A: DA2_Segmental Runoff Area=223.000 ac 2.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.72* Flow Length=5,050' Tc=281.4 min CN=50/98 Runoff=79.70 cfs 50.475 af

 Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2
 Peak Elev=226.89' Storage=2.914 af Inflow=126.18 cfs 87.383 af Outflow=125.60 cfs 87.105 af

Pond 2P: Upper Pond Peak Elev=227.15' Storage=20.788 af Inflow=80.30 cfs 53.451 af Outflow=78.26 cfs 52.323 af

 Pond 3PEC: Snake Brook Dam-EC
 Peak Elev=226.70'
 Storage=46.513 af
 Inflow=203.72 cfs
 139.429 af

 Primary=87.91 cfs
 92.221 af
 Secondary=0.00 cfs
 0.000 af
 Tertiary=108.51 cfs
 45.826 af
 Outflow=196.42 cfs
 138.047 af

 Total Runoff Area = 510.000 ac
 Runoff Volume = 133.395 af
 Average Runoff Depth = 3.14"

 97.44% Pervious = 496.930 ac
 2.56% Impervious = 13.070 ac

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs MA-Snake 24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=8.08", Ia/S=0.15

Area	(ac) C	N Desi	cription		
* 287	000 5	58 "3%	imp"		
278.	390 5 610 9	67 97.0 98 3.00	0% Pervio % Impervi	us Area ous Area	
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
70.1	100	0.0010	0.02		Sheet Flow,
6.0	400	0.0500	1.12		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 270 to 253 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fts
21.5	500	0.0060	0.39		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 253 to 250 Woodland Ky= 5.0 fos
11.8	500	0.0200	0.71		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 250 to 238 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
105.4	1,000	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 238 to 237 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
95.8	1,700	0.0035	0.30		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 237 to 231 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
1.3	1,400		17.94		Lake or Reservoir, Mean Depth= 10.00'

311.9 5,600 Total



19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_EC MA-Snake 24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=8.08", Ia/S=0.15 Printed 3/30/2020 SnakeBrookDam Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Summary for Subcatchment 2A: DA2_Segmental

Page 30

Runoff = 79.70 cfs @ 15.95 hrs, Volume= 50.475 af, Depth= 2.72"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs MA-Snake 24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=8.08", Ia/S=0.15

Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
* 223.	.000 5	51 "2%	imp"		
218. 4.	540 5 460 9	50 98.0 98 2.00	0% Pervio % Impervi	us Area ous Area	
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
18.0	100	0.0300	0.09		Sheet Flow, 392 to 389
15.8	150	0.0010	0.16		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30" Shallow Concentrated Flow, 389 to 389
1.3	200	0.2500	2.50		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 389 to 339 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
52.7	500	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 338 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
20.8	1,600	0.0660	1.28		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 233 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
172.1	2,000	0.0015	0.19		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 233 to 230 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
0.7	500		11.35		Lake or Reservoir, Mean Depth= 4.00'
281.4	5,050	Total			

SnakeBrookDam

Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC



Page 32 Summary for Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2
 287.000 ac,
 3.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 3.65" for 100-yr event

 126.18 dfs @
 16.29 hrs, Volume=
 87.383 af, Incl. 0.90 cfs Base Flow

 125.60 cfs @
 16.31 hrs, Volume=
 87.105 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 1.1 min

 125.60 cfs @
 16.31 hrs, Volume=
 87.105 af
 Inflow Area = Inflow = Outflow Primary = Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Starting Elev= 225.20' Storage= 1.650 af Peak Elev= 226.89' @ 16.77 hrs Storage= 2.914 af (1.264 af above start) Plug-Flow detention time= 63.8 min calculated for 85.455 af (98% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 14.4 min (1,196.8 - 1,182.4)
 Avail.Storage
 Storage Description

 30.000 af
 Ras Terrain with BathyListed below
 Volume #1 Invert 223.00 Elevation Cum.Store (feet) 223.00 227.00 (acre-feet) 0.000 3.000 230.00 30.000 Device Routing Outlet Devices Invert 225.40' Upper Dam 1, C= 3.00 Offset (feet) 50.00 100.00 200.00 202.00 206.00 208.00 270.00 #1 Primary Si 50.00 Elev. (feet) 231.00 226.50 226.50 225.40 225.40 226.50 226.50 231.00 Primary OutFlow Max=125.60 cfs @ 16.31 hrs HW=226.87' TW=226.65' (Dynamic Tailwater) -1=Upper Dam 1 (Weir Controls 125.60 cfs @ 1.65 fps)

19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_EC MA-Snake 24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=8.08", Ia/S=0.15

Printed 3/30/2020





19167.00_	SnakeBrookDamRehab_EC
SnakeBrookDam	Multi-Event Tables
Prepared by Pare Corporation	Printed 3/30/2020
HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 39

Events for Subcatchment 2A: DA2 Segmental

Event	Rainfall	Runoff	Volume	Depth
	(inches)	(cfs)	(acre-feet)	(inches)
10-yr	5.13	28.08	19.429	1.05
50-yr	7.14	61.44	39.609	2.13
100-yr	8.08	79.70	50.475	2.72

	19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_EC
SnakeBrookDam	Multi-Event Tables
Prepared by Pare Corporation	Printed 3/30/2020
HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions	s LLC Page 40
Events for Pond 1P: Upper I	Pond 2

Event	Inflow (cfs)	Primary (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Storage (acre-feet)
10-yr	52.14	52.10	226.65	2.737
50-yr	100.84	100.62	226.80	2.847
100-yr	126.18	125.60	226.89	2.914

SnakeE Prepare HydroCAI	19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_Construction SnakeBrookDam Multi-Event Tables Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 3/30/2020 HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 41					Snake Prepare HydroCA	BrookDan d by Pare D® 10.10-3a	n Corporatio <u>s/n 10894</u>	n © 2020 Hyd	IroCAD Softwa	19167.0	0_SnakeBro	ookDamRehab Multi- Print	Construction Event Tables ted 3/30/2020 Page 42	
			Events	for Pond 2	P: Upper Pond			Events	for Pond	3PCP: Si	nake Brook	Dam-Cor	struction	·6.5' DD (El. 2	218)
Event	Inflow	Primary	Elevation	Storage			Event	Inflow	Outflow	Primary	Secondary	Tertiary	Elevation	Storage	
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(feet)	(acre-feet)				(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(feet)	(acre-feet)	
10-yr	28.68	18.80	226.63	17.262			10-yr	66.35	51.73	0.00	51.73	0.00	219.90	7.675	
50-yr	62.04	57.82	227.09	20.375			50-yr	156.18	71.11	11.93	59.18	0.00	225.19	35.087	
100-yr	80.30	78.79	227.14	20.681			100-yr	204.76	114.47	53.99	60.48	0.00	226.18	41.958	

Sna	koBro	ok Da	am

19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_Construction Table of Contents Printed 3/30/2020

Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- Project Reports

 1
 Routing Diagram

 2
 Rainfall Events Listing

 3
 Area Listing (selected nodes)

 4
 Pipe Listing (selected nodes)

- 10-yr Event

 5
 Node Listing

 6
 Subcat 1A: DA1_Segmental

 8
 Subcat 2A: DA2_Segmental

 10
 Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2

 12
 Pond 2P: Upper Pond 1

 14
 Pond 3PCP: Snake Brook Dam-Construction-6.5' DD (El. 218)

- 50-yr Event

 16
 Node Listing

 17
 Subcat 1A: DA1_Segmental

 19
 Subcat 2A: DA2_Segmental

 21
 Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2

 23
 Pond 2P: Upper Pond 2

 25
 Pond 3PCP: Snake Brook Dam-Construction-6.5' DD (El. 218)

- 100-yr Event

 27
 Node Listing

 28
 Subcat 1A: DA1_Segmental

 30
 Subcat 2A: DA2_Segmental

 32
 Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2

 34
 Pond 2P: Upper Pond

 36
 Pond 3PCP: Snake Brook Dam-Construction-6.5' DD (El. 218)

- Multi-Event Tables

 38
 Subcat 1A: DA1_Segmental

 39
 Subcat 2A: DA2_Segmental

 40
 Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2

 41
 Pond 2P: Upper Pond 2

 42
 Pond 3PCP: Snake Brook Dam-Construction-6.5' DD (EI. 218)



SnakeBr Prepared HydroCAD@	by Pare	1 Corporation s/n 10894 © 2020 Hy	droCAD Se	oftware Sc	lutions LLC		UKDamp	Printed	3/30/2020 Page 2			
Rainfall Events Listing												
Event#	Event Name	Storm Type	Curve	Mode	Duration (hours)	B/B	Depth (inches)	AMC				
1	1-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	1-yr	Default	24.00	1	2.66	2				
2	2-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	2-yr	Default	24.00	1	3.28	2				
3	5-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	5-yr	Default	24.00	1	4.29	2				
4	10-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	10-yr	Default	24.00	1	5.13	2				
5	25-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	25-yr	Default	24.00	1	6.29	2				
6	50-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	50-yr	Default	24.00	1	7.14	2				
7	100-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	100-yr	Default	24.00	1	8.08	2				
8	200-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	200-yr	Default	24.00	1	9.25	2				
9	500-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	500-yr	Default	24.00	1	11.10	2				
10	1000-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	1000-yr	Default	24.00	1	12.70	2				

19167.00_	SnakeBrookDamRehab	Construction

Printed 3/30/2020 Page 3

SnakeBrookDam

Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Area Listing (selected nodes)

Area (acres)	CN	Description (subcatchment-numbers)
223.000	51	"2% imp" (2A)
287.000	58	"3% imp" (1A)
510.000	55	TOTAL AREA

					19167.	00 Sn	akeBrookDar	nRehab C	onstruction
Snakel	BrookDa	m							
Prepare	d by Pare	Corporatio	n					Printed	3/30/2020
HydroCA	D® 10.10-3	3a s/n 10894	© 2020 Hydro	CAD Softw	are Solutio	ns LLC			Page 4
			Pipe L	isting (se	lected no	odes)			
Line#	Node	In-Invert	Out-Invert	Length	Slope	n	Diam/Width	Height	Inside-Fill

Line#	Node Number	In-Invert (feet)	Out-Invert (feet)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	n	Diam/Width (inches)	Height (inches)	Inside-Fill (inches)
1	3PCP	210.50	207.50	60.0	0.0500	0.013	18.0	0.0	0.0

	19167.00 SnakeBro	okDamRehab Construction
am	MA-Snake 24-hr S1 10-yr	Rainfall=5.13", Ia/S=0.15

Printed 3/30/2020

Page 5

 SnakeBrookDam
 MA-Snake 24-hr S1

 Prepared by Pare Corporation
 HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Time span=0.00-60.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 6001 points x 2 Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv. Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method

tal Runoff Area=287.000 ac 3.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.49" Flow Length=5,600' Tc=311.9 min CN=57/98 Runoff=51.24 cfs 35.651 af Subcatchment 1A: DA1 Segmental

Subcatchment2A: DA2_Segmental Runoff Area=223.000 ac 2.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.05" Flow Length=5,050' Tc=281.4 min CN=50/98 Runoff=28.08 cfs 19.429 af

Peak Elev=226.65' Storage=2.736 af Inflow=52.14 cfs 40.115 af Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2 Outflow=52.13 cfs 39.837 af

Pond 2P: Upper Pond Peak Elev=226.63' Storage=17.262 af Inflow=28.68 cfs 22.404 af Outflow=18.80 cfs 21.278 af

Peak Elev=219.90' Storage=7.675 af Inflow=66.35 cfs 61.115 af

 Pond 3PCP: Snake Brook
 Peak Elev=219.90'
 Storage=7.675 af
 Inflow=66.35 cfs
 61.115 af

 imary=0.00 cfs
 0.000 af
 Secondary=51.73 cfs
 61.278 af
 Tertiary=0.00 cfs
 0.000 af
 Outflow=51.73 cfs
 61.278 af
 Primary

 Total Runoff Area = 510.000 ac
 Runoff Volume = 55.080 af
 Average Runoff Depth = 1.30"

 97.44% Pervious = 496.930 ac
 2.56% Impervious = 13.070 ac

SnakeBrookDam Prepared by Pare Corporation

Page 6

Summary for Subcatchment 1A: DA1_Segmental

Runoff = 51.24 cfs @ 16.30 hrs, Volume= 35.651 af, Depth= 1.49"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs MA-Snake 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=5.13", Ia/S=0.15

	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
*	287.	000 5	8 "3%	imp"		
	278.390 57 97.00% Pervious Area 8.610 98 3.00% Impervious Area				us Area ous Area	
	Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	70.1	100	0.0010	0.02		Sheet Flow, Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"
	6.0	400	0.0500	1.12		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 270 to 253 Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
	21.5	500	0.0060	0.39		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 253 to 250 Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
	11.8	500	0.0200	0.71		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 250 to 238 Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
1	105.4	1,000	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 238 to 237 Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
	95.8	1,700	0.0035	0.30		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 237 to 231 Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
	1.3	1,400		17.94		Lake or Reservoir, Mean Depth= 10.00'
-	211.0	E 600	Total			

311.9 5,600 Tota

SnakeBrookDam Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD	19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_Construction MA-Snake 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=5.13", Ia/S=0.15 Printed 3/30/2020 Software Solutions LLC Page 7
Subcatchmen	t 1A: DA1_Segmental
Hydrog	jraph
55 <u>51.24 cfs</u>	
50	MA-Snake 24-hr S1 10-yr
45	Rainfall=5.13"
40	la/\$=0.15
35	Runoff Area=287.000 ac
5 30	Runoff Volume=35.651 af
ق 25	Runoff Depth=1.49

8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 Time (hours)

à. 6 Flow Length=5,600' Tc=311.9 min CN=57/98

HydroCAL	D® 10.10-	3a s/n 10	894 © 202	0 HydroCAE	Software Solutions LLC Page
		Su	mmary f	or Subca	tchment 2A: DA2_Segmental
Runoff	=	28.08 cfs	s@ 16.2	6 hrs, Volu	ime= 19.429 af, Depth= 1.05"
Runoff by MA-Snak	/ SCS TF e 24-hr \$	R-20 meth S1 10-yr	nod, UH=S Rainfall=5	CS, Split F .13", Ia/S=(/ervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hr: 0.15
Area (ac) C	N Dese	cription		
* 223.0	000 5	51 "2%	imp"		
218.	540 5	60 98.0	0% Pervio	us Area	
4.4	460 9	98 2.00	% Impervi	ous Area	
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
18.0	100	0.0300	0.09		Sheet Flow, 392 to 389 Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"
15.8	150	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 389 to 389 Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
1.3	200	0.2500	2.50		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 389 to 339 Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
52.7	500	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 338 Woodland Ky= 5.0 ps
20.8	1,600	0.0660	1.28		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 233 Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
172.1	2,000	0.0015	0.19		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 233 to 230 Woodland Kv= 5.0 ps
					i o o po

19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_Construction MA-Snake 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=5.13", Ia/S=0.15

281.4 5,050 Total

SnakeBrookDam



<page-header><text><text><text><figure></figure></text></text></text></page-header>	Description Description Print 300/200 Machine State Print 300/200 Print 300/200 Machine State Print 300/200 Print 300/200 Machine State State Print 300/200 Machine State Print 300/200 Print 300/200 State Print 300/200 Print 300/200 Machine State Print 300/200 Print 300/200
SnekBrookDam MakSnake 24/Hr S1 10/Yr Rainfalled, 13', 14/Sou201 Properties Properties MakSnake 24/Hr S1 10/Yr Rainfalled, 13', 14/Sou201 Propering MakSnake 24/Hr S1 10/Yr Rainfalled, 13', 14/Sou201 Propering MakSnake 24/Hr S1 10/Yr Rainfalled, 13', 14/Sou201 Propering MakSnake 24/Hr S1 10/Yr Rainfalled, 51', 14/Sou201 Propering MakSnake 24/Hr S1 Construction Diversion System (Tuke Coalition Sou201) MakSnake 24/Hr S1 Secondary 2000 0' Costruction Diversion System (Tuke Elex-2000 / 12020) Matsnake 211/S 20' Breake 2211/S 20' Breake 220' Breake 221	200.00 227.00 228.50 228.50 228.50 228.30 227.00 227.00 227.00 227.00 223.00 227.00 223.00 227.00 223.00 227.00 223.00 227.00 223.00 227.00 223.00 227.00 223.00 220.00 370.00 Eve. (feel) 223.00 225.50 225.50 225.50 225.00 223.00 23.00 44 Secondary 210.50 18.0° Round LLO Existing (Assuming cut at Gatehouse) X 0.00 MACSnake 24-hr S1 50-yr Rainfall-7.14°, Ia/S-0.15 Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 33002000 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 16 2000 Proceedings and 10984 e2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 16 2000 Proceedings and 10984 e2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 16 Proceedings and 10984 e2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 16 Proceedings and 10984 e2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 16 Proceedings and 10984 e2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 16 Proceedings and 10984 e2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 16 Proceedings and 10984 e2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 16 Proceedings and 10984 e2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 16 Proceedings and 10984 e2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 16 Proceedings Physics Review Physics Run Corporation Run Corporation Printed 33002000 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 16 Proceedings Physics Run Corporation Run Physics Run
0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 23 30 32 34 36 36 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 Time (hours)	

Summary for Subcatchment 1A: DA1_Segmental

Runoff = 99.94 cfs @ 16.29 hrs, Volume= 66.758 af, Depth= 2.79"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Rainfall=7.14", Ia/S=0.15

_	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
*	287.	000 5	58 "3%	imp"		
	278.	390 5	57 97.0	0% Pervio	us Area	
	8.	610 9	98 3.00	% Impervi	ous Area	
_	Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	70.1	100	0.0010	0.02		Sheet Flow,
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"
	6.0	400	0.0500	1.12		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 270 to 253
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	21.5	500	0.0060	0.39		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 253 to 250
	11.8	500	0.0200	0.71		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 250 to 238
	105.4	1 000	0.0010	0.16		Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps Shallow Concentrated Flow, 238 to 237
	100.4	1,000	0.0010	0.10		Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
	95.8	1,700	0.0035	0.30		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 237 to 231
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	1.3	1,400		17.94		Lake or Reservoir,
_						Mean Depth= 10.00

311.9 5,600 Tota



Subcatchment 1A: DA1_Segmental

SnakeBrookDam



19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_Construction MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Rainfall=7.14", Ia/S=0.15 SnakeBrookDam Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 3/30/2020 Page 19

Summary for Subcatchment 2A: DA2_Segmental

= 61.44 cfs @ 15.95 hrs, Volume= 39.609 af. Depth= 2.13'

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Rainfall=7.14", Ia/S=0.15

.	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
_	223.	000 5	51 "2%	imp"		
	218.	540 5	50 98.0	98.00% Pervious Area		
	4.	460 9	8 2.00	% Impervi	ous Area	
	Tc	Lenath	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
-	18.0	100	0.0300	0.09	()	Sheet Flow 392 to 389
	10.0	100	0.0000	0.00		Woods: Light underbrush $n=0.400$ P2= 3.30"
	15.8	150	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow 389 to 389
	10.0	150	0.0010	0.10		Woodland Ky= 5.0 fee
	1 2	200	0.2500	2 50		Shellow Concentrated Flow 280 to 220
	1.5	200	0.2500	2.50		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 369 to 339
	F0 7	500	0 0040	0.40		Woodland KV= 5.0 fps
	52.7	500	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 338
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	20.8	1,600	0.0660	1.28		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 233
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	172.1	2,000	0.0015	0.19		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 233 to 230
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	0.7	500		11.35		Lake or Reservoir,
						Mean Depth= 4.00

281.4 5.050 Total

Runoff

SnakeBrookDam Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_Construction MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Rainfall=7.14", Ia/S=0.15 Printed 3/30/2020 Page 20




19167.00_SnakeBrookDam Construction SnakeBrookDam MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Rainfall=7,14", Ia/S=0,15 Prepared by Pare Corporation Prepared by Pare Corporation Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCADB 10.10-3a shr 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 25	SnakeBrookDam 19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_Constructio SnakeBrookDam MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 3/30/202 HydroCAD® 10.10-3a sin 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 2
Summary for Pond 3PCP: Snake Brook Dam-Construction-6.5' DD (El. 218) Inflow Area = 510.000 ac, 2.56% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 2.64" for 50-yr event Inflow = 156.18 cfs @ 16.84 hrs, Volume= 112.400 af Outflow = 71.11 cfs @ 21.86 hrs, Volume= 112.550 af, Atten= 54%, Lag= 301.2 min Primary = 1.03 cfs @ 21.86 hrs, Volume= 4.634 af Secondary = 59.18 cfs @ 21.86 hrs, Volume= 107.916 af Tertiary = 0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Starting Elev= 218.00" Storage= 3.898 af Peak Elev= 225.19' @ 21.86 hrs Storage= 35.087 af (31.189 af above start) Plug-Flow detention time= 31.03 min calculated for 108.653 af (97% of inflow) Centerch40es det time= 1150.28 hrs (73.1)	#5 Secondary 206.00' RCP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 '/ Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013 Cast iron, coated, Flow Area= 1.77 sf #5 Secondary 206.00' Construction Diversion System X 2.00 #6 Secondary 206.00' Construction Diversion System X 2.00' Trickle Diameter 300.0' Long Tube, Hazen-Williams C= 130 #6 Secondary 206.00' Construction Diversion System X 2.00' Trickle Diameter 300.0' Long Tube, Hazen-Williams C= 130 #6 Secondary 206.00' Construction Diversion System 30.00' Trickle Diameter 300.0' Long Tube, Hazen-Williams C= 130 #6 Secondary 206.00' Construction Diversion System 30.0' Long Tube, Hazen-Williams C= 130 #7 Finary OutFlow Max=11.93 cfs @ 21.86 hrs HW=225.19' (Free Discharge)
Volume Invert Avail.Storage Storage Description #1 208.50' 100.000 af Ras Terrain with BathyListed below Elevation Cum.Store (acre-feet) 206.50 0.000 210.80 0.065 213.30 0.439 215.20 1.190 217.30 2.884 222.00 15.900 224.20 28.200 222.00 15.900 224.20 28.00 223.00 65.000 233.00 86.000 233.00 86.000 233.00 86.000 Offset (feet) 2.20.20.50 224.50' #1 Primary 224.50' Spillway Channel (Cleared), C= 3.00 Offset (feet) 231.00 85.000 223.00 0.00 224.50' Spillway Channel (Cleared), C= 3.00 0 Offset (feet) 2.02.20.50 224.50 226.50 223.50 233.00 #1 Primary 226.50 226.50 224.50 233.00 227.30 226.50 224.50 233.00 227.30	*_1=\$pillway Channel (Cleared) (Weir Controls 11.93 cfs @ 20.7 fps) Seconstruction (Assuming cut at Gatehouse) (Controls 0.00 cfs) S=Construction Diversion System (Tube Controls 57.16 cfs @ 10.80 fps) Genome of the second s
19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_Construction MA-Snake 24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=8.08%; JaSZ=0.15 Prepared by Pare Corporation Print 3/30/2020 HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 27 Time span=0.00-60.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 6001 points x 2 Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv. Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method Subcatchment 1A: DA1_Segmental Runoff Area=287.000 ac 3.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.47" Flow Length=5,600° Tc=311.9 min CN=57/98 Runoff=125.28 dfs 82.920 af Subcatchment 2A: DA2_Segmental Runoff Area=287.000 ac 3.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.72" Flow Length=5,600° Tc=281.4 min CN=50/98 Runoff=79.70 cfs 50.475 af Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2 Peak Elev=226.83' Storage=2.874 af Inflow=180.83 of 65 3.451 af Outflow=78.79 cfs 52.323 af Pond 2P: Upper Pond Peak Elev=227.14' Storage=20.681 af Inflow=80.30 cfs 53.451 af Outflow=78.79 cfs 52.323 af Pond 3PCP: Snake Brook Peak Elev=226.18' Storage=41.958 af Inflow=204.76 cfs 139.429	19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_Construction MA-Snake 24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=8.08", Ia/S=0. Printed 3/30/20 Printed 3/30/20 HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 @ 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 3/30/20 March Subcatchment 1A: DA1_Segmental Runoff = 125.28 cfs @ 16.29 hrs, Volume= 82.920 af, Depth= 3.47" Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 h MA-Snake 24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=8.08", Ia/S=0.15 Area (ac) CN Description * 287.000 58 3% imp* 278.390 57 97.00% Pervious Area 8.610 98 3.00% Impervious Area 8.610 98 3.00% Impervious Area 8.610 98 0.000 No 1.1 100 0.001 0.02 Shallow Concentrated Flow, 270 to 253 Woodiant Kv= 5.0 fps 21.5 500 0.0060 3.39 21.5 500 0.0060 0.39 Shallow Concentrated Flow, 250 to 238 Wo



90

80 cfs)

70 wol:

60 50

> 40 30

> 20 10

> > 6 10 12 14



SnakeBrookDam Prepared by Pare Corporation

Summary for Subcatchment 2A: DA2_Segmental

Runoff = 79.70 cfs @ 15.95 hrs, Volume= 50.475 af, Depth= 2.72"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs MA-Snake 24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=8.08", Ia/S=0.15

_	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
*	223.	000 5	51 "2%	imp"		
	218. 4.	540 § 460 §	50 98.0 98 2.00	0% Pervio % Impervi	us Area ous Area	
	Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	18.0	100	0.0300	0.09		Sheet Flow, 392 to 389
	15.8	150	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 389 to 389 Woodand Kv= 5.0 fbs
	1.3	200	0.2500	2.50		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 389 to 339 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	52.7	500	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 338 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	20.8	1,600	0.0660	1.28		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 233 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	172.1	2,000	0.0015	0.19		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 233 to 230 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	0.7	500		11.35		Lake or Reservoir, Mean Depth= 4.00'
_						

281.4 5,050 Tota



19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_Construction MA-Snake 24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=8.08", Ia/S=0.15 SnakeBrookDam Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 3/30/2020 Page 32 Summary for Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2
 287.000 ac,
 3.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 3.65" for 100-yr event

 126.18 dfs @
 16.29 hrs, Volume=
 87.383 af, Incl. 0.90 cfs Base Flow

 126.11 dfs @
 16.31 hrs, Volume=
 87.105 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 1.1 min

 126.11 dfs @
 16.31 hrs, Volume=
 87.105 af
 Inflow Area = Inflow = Outflow Primary = Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Starting Elev= 225.20' Storage= 1.650 af Peak Elev= 226.83' @ 16.31 hrs Storage= 2.874 af (1.224 af above start) Plug-Flow detention time= 63.3 min calculated for 85.441 af (98% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 14.2 min (1,196.6 - 1,182.4)
 Avail.Storage
 Storage Description

 30.000 af
 Ras Terrain with BathyListed below
 Volume #1 Invert 223.00 Elevation Cum.Store (feet) 223.00 227.00 (acre-feet) 0.000 3.000 230.00 30.000 Device Routing Outlet Devices Invert 225.40' Upper Dam 1, C= 3.00 Offset (feet) 50.00 100.00 200.00 202.00 206.00 208.00 270.00 #1 Primary 350.00 530.00 Elev. (feet) 231.00 226.50 226.50 225.40 225.40 226.50 226.50 231.00 Primary OutFlow Max=126.10 cfs @ 16.31 hrs HW=226.83' TW=222.62' (Dynamic Tailwater) -1=Upper Dam 1 (Weir Controls 126.10 cfs @ 1.84 fps)



19167.00 SnakeBrookDamRehab_Construction SnakeBrookDam MA-Snake24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=8.08", Ia/S=0.15 Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 3/30/2020 HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 37	19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_Construction SnakeBrookDam Multi-Event Tables Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 3/30/2020 HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 38
 L= 60.0' RCP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 210.50' / 207.50' S= 0.0500 'P Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013 Cast iron, coated, Flow Area= 1.77 sf #5 Secondary 206.00' Construction Diversion System X 2.00 Inlet / Outlet Elev. = 206.00' / 202.00' Starts@218.00' Direaks@218.19' #6 Secondary 206.00' Construction Diversion System 6.000' Diameter, C= 0.600, 2.000' Trickle Diameter 300.0' Long Tube, Hazen-Williams C= 130 Inlet / Outlet Elev. = 206.00' / 202.00' Starts@217.90' Breaks@217.89' 	Events for Subcatchment 1A: DA1_Segmental Event Rainfall Runoff Volume Depth (inches) (cfs) (acre-feet) (inches) 10-yr 5.13 51.24 35.651 1.49 50-yr 7.14 99.94 66.758 2.79 100-yr 8.08 125.28 82.920 3.47
Primary OutFlow Max=53.99 cfs @ 19.79 hrs HW=226.18' (Free Discharge) ←1=Spillway Channel (Cleared) (Weir Controls 53.99 cfs @ 2.75 fps)	
Secondary OutFlow Max=60.48 cfs @ 19.79 hrs HW=226.18' (Free Discharge) 4=LLO Existing (Assuming cut at Gatehouse) (Controls 0.00 cfs) 5=Construction Diversion System (Tube Controls 58.41 cfs @ 16.53 fps) 6=Construction Diversion System (Tube Controls 2.07 cfs @ 10.53 fps) Tertiary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=218.00' (Free Discharge)	
2=Dam Crest LT of Spwy (Controls 0.00 cfs) 3=Dam Crest RT of Spwy (Controls 0.00 cfs)	
Pond 3PCP: Snake Brook Dam-Construction-6.5' DD (El. 218)	
The second secon	

	19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_Construction
SnakeBrookDam	Multi-Event Tables
Prepared by Pare Corporation	Printed 3/30/2020
HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Softwar	e Solutions LLC Page 39

Events for Subcatchment 2A: DA2_Segmental

Event	Rainfall (inches)	Runoff (cfs)	Volume (acre-feet)	Depth (inches)
10-yr	5.13	28.08	19.429	1.05
50-yr	7.14	61.44	39.609	2.13
100-yr	8.08	79.70	50.475	2.72

	19167.00 SnakeBrookDamRehab Construction				
SnakeBrookDam	Multi-Event Tables				
Prepared by Pare Corporation	Printed 3/30/2020				
HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software	e Solutions LLC Page 40				
Events for Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2					

Event	Inflow (cfs)	Primary (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Storage (acre-feet)
10-yr	52.14	52.13	226.65	2.736
50-yr	100.84	100.77	226.78	2.832
100-yr	126.18	126.11	226.83	2.874

Events for Pond 3PPC: Snake Brook Dam-PC-DamRaiseOnly

Storage	Elevation	Tertiary	Secondary	Primary	Outflow	Inflow	Event
(acre-feet)	(feet)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	
41.910	226.17	0.00	0.00	53.60	53.60	65.48	10-yr
50.498	227.06	0.00	0.00	115.73	115.73	153.20	50-yr
53.076	227.38	0.00	0.00	142.84	142.84	196.86	100-yr
56.355	227.79	0.00	0.00	180.22	180.22	235.58	200-yr
	227.06 227.38 227.79	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00	115.73 142.84 180.22	115.73 142.84 180.22	153.20 196.86 235.58	50-yr 100-yr 200-yr

SnakeBrookDam

Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 53

- Project Reports

 1
 Routing Diagram

 2
 Rainfall Events Listing

 3
 Area Listing (selected nodes)

 4
 Pipe Listing (selected nodes)

- 10-yr Event

 5
 Node Listing

 6
 Subcat 1A: DA1_Segmental

 8
 Subcat 2A: DA2_Segmental

 10
 Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2

 12
 Pond 2P: Upper Pond

 14
 Pond 3PPC: Snake Brook Dam-PC-DamRaiseOnly

- 50-yr Event

 16
 Node Listing

 17
 Subcat 1A: DA1_Segmental

 19
 Subcat 2A: DA2_Segmental

 21
 Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2

 23
 Pond 2P: Upper Pond

 25
 Pond 3PPC: Snake Brook Dam-PC-DamRaiseOnly

- 100-vr Event

 27
 Node Listing

 28
 Subcat 1A: DA1_Segmental

 30
 Subcat 2A: DA2_Segmental

 32
 Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2

 34
 Pond 2P: Upper Pond

 36
 Pond 3P: Upper Pond

 36
 Pond 3P: Upper Pond

 36
 Pond 3P: Upper Pond

- 200-vr Event

 38
 Node Listing

 39
 Subcat 1A: DA1_Segmental

 41
 Subcat 2A: DA2_Segmental

 43
 Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2

 45
 Pond 2P: Upper Pond 2

 47
 Pond 3PPC: Snake Brook Dam-PC-DamRaiseOnly

- Multi-Event Tables 49 Subcat 1A: DA1_Segmental 50 Subcat 2A: DA2_Segmental 51 Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2 52 Pond 2P: Upper Pond 53 Pond 3PPC: Snake Brook Dam-PC-DamRaiseOnly



28)

19107.00_SHAREDIOORDAIIINEI	
SnakeBrookDam	
Prepared by Pare Corporation	Printed 3/30/2020
HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 2

Rainfall Events Listir

		Rainfall Events Listing						
Event#	Event Name	Storm Type	Curve	Mode	Duration (hours)	B/B	Depth (inches)	AMC
1	1-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	1-yr	Default	24.00	1	2.66	2
2	2-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	2-yr	Default	24.00	1	3.28	2
3	5-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	5-yr	Default	24.00	1	4.29	2
4	10-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	10-yr	Default	24.00	1	5.13	2
5	25-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	25-yr	Default	24.00	1	6.29	2
6	50-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	50-yr	Default	24.00	1	7.14	2
7	100-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	100-yr	Default	24.00	1	8.08	2
8	200-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	200-yr	Default	24.00	1	9.25	2
9	500-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	500-yr	Default	24.00	1	11.10	2
10	1000-yr	MA-Snake 24-hr S1	1000-yr	Default	24.00	1	12.70	2

19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEl.228)

Printed 3/30/2020 Page 3

SnakeBrookDam Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Area Listing (selected nodes)

Area (acres)	CN	Description (subcatchment-numbers)
223.000	51	"2% imp" (2A)
287.000	58	"3% imp" (1A)
510.000	55	TOTAL AREA

				19167.0	0_Snake	BrookD	amRehab_P	C(DamRais	setoEl.228)
Snal Prepa Hydro	(eBrookDa ared by Pare CAD® 10.10-3	m e Corporatio la s/n 10894	n © 2020 Hydro	CAD Softw	are Solutio	ns LLC		Printed	3/30/2020 Page 4
			Pipe L	isting (se	lected no	odes)			
Lin	e# Node	In-Invert	Out-Invert	Length	Slope	n	Diam/Width	Height	Inside-Fill

	Number	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(ft/ft)		(inches)	(inches)	(inches)
1	3PPC	210.50	207.50	60.0	0.0500	0.013	18.0	0.0	0.0

	19107.00_Shaked
BrookDam	MA-Snake 24

19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEL228) MA-Snake 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=5.13", Ia/S=0.15 Printed 3/30/2020 SnakeBrookDam Prepared by Pare Corporation

Page 5

HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Time span=0.00-60.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 6001 points x 2 Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv. Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method

tal Runoff Area=287.000 ac 3.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.49" Flow Length=5,600' Tc=311.9 min CN=57/98 Runoff=51.24 cfs 35.651 af Subcatchment 1A: DA1 Segmental

Subcatchment2A: DA2_Segmental Runoff Area=223.000 ac 2.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.05" Flow Length=5,050' Tc=281.4 min CN=50/98 Runoff=28.08 cfs 19.429 af

Peak Elev=226.65' Storage=2.737 af Inflow=52.14 cfs 40.115 af Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2 Outflow=52.10 cfs 39.837 af

Pond 2P: Upper Pond Peak Elev=226.68' Storage=17.600 af Inflow=28.68 cfs 22.404 af Outflow=17.65 cfs 21.278 af

Peak Elev=226.17' Storage=41.910 af Inflow=65.48 cfs 61.115 af

 Pond 3PPC: Snake Brook
 Peak Elev=226.17'
 Storage=41.910 af
 Inflow=65.48 cfs
 61.115 af

 Primary=53.60 cfs
 59.758 af
 Secondary=0.00 cfs
 0.000 af
 Tertiary=0.00 cfs
 0.000 af
 Outflow=53.60 cfs
 59.758 af

 Total Runoff Area = 510.000 ac
 Runoff Volume = 55.080 af
 Average Runoff Depth = 1.30"

 97.44% Pervious = 496.930 ac
 2.56% Impervious = 13.070 ac

SnakeBrookDam Prepared by Pare Corporation

19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEI.228) MA-Snake 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=5.13", Ia/S=0.15 Printed 3/30/2020 HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 6

Summary for Subcatchment 1A: DA1_Segmental

Runoff = 51.24 cfs @ 16.30 hrs, Volume= 35.651 af, Depth= 1.49"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs MA-Snake 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=5.13", Ia/S=0.15

_	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
*	287.	.000 5	8 "3%	imp"		
	278. 8.	390 5 610 9	67 97.0 98 3.00	0% Pervio % Impervi	us Area ous Area	
	Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	70.1	100	0.0010	0.02		Sheet Flow,
	6.0	400	0.0500	1.12		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30" Shallow Concentrated Flow, 270 to 253
	21.5	500	0.0060	0.39		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 253 to 250 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	11.8	500	0.0200	0.71		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 250 to 238 Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
	105.4	1,000	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 238 to 237 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	95.8	1,700	0.0035	0.30		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 237 to 231 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	1.3	1,400		17.94		Lake or Reservoir, Mean Depth= 10.00'
-	211.0	E 600	Tatal			

311.9 5,600 Tota

SnakeBrookDam Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 Hydrof	19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEl.228) MA-Snake 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=5.13", Ia/S=0.15 Printed 3/30/2020 CAD Software Solutions LLC Page 7
Subcatchn	nent 1A: DA1_Segmental
Ну	drograph
55	Runoff
50	MA-Snake 24-hr S1 10-yr
45	Rainfall=5.13"
40	la/S=0.15
35	Runoff Area=287.000 ac
<u>ع</u> عمل المحلق	Runoff Volume=35:651 af
B 25	Runoff Depth=1.49"

6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 Time (hours)

Flow Length=5,600' Tc=311.9 min CN=57/98

4

Prepare HydroCA	d by Par D® 10.10-	e Corpo 3a s/n 10	ration 894 © 202	0 HydroCAE	D Software Solutions LLC Printed 3/30/202 Page
		Su	mmary f	or Subca	tchment 2A: DA2_Segmental
Runoff	=	28.08 cf	s@ 16.2	6 hrs, Volu	ime= 19.429 af, Depth= 1.05"
Runoff b MA-Snal	y SCS TF ke 24-hr \$	R-20 meth S1 10-yr	nod, UH=S Rainfall=5	CS, Split F .13", la/S=0	vervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hr 0.15
Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
<u>* 223.</u>	.000 5	51 "2%	imp"		
218.	540 5	50 98.0	0% Pervio	us Area	
4.	460 9	98 2.00	% Impervi	ous Area	
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
18.0	100	0.0300	0.09		Sheet Flow, 392 to 389
15.8	150	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Council Flow, 389 to 389
1.3	200	0.2500	2.50		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 389 to 339
52.7	500	0.0010	0.16		Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 338
20.8	1,600	0.0660	1.28		Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 233
172.1	2,000	0.0015	0.19		Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps Shallow Concentrated Flow, 233 to 230
0.7	500		11.35		Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps Lake or Reservoir, Mean Depth= 4.00'

19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEl.228) MA-Snake 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=5.13", Ia/S=0.15

281.4 5,050 Total

SnakeBrookDam





Summary for Subcatchment 1A: DA1_Segmental

Runoff = 99.94 cfs @ 16.29 hrs, Volume= 66.758 af, Depth= 2.79"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Rainfall=7.14", Ia/S=0.15

Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
[*] 287.	000 5	58 "3%	imp"		
278. 8.	390 5 610 9	57 97.0 98 3.00	0% Pervio % Impervi	us Area ous Area	
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
70.1	100	0.0010	0.02		Sheet Flow.
6.0	400	0.0500	1 12		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30" Shallow Concentrated Flow 270 to 253
0.0		0.0000	2		Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
21.5	500	0.0060	0.39		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 253 to 250
11.8	500	0.0200	0.71		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 250 to 238
105.4	1,000	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 238 to 237
95.8	1,700	0.0035	0.30		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 237 to 231
1.3	1,400		17.94		Lake or Reservoir, Mean Depth= 10.00'
044.0	5 000	T . 4 . 1			

311.9 5,600 Tota



Subcatchment 1A: DA1_Segmental



	19167.00 SnakeBrookDamRehab PC(DamRaisetoEl.228)
SnakeBrookDam	MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Rainfall=7.14", Ia/S=0.15
Prepared by Pare Corporation	Printed 3/30/2020
HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 Hy	droCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 19

Summary for Subcatchment 2A: DA2_Segmental

61.44 cfs @ 15.95 hrs, Volume= 39.609 af. Depth= 2.13" =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Rainfall=7.14", Ia/S=0.15

	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
*	223.	000 5	51 "2%	imp"		
_	218. 4.	540 5 460 9	50 98.0 98 2.00	0% Pervio % Impervi	us Area ous Area	
	Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
_	18.0	100	0.0300	0.09		Sheet Flow, 392 to 389
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"
	15.8	150	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 389 to 389
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	1.3	200	0.2500	2.50		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 389 to 339
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	52.7	500	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 338
	00.0	4 000	0 0000	4 00		Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	20.8	1,600	0.0660	1.28		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 233
	170.4	2 000	0.0015	0.10		Woodland KV= 5.0 fps
	172.1	2,000	0.0015	0.19		Woodland Ky= 5.0 fpc
	0.7	500		11.35		Lake or Reservoir, Mean Depth= 4.00'

281.4 5.050 Total

Runoff

SnakeBrookDam Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

SnakeBrookDam

Page 17

Prepared by Pare Corporation

19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEl.228) MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Rainfall=7.14", Ia/S=0.15 Printed 3/30/2020 Page 20





19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEL228) SnakeBrookDam MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Prepared by Pare Corporation PridocAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 25	SnakeBrookDam 19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEI.228 SnakeBrookDam MA-Snake 24-hr S1 50-yr Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 3/30/202 HydroCAD® 10.10-3a sin 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC
Intervention Construction Page 20 Summary for Pond 3PPC: Snake Brook Dam-PC-DamRaiseOnly Inflow Area = 510.000 ac, 2.56% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 2.64° for 50-yr event Inflow = 115.73 dts @ 18.55 hrs, Volume= 112.400 af Secondary = 0.00 dts @ 0.00 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af Secondary = 0.00 dts @ 0.00 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Starting Elev-224.50° Storage= 30.287 af Peak Elev-22706° @ 18.55 hrs Storage= 50.498 af (20.211 af above start) Plug-Flow detention time= 488.6 min calculated for 80.734 af (72% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 143.5 min (1.423.5 - 1.279.9) Volume Invert Avail Storage Storage Eocraption #1 206.50° 100.000 af Res Terrain with BathyListed below Elevation Curm.Store (reet) (acre-feet) 100.000 af Res Terrain with BathyListed below 222.00 100.000 Res Terrain with BathyListed below 224.20 222.00 224.20 288.4 219.20 5.635 220.00 226.00 220.00 220.00 226.00 220.00 226.00 226.00 226.00 226.00 226.00 226.00 220.00 226.00 226.00 226.00 226.00 226.00 226.00 226.00 226.00 226.00 226.00	Indexted for for the sector product of control to the sector product of control to the sector product of the sector product
19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEL228) MA-Snake 24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=8.08", Ia/S=0.15 Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 3/30/2020 HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 27 Time span=0.00-60.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 6001 points x.2 Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv. Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method Subcatchment1A: DA1_Segmental Runoff Area=287.000 ac 3.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.47" Flow Length=5,080° Tc=211.9 min CN=57/98 Runoff Depth=2.72" Flow Length=5,050° Tc=281.4 min CN=50/98 Runoff Depth=2.72" Flow Length=5,050° Tc=281.4 min CN=50/98 Runoff Depth=2.72" Flow Length=5,050° Tc=281.4 min CN=50/98 Runoff Depth=2.72" Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2 Peak Elev=227.40° Storage=6.587 af Inflow=126.18 cfs 87.383 af Outflow=75.98 cfs 45.323 af Outflow=74.24 cfs 138.042 af =142.84 cfs 138.042 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Tertiany=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=142.44 cfs 138.042 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Cuttow=75.98 cfs 45.339.424 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Cuttow=142.84 cfs 138.042 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Cuttow=142.84 cfs 138.042 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Cuttow=142.84 cfs 138.042 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Cuttow=142.84 cfs 1	19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEI.22) MA-Snake 24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=8.08", Ia/S=0.1 Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 3/30/202 HydroCAD® 10.10-3a sin 10894 @ 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 2 Summary for Subcatchment 1A: DA1_Segmental Runoff = 125.28 cfs @ 16.29 hrs, Volume= 8.2920 af, Depth= 3.47" Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hr Area (ac) CN Description * 287.000 Shit Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hr * 287.000 Shit Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hr * 287.000 Shit Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hr * 287.000 Shit Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hr * 287.000 Shit Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hr * 287.000 Shit Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hr * 287.000 Shit Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hr <th< th=""></th<>

311.9 5,600 Total



SnakeBrookDam Prepared by Pare Corporation

Printed 3/30/2020

Page 29

- Runoff

Summary for Subcatchment 2A: DA2_Segmental

Runoff = 79.70 cfs @ 15.95 hrs, Volume= 50.475 af, Depth= 2.72"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs MA-Snake 24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=8.08", Ia/S=0.15

_	Area	(ac) C	N Dese	cription		
*	223.	000 5	51 "2%	imp"		
	218.	540 5	50 98.0	0% Pervio	us Area	
	4.	460 9	2.00	% Impervi	ous Area	
	Tc	Lenath	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
_	18.0	100	0.0300	0.09		Sheet Flow, 392 to 389
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"
	15.8	150	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 389 to 389
						Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
	1.3	200	0.2500	2.50		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 389 to 339
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	52.7	500	0.0010	0.16		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 338
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	20.8	1,600	0.0660	1.28		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 233
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	172.1	2,000	0.0015	0.19		Shallow Concentrated Flow, 233 to 230
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	0.7	500		11.35		Lake or Reservoir,
						Mean Depth= 4.00'
	281.4	5.050	Total			



10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 Time (hours)

SnakeBrookDam

2 à. 6 Outflow Primary = Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Starting Elev= 225.20' Storage= 1.650 af Peak Elev= 227.40' @ 18.53 hrs Storage= 6.587 af (4.937 af above start) Plug-Flow detention time= 74.7 min calculated for 85.441 af (98% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 25.4 min (1,207.8 - 1,182.4) Volume #1 Invert Avail.Storage Storage Description 30.000 af Ras Terrain with BathyListed below 223.00 Elevation Cum.Store (feet) 223.00 227.00 (acre-feet) 0.000 3.000 230.00 30.000 Device Routing Outlet Devices Invert 225.40' Upper Dam 1, C= 3.00 Offset (feet) 50.00 100.00 200.00 202.00 206.00 208.00 270.00 #1 Primary 350.00 Elev. (feet) 231.00 226.50 226.50 225.40 225.40 226.50 226.50 231.00 Primary OutFlow Max=121.44 cfs @ 16.22 hrs HW=227.00' TW=226.90' (Dynamic Tailwater) -1=Upper Dam 1 (Weir Controls 121.44 cfs @ 1.21 fps)

19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEl.228) MA-Snake 24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=8.08", Ia/S=0.15 19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEl.228) MA-Snake 24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=8.08", Ia/S=0.15 SnakeBrookDam Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Summary for Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2

Printed 3/30/2020

Page 32

 287.000 ac,
 3.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 3.65" for 100-yr event

 126.18 dfs @
 16.29 hrs, Volume=
 87.383 af, Incl. 0.90 cfs Base Flow

 121.38 cfs @
 16.22 hrs, Volume=
 87.105 af, Atten= 4%, Lag= 0.0 min

 121.38 cfs @
 16.22 hrs, Volume=
 87.105 af
 Inflow Area = Inflow =





311.9 5,600 Total

Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Summary for Subcatchment 2A: DA2_Segmental

Runoff = 103.48 cfs @ 15.95 hrs, Volume= 64.977 af, Depth= 3.50"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs MA-Snake 24-hr S1 200-yr Rainfall=9.25", Ia/S=0.15

* 223.000 51 "2% imp" 218.540 50 98.00% Pervious Area 4.460 98 2.00% Impervious Area Tc Length Slope Velocity Capacity Description (min) (feet) (ft/ft) (ft/scc) (cfs) 18.0 100 0.0300 0.09 Sheet Flow, 392 to 389	
218.540 50 98.00% Pervious Area 4.460 98 2.00% Impervious Area Tc Length Slope Velocity Capacity Description (min) (feet) (ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs) 18.0 100 0.0300 0.09 Sheet Flow, 392 to 389	
Tc Length Slope Velocity Capacity Description (min) (feet) (ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs) 18.0 100 0.0300 0.09 Sheet Flow, 392 to 389	
18.0 100 0.0300 0.09 Sheet Flow, 392 to 389	
Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 15.8 150 0.0010 0.16 Shallow Concentrated Flow, 389 to 389	3.30"
1.3 200 0.2500 2.50 Woodland Kv= 5.0 tps % Moodland Kv= 5.0 tps % Moodland Kv= 5.0 tps % Moodland % Kv= 5.0 tps	I.
52.7 500 0.0010 0.16 Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 338 Woodland Ky = 5.0 fps	
20.8 1,600 0.0660 1.28 Shallow Concentrated Flow, 338 to 233 Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps	
172.1 2,000 0.0015 0.19 Shallow Concentrated Flow, 233 to 230 Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps	
0.7 500 11.35 Lake or Reservoir, Mean Depth= 4.00'	

281.4 5,050 Tota

Subcatchment 2A: DA2_Segmental

SnakeBrookDam

Page 41

Prepared by Pare Corporation



19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEl.228) MA-Snake 24-hr S1 200-yr Rainfall=9.25", Ia/S=0.15 SnakeBrookDam Prepared by Pare Corporation HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 3/30/2020 Page 43 Summary for Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2
 287.000 ac,
 3.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 4.54" for 200-yr event

 158.47 cfs @
 16.29 hrs, Volume=

 143.23 cfs @
 15.56 hrs, Volume=

 143.23 cfs @
 15.56 hrs, Volume=

 108.282 af
 108.282 af
 Inflow Area = Inflow Outflow Primary Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Starting Elev= 225.20' Storage= 1.650 af Peak Elev= 227.80' @ 18.49 hrs Storage= 10.239 af (8.589 af above start) Plug-Flow detention time= 75.0 min calculated for 106.614 af (98% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 35.0 min (1,205.0 - 1,170.0)
 Avail.Storage
 Storage Description

 30.000 af
 Ras Terrain with BathyListed below
 Volume #1 Invert 223.00' Cum.Store Elevation (feet) 223.00 227.00 (acre-feet) 0.000 3.000 230.00 30.000 Device Routing Outlet Devices Invert Upper Dam 1, C= 3.00 Offset (feet) 50.00 100.00 200.00 202.00 206.00 208.00 270.00 #1 Primary 225.40' S50.00 Elev. (feet) 231.00 226.50 226.50 225.40 225.40 226.50 226.50 231.00 Primary OutFlow Max=143.29 cfs @ 15.56 hrs HW=227.00' TW=226.87' (Dynamic Tailwater) -1=Upper Dam 1 (Weir Controls 143.29 cfs @ 1.42 fps)



SnakeBrookDam 19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEL228) MA-Snake 24-hr S1 200-yr Rainfal=9.25", Ia/S=0.15 Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 3/30/2020	19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEL228) SnakeBrookDam MA-Snake 24-hr S1 200-yr Rainfall=9.25", Ia/S=0.15 Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 3/30/2020
HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 45 Summary for Pond 2P: Upper Pond	HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 46 Pond 2P: Upper Pond
Inflow Area = 223.000 ac, 2.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 3.66° for 200-yr event Inflow = 164.08 cfs @ 15.95 hrs, Volume= 67.953 af, Incl. 0.60 cfs Base Flow Outflow = 96.63 cfs @ 15.74 hrs, Volume= 66.825 af, Atten= 7%, Lag= 0.0 min Primary = 96.63 cfs @ 15.74 hrs, Volume= 66.825 af Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Starting Elev= 225.20' Storage= 10.920 af Peak Elev= 227.80' @ 18.50 hrs Storage= 25.138 af (14.218 af above start) Plug-Flow detention time= 362.2 min calculated for 55.905 af (82% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 164.5 min (1.327.3 - 1.162.8) Volume Invert Avail.Storage Storage Description #1 221.00' 40.000 af Ras Terrain with BathyListed below Elevation Elevation Cum.Store (acre-feet) 221.00 0.000 221.00 0.000 225.50' Upper Dam, C= 3.00 Offset (feet) 0.00 25.00 100.00 102.00 106.00 108.00 310.00 340.00 Elev. (teet) 231.00 227.00 227.00 225.50 225.50 227.00 227.00 231.00 231.00 Primary OutFlow Max=96.65 cfs @ 15.74 hrs HW=227.20' TW=227.01' (Dynamic Tailwater) 1=Upper Dam (Weir Controls 96.65 cfs @ 1.37 fps)	Program Image: Progro
19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEI.228) SnakeBrookDam MA-Snake 24-hr S1 200-yr Rainfall=0.25", Ia/S=0.15 Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 303/0200 HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 47 Summary for Pond 3PPC: Snake Brook Dam-PC-DamRaiseOnly	19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEI.228) SnakeBrookDam MA-Snake 24-hr S1 200-yr Rainfall=9.25", Ia/S=0.15 Prepared by Pare Corporation Printed 3/30/2020 HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10694 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 48 L= 60.0" RCP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 L= 60.0" RCP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500
Inflow Area = 510.000 ac, 2.56% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 4.12" for 200-yr event Inflow = 235.58 cfs @ 15.56 hrs, Volume= 175.107 af Outflow = 180.22 cfs @ 18.51 hrs, Volume= 173.712 af, Atten= 23%, Lag= 177.2 min Primary = 180.22 cfs @ 0.851 hrs, Volume= 173.712 af Secondary = 0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af Tertiary = 0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 11 hrs / 2	Primary OutFlow Max=180.22 cfs @ 18.51 hrs HW=227.79' (Free Discharge) = 0.013 Cast iron, coated, Flow Area= 1.77 sf Primary OutFlow Max=180.22 cfs @ 18.51 hrs HW=227.79' (Free Discharge) = 1.75 gillway Channel (Cleared) (Weir Controls 180.22 cfs @ 4.27 fps) Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=224.50' (Free Discharge) = 4=LLO Existing (Assuming cut at Gatehouse) (Controls 0.00 cfs) = 4=LLO Existing (On fre @ 0.00 hrs HW=224.50' (Free Discharge))
Starting Elev= 224.50° Storage= 30.287 af Peak Elev= 227.79° @ 18.51 hrs Storage= 56.355 af (26.068 af above start)	Lertiary Outt-Iow Max=0.00 cts @ 0.00 hrs HW=224.50° (Free Discharge) —2=Dam Crest LT of Spwy (Controls 0.00 cfs) —3=Dam Crest RT of Spwy (Controls 0.00 cfs)
r-rug-ruow uetention time = 343.1 min calculated for 143.401 at (82% of Introw) Center-of-Mass det. time = 124.9 min (1,376.6 - 1,251.7) Volume Invert Avail Storane Description	Pond 3PPC: Snake Brook Dam-PC-DamRaiseOnly
#1 206.50° 100.000 af Ras Terrain with BathyListed below Elevation Cum.Store (acre-feet) 206.50° 0.000 201.80° 206.50° 0.000 201.80° 206.50° 0.000 210.80° 210.50° 0.005 213.30 211.50° 1.190 217.30 217.30 2.884 219.20° 220.50 9.420 222.00 222.00 15.900 224.20 228.60 56.000 227.00 228.00 56.000 223.00 230.00 75.000 231.00 232.00 100.000 201.60°	Peak Elev=227.79 Boundary Storage=56.355 af Construction
*** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** ****** ****** ****** ****** ****** ******** ****** ******* ******* ******** *********** ************************ ************************************	

Snak Prepa HydroC	eBrookD red by Pa AD® 10.10	a m re Corpora ⊦3a_s/n 1089	tion 94 © 2020 Hyd	191	37.00_SnakeBrookDamR∉	ehab_PC(Da Mi F	amRaisetoEl.228) ulti-Event Tables Printed 3/30/2020 Page 49	Snal Prep <u>Hydro</u>	CAD® 10.1	Dam are Corpora 0-3a s/n 108	ıtion 94 © 2020 Hy	1916 droCAD Si	67.00_SnakeBroo
		E	vents for Su	ubcatch	ment 1A: DA1_Segme	ntal				E	vents for S	ubcatch	ment 2A: DA2_
Event	Rainfall (inches)	Runoff (cfs)	Volume (acre-feet)	Depth (inches)				Even	Rainfall (inches)	Runoff (cfs)	Volume (acre-feet)	Depth (inches)	
10-yr	5.13	51.24	35.651	1.49				10-y	5.13	28.08	19.429	1.05	
50-yr	7.14	99.94	66.758	2.79				50-y	7.14	61.44	39.609	2.13	
100-yr	8.08	125.28	82.920	3.47				100-y	8.08	79.70	50.475	2.72	
200-yr	9.25	157.57	104.097	4.35				200-у	9.25	103.48	64.977	3.50	

19167.00_SnakeB	rookDamRehab_PC(DamRaisetoEl.228)
SnakeBrookDam	Multi-Event Tables
Prepared by Pare Corporation	Printed 3/30/2020
HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solution	s LLC Page 51

Events for Pond 1P: Upper Pond 2

Event	Inflow (cfs)	Primary (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Storage (acre-feet)
10-yr	52.14	52.10	226.65	2.737
50-yr	100.84	100.33	227.08	3.760
100-yr	126.18	121.38	227.40	6.587
200-yr	158.47	143.23	227.80	10.239

19167.00_SnakeBrookDamRehab	PC(DamRaisetoEl.228)
SnakeBrookDam	Multi-Event Tables
Prepared by Pare Corporation	Printed 3/30/2020
HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 10894 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 52
Events for Pond 2P: Upper Pond	

Event	Inflow (cfs)	Primary (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Storage (acre-feet)
10-yr	28.68	17.65	226.68	17.600
50-yr	62.04	56.47	227.12	20.583
100-yr	80.30	75.96	227.39	22.408
200-yr	104.08	96.63	227.80	25.138

Segmental

APPENDIX F Seepage and Slope Stability Analyses Snake Brook Dam Wayland, Massachusetts



Calculation Cover Sheet

Project #:	19167.00	Calculation #:	2
Project:	Snake Brook Dam	Date:	2/20/2020

Subject/Task: Existing/ Proposed Embankment: Seepage and Stability Analysis

Status: Draft

Revision Summary:

Revision #	Description	Date
0	Original Calculation	1/8/2020
1	Revised Calculation	2/20/2020

Design Basis: Perform seepage and slope stability analyses to determine the factors of safety for the existing embankment of the Snake Brook Dam in Wayland, MA. If the embankment does not meet the minimum required factor of safety (FOS), provide improvement to the dam embankment to meet the required FOS.

Provided

- 1. Boring logs for B19-1, B19-2, and B19-3 completed by Pare Corporation between October 31 and November 1, 2019.
- 2. Soil Parameters developed by Pare Corporation based on Boring information.
- 3. GeoStudio 2016 V. 8.12, SEEP/W, SLOPE/W, GEOSLOPE International, Ltd.
- 4. Plan of Reservoir and Land of the Wayland Water Works, 1878.
- 5. Commonwealth of Massachusetts Regulations 302 CMR 10.00 Dam Safety.

Assumptions:

- 1. Soil properties are uniform through layers and soil borings are representative of the embankment.
- 2. Section geometry drawn based on provided information from the Plan of Reservoir and Land of the Wayland Water Works plan.
- 3. All material was modeled using unsaturated/saturated conditions. The hydraulic conductivity functions were estimated within the Seep/W software using the Van Genuchten method.
- 4. Maximum Pool was modeled at the top of the dam elevation of 226 feet and normal pool was modeled at an elevation of 224 feet for existing condition.
- 5. The entry and exit method was used to generate the potential slip surfaces. The Morgenstern-Price method was sued to compute the factors of safety foe each potential slip surface. The minimum slip surface depth was set to 4 feet.



Soil Parameters:

Soil parameters provided in Table 1 were determined by corelating the boring logs information collected by Pare during the subsurface exploration program and the information collected base on historical drawings of the dam.

In general, the exploration program and historical drawings indicated that the embankment consists of embankment fill at the crest, and upstream. A stone masonry core wall with puddle fill on the upstream face of the wall was modeled at the center of the crest. Upstream slope is approximately 1.5H:1V and is covered with riprap. A rock core wall is also present at the toe of the upstream slope. Downstream slope is approximately 1.5H:1V slope. Sand and Gravel was modeled at the toe of the slope. The dam is approximately 28 feet high and is seated on Sandstone bedrock

Riprap properties were referenced from the 1989 FHWA publication by Brown S. A. and Clyde S. C. titled "Design of Riprap Revetment – HEC 11". The relative density determined from the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) blow counts was used to determine the void ratio, angle of internal friction and dry unit weight by referencing Figure 7 (Correlations of Strength Characteristics for Granular Soil) of the NAVFEC Manual and PE Civil Reference Manual Edition 16. The saturated unit weight and saturated water content were calculated using equations provided in the 6th edition of the textbook by Das, M. B. titled "Principals of Geotechnical Engineering". The hydraulic conductivity was referenced from the 3rd edition of the text book titled "Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice" by Terzaghi, K., Peck, R. B. and Mesri, G published in 1996 and from a 1983 publication titled " Basic Ground-Water Hydrology" by Heath, R. C.

For existing condition:

- Top of dam: El. 226 ft
- Top of core wall: El. 225.5 ft
- o Normal pool: El. 224 ft
- o Maximum pool: El. 226 ft

For proposed condition:

- Top of dam: El. 228 ft
- Top of core wall: El. 227.5 ft
- o Normal pool: El. 224 ft
- o Maximum pool: El. 228 ft
- Engineered fill was imported to raise the downstream slope to a more maintainable 2H:1V slope. Riprap was added along the upstream slope.

The soil parameters used in the Slope/W and Seep/W analyses are presented in Table 1below:

Table 1: Soil Properties of the existing and proposed embankment								
Soil Layer	(N _{ave}) (Blows/ft)	D _r (%)	Angle of Internal Friction (º)	Dry Unit Weight (pcf)	Sat. Unit Weight (pcf	Porosity Saturated Water Content	Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (ft/sec)	Residual Water Content
Embankment Fill	17	60	34	109	131	0.19	1.64E-5	0.035
Engineered Fill	N/A	85	38	140	150	0.19	1.2E-5	0.035
Puddle Fill (Silt)	5	50	29	87	117	0.47	1.2E-7	0.1
Sand & Gravel	60	90	42	119	137	0.21	1.2E-5	0.035
Riprap	N/A	N/A	43	N/A	150	0.12	8.2E-4	0.01
Rock Core Wall	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	160	0.15	0.1	0.035
Rock Upstream Wall	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	160	0.1	3.28E-12	0.035
Sandstone	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.05	3.28E-12	N/A



Embankment Geometry:

Existing:



Proposed:





Results

Existing Condition:

Seepage: For normal and maximum pool conditions, the results indicate a seepage breakout along the crest of the embankment during normal pool and maximum pool. Improvement needs to be made to make the dam more stable.

Slope Stability: The following table summarizes the results of the slope stability analysis over various design conditions for the existing embankment. Bold numbers in the "Calculated Factor of Safety" column indicate that the condition does not meet required factors of safety.

	Decign Coco	Bool Lovel	Upstrea	am slope	Downstream Slope		
	Design Case	POOI Level	Required FOS	Calculated FOS	Required FOS	Calculated FOS	
_	Steady State	Normal Pool	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.1	
		Maximum Pool	N/A	N/A	1.4	1.0	
	Papid Drawdown	Normal Pool	1.2	1.2	N/A	N/A	
	Rapiu Diawuowii	Maximum Pool	1.1	1.2	N/A	N/A	
	Seismic	Normal Pool	>1.0	1.0	>1.0	1.1	

Table 2: Existing Condition Factor of Safety (FOS) for Slope Stability Analysis of the Dam Embankment

Proposed Condition:

Seepage: For normal and maximum pool conditions, the results did not indicate a seepage breakout along the crest of the embankment during normal pool and maximum pool.

Slope Stability: The following table summarizes the results of the slope stability analysis over various design conditions for the existing embankment. Bold numbers in the "Calculated Factor of Safety" column indicate that the condition does not meet required factors of safety.

Decian Coco	Bool Loval	Upstrea	am slope	Downstream Slope		
Design Case	FOOI Level	Required FOS	Calculated FOS	Required FOS	Calculated FOS	
Stoody Stoto	Normal Pool	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.7	
Sleady State	Maximum Pool	N/A	N/A	1.4	1.7	
Banid Drowdown	Normal Pool	1.2	1.3	N/A	N/A	
	Maximum Pool	1.1	1.3	N/A	N/A	
Seismic	Normal Pool	>1.0	1.0	>1.0	1.3	

Calculation by:	Heather Shank My Linh Pham	Engineer II/Engineer I	Heathe Shall What	2/20/2020
	Name	Position	Signature	Date
Checked by:	Matthew Dunn, P.E.,CFM	Project Engineer	Mathe the	4/10/2020
	Name	Position	Signature	Date



References:

Braja M. D. (2007) "Principles of Foundation Engineering - 6th edition".

Brown S. A., Clyde S. C. (1989) "Design of Riprap Revetment – HEC 11", FHWA.

Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command; U.S. Government Printing Office (1986) "Soil Mechanics, Design Manual 7.01 and 7.02".

Feike J.L William J.A, and Van Genuchten, M Th. (1996) "The UNSODA Unsaturated Soil Hydraulic Database User's Manual Version 1.0", University of California, Riverside and US Department of Agriculture Salinity Laboratory, Riverside, CA.

Lindeburg R. M. (2006) "Civil Engineering Reference Manual for the PE Exam, 16th Edition", Professional Publications (2006)

Lindeburg R. M. (2006) "Civil Engineering Reference Manual for the PE Exam, 7th Edition", Professional Publications (1999)

Terzaghi, K., Peck, R. B. and Mesri, G. (1996) "Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice" John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

EXITING CONDITION RESULTS

Seepage Stability at Normal Pool



Upstream Slope Stability at Normal Pool



Downstream Slope Stability at Normal Pool



Seepage Stability at Maximum Pool



Downstream Slope Stability at Maximum Pool



Rapid Drawdown from Normal Pool



Upstream Slope Stability at Rapid Drawdown from Normal Pool



Rapid Drawdown from Maximum Pool



Upstream Slope Stability at Rapid Drawdown from Maximum Pool



Upstream Slope Seismic Stability at Normal Pool



Downstream Slope Seismic Stability at Normal Pool


PROPOSED CONDITION RESULTS

Seepage Stability at Normal Pool



Downstream Slope Stability at Normal Pool

Snake Brook Dam, Wayland MA

Proposed Condition Factor of Safety (FOS) for Slope Stability Analysis of the Dam Embankment					
Design Case	Pool Level	Upstream Slope		Downstream Slope	
		Required FOS	Calculated FOS	Required FOS	Calculated FOS
Steady State	Normal Pool	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.7
	Maximum Pool	N/A	N/A	1.4	1.7
Rapid Draw-down	Normal Pool	1.2	1.3	N/A	N/A
	Maximum Pool	1.1	1.2	N/A	N/A
Seismic	Normal Pool	>1.0	1.0	>1.0	1.3

<u>1.7</u>



Name: Bedrock

Name: Sand & Gravel Unit Weight: 135 pcf Phi': 37 °



Upstream Slope Stability at Normal Pool



Seepage Stability at Maximum Pool



Downstream Slope Stability at Maximum Pool



Rapid Drawdown from Normal Pool



Upstream Slope Stability at Rapid Drawdown from Normal Pool



Rapid Drawdown from Maximum Pool



Upstream Slope Stability at Rapid Drawdown from Maximum Pool



Upstream Slope Seismic Stability at Normal Pool



Downstream Slope Seismic Stability at Normal Pool



APPENDIX G Historical Information

Snake Brook Dam Wayland, Massachusetts





WAYLAND WATER WORKS

LOCATION OF RESERVOIRS DAMS PROPOSED FILTER AND WASTE PIPE

SCALE 1 INCH - 80 FEET.

PLAN

COPPER SCREEN MANHOLE MANHOLE MANHOLE 1.01.0

SECTION A-B

12 INCH IRON PIPE

DETAILS OF

PROPOSED FILTER

SCALE IINCH-4FEET

SECTION





APPENDIX H Visual Inspection & Report Limitations Snake Brook Dam Wayland, Massachusetts

VISUAL INSPECTION & REPORT LIMITATIONS

Visual Inspection

- 1. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigations and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of this report.
- 2. In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection, along with data available to the inspection team.
- 3. In cases where an impoundment is lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions, which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.
- 4. It is critical to note that the condition of the dam is evolutionary in nature and depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Use of Report

- 1. The applicability of other environmental permits (ie., NOI, PGP, Water Quality Certificate, etc.) needs to be determined prior to undertaking maintenance activities that may occur within resource areas under the jurisdiction of MADEP, the local conservation commission or other regulatory agency.
- 2. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the Town of Wayland for specific application to the Snake Brook Dam site in accordance with generally accepted engineering practices. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.
- 3. This report has been prepared for this project by Pare. This report is for preliminary evaluation purposes only and is not necessarily sufficient to support design or repairs or recommendations or to prepare an accurate bid.



APPENDIX I Common Dam Safety Definitions Snake Brook Dam

Wayland, Massachusetts

COMMON DAM SAFETY DEFINITIONS

For a comprehensive list of dam engineering terminology and definitions refer to 302 CMR10.00 Dam Safety, or other reference published by FERC, Dept. of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation, or FEMA. Please note should discrepancies between definitions exits, those definitions included within 302 CMR 10.00 govern for dams located within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Orientation

<u>Upstream</u> – Shall mean the side of the dam that borders the impoundment.

Downstream - Shall mean the high side of the dam, the side opposite the upstream side.

<u>Right</u> – Shall mean the area to the right when looking in the downstream direction.

<u>Left</u> – Shall mean the area to the left when looking in the downstream direction.

Dam Components

Dam - Shall mean any artificial barrier, including appurtenant works, which impounds or diverts water.

<u>Embankment</u> – Shall mean the fill material, usually earth or rock, placed with sloping sides, such that it forms a permanent barrier that impounds water.

<u>Crest</u> – Shall mean the top of the dam, usually provides a road or path across the dam.

<u>Abutment</u> – Shall mean that part of a valley side against which a dam is constructed. An artificial abutment is sometimes constructed as a concrete gravity section, to take the thrust of an arch dam where there is no suitable natural abutment.

<u>Appurtenant Works</u> – Shall mean structures, either in dams or separate therefrom. including but not be limited to, spillways; reservoirs and their rims; low level outlet works; and water conduits including tunnels, pipelines, or penstocks, either through the dams or their abutments.

<u>Spillway</u> – Shall mean a structure over or through which water flows are discharged. If the flow is controlled by gates or boards, it is a controlled spillway; if the fixed elevation of the spillway crest controls the level of the impoundment, it is an uncontrolled spillway.

Size Classification

(as listed in Commonwealth of Massachusetts, 302 CMR 10.00 Dam Safety)

Large – structure with a height greater than 40 feet or a storage capacity greater than 1,000 acre-feet.

Intermediate – structure with a height between 15 and 40 feet or a storage capacity of 50 to 1,000 acre-feet.

Small – structure with a height between 6 and 15 feet and a storage capacity of 15 to 50 acre-feet.

Non-Jurisdictional – structure less than 6 feet in height or having a storage capacity of less than 15 acre-feet.



Hazard Classification

(as listed in Commonwealth of Massachusetts, 302 CMR 10.00 Dam Safety)

<u>High Hazard (Class I)</u> – Shall mean dams located where failure will likely cause loss of life and serious damage to home(s), industrial or commercial facilities, important public utilities, main highway(s) or railroad(s).

<u>Significant Hazard (Class II)</u> – Shall mean dams located where failure may cause loss of life and damage to home(s), industrial or commercial facilities, secondary highway(s) or railroad(s), or cause the interruption of the use or service of relatively important facilities.

Low Hazard (Class III) – Dams located where failure may cause minimal property damage to others .Loss of life is not expected.

General

<u>EAP – Emergency Action Plan</u> - Shall mean a predetermined plan of action to be taken to reduce the potential for property damage and/or loss of life in an area affected by an impending dam break.

<u>O&M Manual</u> – Operations and Maintenance Manual; Document identifying routine maintenance and operational procedures under normal and storm conditions.

Normal Pool – Shall mean the elevation of the impoundment during normal operating conditions.

<u>Acre-foot</u> – Shall mean a unit of volumetric measure that would cover one acre to a depth of one foot. It is equal to 43,560 cubic feet. One million U.S. gallons = 3.068 acre feet

<u>Height of Dam</u> – Shall mean the vertical distance from the lowest portion of the natural ground, including any stream channel, along the downstream toe of the dam to the crest of the dam.

<u>Spillway Design Flood (SDF)</u> – Shall mean the flood used in the design of a dam and its appurtenant works particularly for sizing the spillway and outlet works, and for determining maximum temporary storage and height of dam requirements.

Condition Rating

<u>Unsafe</u> - Major structural, operational, and maintenance deficiencies exist under normal operating conditions.

<u>Poor</u> - Significant structural, operation and maintenance deficiencies are clearly recognized for normal loading conditions.

<u>Fair</u> - Significant operational and maintenance deficiencies, no structural deficiencies. Potential deficiencies exist under unusual loading conditions that may realistically occur. Can be used when uncertainties exist as to critical parameters.

<u>Satisfactory</u> - Minor operational and maintenance deficiencies. Infrequent hydrologic events would probably result in deficiencies.

<u>Good</u> - No existing or potential deficiencies recognized. Safe performance is expected under all loading including SDF



APPENDIX J Previous Reports and References Snake Brook Dam

Snake Brook Dam Wayland, Massachusetts

PREVIOUS REPORTS AND REFERENCES

The following documents were identified within the dam safety database or reference as part of this work:

- 1. "Snake Brook Dam Phase I Inspection/Evaluation Report", prepared by Haley & Aldrich, Inc., date of Inspection: August 16, 2017.
- 2. "Snake Brook Dam Phase I Inspection/Evaluation Report", prepared by GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc., date of Inspection: August 14, 2012.
- 3. "Snake Brook Dam –Inspection/Evaluation Report", Haley & Aldrich, Inc., date of Inspection: April 30, 1999.
- 4. Drawings: "Wayland Water Works Location of Reservoirs Dams Proposed Filter and Waste Pipe, Wayland Mass." Prepared by J.J. Van Valkenburgh, Original Scale: 1" = 80', dated June 1907.
- 5. Hiram W. Blaisdell. "Report of the Construction Committee of the Wayland Water Works". March 1, 1879.
- 6. Drawing: "Plan of Reservoir and Land of Wayland Water Works." Prepared by H.W. Blaisdell, Original Scale: As Noted, dated 1878.

During the development of the report Pare also reviewed available information included within the following databases:

1. MADCR - Dams Viewer Database http://maps.massgis.state.ma.us/dams/viewer.htm

The following references were utilized during the preparation of this report and the development of the recommendations presented herein:

- 1. "Design of Small Dams", United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation, 1987.
- 2. "ER 110-2-106 Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams", Department of the Army, September 26, 1979.
- 3. "Guidelines for Reporting the Performance of Dams" National Performance of Dams Program, October 1994.
- 4. 302 CMR: Department of Conservation and Recreation Section 10.00 Dam Safety
- 5. Massachusetts State Building Code Sec. 1612.4.9
- 6. Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act Regulations 310 CMR 10.